#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. We invite your comments on how this proposed rule might impact tribal governments, even if that impact may not constitute a "tribal implication" under the Order.

#### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions **Concerning Regulations That** Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

## **Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

#### Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD and Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 5100.1, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is not likely to have a significant effect on the human environment. A preliminary Environmental Analysis Check List supporting this preliminary determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Add § 165.775 to read as follows:

#### § 165.775 Safety Zone; Captain of the Port Zone Jacksonville; Offshore Cape Canaveral, Florida.

(a) *Regulated Area*. (1) Zone (A) is defined by four latitude and longitude corner points. Zone A originates at position 28°45'42" N, 080°42'42" W; then proceeds northeast to 28°50'6" N, 080°29'54" W; then proceeds southeast to 28°31'18" N, 080°19'36" W; then proceeds west at position 28°31'18" N, 080°33'24" W.

(2) Zone (B) is defined by four latitude and longitude corner points. Zone B originates at position 28°40′6″ N, 080°38′24″ W; then proceeds northeast to 28°48′48″ N, 080°28′54″ W; then proceeds southeast to 28°29′42″ N, 080°18′54″ W; then proceeds west at position 28°29′42″ N, 080°31′36″ W.

(3) Zone (C) is defined by four latitude and longitude corner points. Zone C originates at position 28°36′12″ N, 080°35′18″ W; then proceeds northeast to 28°45′24″ N, 080°25′12″ W; then proceeds south to 28°26′ N, 080°20′48″ W; then proceeds west at position 28°26′ N, 080°34′24″ W.

(4) Zone (D) is defined by four latitude and longitude corner points.

Zone D originates at position  $28^{\circ}31'36''$ N,  $080^{\circ}34'$  W; then proceeds east to  $28^{\circ}31'36''$  N,  $080^{\circ}20'6''$  W; then proceeds south to  $28^{\circ}16'42''$  N,  $080^{\circ}23'18''$  W; then proceeds northwest at position  $28^{\circ}21'36''$  N,  $080^{\circ}36'6''$  W.

(b) *Definitions*. The following definitions apply to this section:

Designated representative means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port (COTP) Jacksonville in the enforcement of regulated navigation areas, safety zones, and security zones.

(c) *Regulations*. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, anchoring, mooring or transiting in this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Jacksonville or his designated representative.

(d) Notice of a Safety Zone. The proposed safety zones are temporary in nature and will only be enacted and enforced prior to, and just after a successful launch. The COTP will inform the public of the existence or status of the safety zone by Broadcast Notice to Mariners on VHF–FM channel 16, Public Notice, and on-scene presence. Coast Guard assets or other Federal, State, or local law enforcement assets will be clearly identified by lights, markings, or with agency insignia.

(e) *Contact Information*. The COTP Jacksonville may be reached by telephone at (904) 564–7513. Any onscene Coast Guard or designated representative assets may be reached on VHF–FM channel 16.

Dated: June 19, 2008.

## Paul F. Thomas,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Jacksonville. [FR Doc. E8–18996 Filed 8–15–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2008-0051-200805(b); FRL-8705-2]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Tennessee; Approval of Revisions to the Nashville/Davidson County Portion

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Tennessee on October 19, 2007. The revision affects the Nashville/Davidson County portion of the Tennessee SIP. Specifically the revision pertains to the Metropolitan Public Health Department, Pollution Control Division's Regulation Number 8, ''Inspection and Maintenance of Light-Duty Motor Vehicles." This revision is part of Nashville/Davidson County's strategy to meet the requirements of EPA's 1997 8-hour ozone standard. Regulation Number 8 is amended by reducing the vehicle emission inspection fee to \$9.00, and updating the definitions section. This revision is considered by the **Tennessee Department of Environment** and Conservation (TDEC) to be at least as stringent as the State of Tennessee's preexisting requirements. This action is being taken pursuant to section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time. **DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before September 17, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R04–OAR–2008–0051, by one of the following methods:

1. *www.regulations.gov:* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. E-mail: hou.james@epa.gov.

3. Fax: (404) 562-9019.

4. *Mail*: "EPA–R04–OAR–2008– 0051," Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960.

5. *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Mr. James Hou, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding federal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. James Hou, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–8965. Mr. Hou can also be reached via electronic mail at *hou.james@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 30, 2008.

Russell L. Wright Jr., Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. E8–18966 Filed 8–15–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2008-0612; FRL-8705-7]

## Disapproval of State Implementation Plan Revision, Yolo Solano Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

# ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to disapprove a revision to the Yolo Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) concerning the District's analysis of whether its rules meet Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) under the 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). We are proposing to disapprove the analysis under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by September 17, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09– OAR–2008–0612, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal: www.regulations.gov.* Follow the on-line instructions.

2. *E-mail: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.* 3. *Mail or deliver:* Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that vou consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. www.regulations.gov is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

*Docket:* The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at *www.regulations.gov* and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR** 

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stanley Tong, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4122, *tong.stanley@epa.gov*.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us," and "our" refer to EPA.

### **Table of Contents**

- I. The State's Submittal
  - A. What document did the State submit? B. Are there other versions of this
  - document?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted RACT SIP analysis?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is EPA evaluating the RACT SIP analysis?