"Changes Since the Preliminary Results" section, we have adjusted DHE's reported entered values.

To determine whether the duty assessment rates were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer-specific ad valorem rates based on the Sunflag's entered values and DHE's adjusted entered values. Where the assessment rate is above de minimis, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent).

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification applies to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

## **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following antidumping duty deposits are effective for all shipments of SSB from India entered. or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, effective on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed company will be the rate listed above (except no cash deposit will be required if a company's weighted-average margin is de minimis); (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the previous review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the

merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 12.45 percent, the "all others" rate established in the less than fair value investigation. *See Stainless Steel Bar from India; Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,* 59 FR 66915 (December 28, 1994). These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 3, 2008.

#### David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

#### Appendix I

#### List of Comments in the Decision Memorandum

Comments Relating to D.H. Exports Pvt. Ltd. Comment 1: Alleged Missing Attachments Comment 2: Direct Material Costs—Use of Pre-POR Costs

Comments Relating to Sunflag Iron & Steel Co. Ltd.

Comment 3: Sunflag's Ferrochrome Purchases from Affiliate Navbharat Ventures

- Comment 4: Rent Sunflag Paid to Affiliate, Ridge Farm Developers, for New Delhi Office
- Comment 5: Rent Sunflag Paid to Affiliate, Haryana Television, for Faridabad Office
- Comment 6: Adjustment to Interest on Working Capital Loan
- Comment 7: Imputed Interest on Unsecured Interest-Free Loan from Affiliate Sunflag Ltd. UK
- Comment 8: Home Market Imputed Credit Expenses

[FR Doc. E8–20925 Filed 9–8–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

## Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* September 9, 2008.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the "Department") has determined that a request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the Peoples Republic of China ("PRC"), received on July 11, 2008, meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review ("POR") of this new shipper review is January 1, 2008, through June 30, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Demitrios Kalogeropoulos or Robert Boiling, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2623 and (202) 482–4207, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC was published on January 4, 2005. See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005). On July 11, 2008, we received a timely request for a new shipper review from Shanghai Fangjia Industry Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Fangjia") in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c) and 351.214(d)(2). Shanghai Fangjia has certified that it purchased from Jiangsu

Danyang Brilliant Furniture Co., Ltd. ("Brilliant Furniture") all of the wooden bedroom furniture it exported which is the basis for its request for a new shipper review.

#### Initiation of New Shipper Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214()(2), Shanghai Fangjia certified that it did not export wooden bedroom furniture to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"). Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), Shanghai Fangjia certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, it has not been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported wooden bedroom furniture to the United States during the POT, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), Shanghai Fangjia also certified that its export activities were not controlled by the central government of the PRC.

In addition to the certifications described above, the exporter submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped wooden bedroom furniture for export to the United States and the date on which the wooden bedroom furniture was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) The date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating this new shipper review for shipments of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC produced and exported by Shanghai Fangjia.

The POR is January 1, 2008, through June 30, 2008. 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(B). We intend to issue preliminary results of these reviews no later than 180 days from the date of initiation, and final results of these reviews no later than 90 days from the date of the preliminary results, unless extended. *See* section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

On August 17, 2006, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 ("H.R. 4") was signed into law. Section 1632 of H.R. 4 temporarily suspends the authority of the Department to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect a bond or other security in lieu of a cash deposit in new shipper reviews during the period April 1, 2006, through June 30, 2009. Therefore, the posting of a bond or other security under section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act in lieu of a cash deposit is not available in this case. Importers of wooden bedroom furniture manufactured by Brilliant Furniture and exported by Shanghai Fangjia must continue to post a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on each entry of subject merchandise at the current PRC-wide rate of 216.01 percent.

Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306. This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: August 26, 2008.

## Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–20541 Filed 9–8–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

## Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106– 36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before September 29, 2008. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 2104.

Docket Number: 08–043. Applicant: Harvard University, 7 Divinity Ave., SF 267C, Cambridge, MA 02138. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G2 F20 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study macromolecular complexes involved in a variety of cellular functions. The high-resolution information obtained with the instrument will be used to elucidate the chemical structure of these biological molecules and connect the structures to their function. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: August 15, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–044. Applicant: Pennsylvania University, College of Medicine; 500 University Drive, Hershey, PA 17033. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1400. Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: This instrument will be used to study a wide range of biological materials, including biological samples of tissues from a variety of vertebrate species and from various organs. Specifically, the instrument will be used to identify detailed tissue structures in order to understand both normal physiology and pathophysiology. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: August 15, 2008.

Docket Number: 08–045. Applicant: University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station, A4800, Austin, TX 78712. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Tecnai G2 Spirit BioTWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to examine biological specimens using transmission electron microscopy. The instrument will be used for a wide variety of samples applications. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: August 21, 2008.

Dated: August 28, 2008.

## Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. E8–20545 Filed 9–8–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[C-570-936]

## Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of circular welded carbon quality steel line pipe (line pipe) from the People's Republic of China (the PRC). For information on the estimated subsidy rates, see the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 9, 2008. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kristen Johnson or John Conniff, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 3, Operations,