

discretion to change any date, time, and/or location specified in the Final Notice of Sale package in case of a force majeure which the RD deems may interfere with the carrying out of a fair and proper lease sale process. Such events may include, but are not limited to, natural disasters (earthquakes, hurricanes, floods), wars, riots, acts of terrorism, fire, strikes, civil disorder or other events of a similar nature. In case of such events, bidders should call (504) 736-0557 or access our Web site at www.gomr.mms.gov for information about any changes.

Date: July 9, 2008.

Randall B. Luthi,

Director, Minerals Management Service.

[FR Doc. E8-16324 Filed 7-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-417 and 731-TA-953, 954, 957-959, 961, and 962 (Review)]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Brazil, and the antidumping duty orders on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico,² Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago,³ and Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission further determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Chairman Daniel R. Pearson dissenting with respect to Mexico.

³ Chairman Daniel R. Pearson and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting with respect to Trinidad and Tobago.

industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.⁴

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on September 4, 2007 (72 FR 50696) and determined on December 10, 2007, that it would conduct full reviews (72 FR 73880, December 28, 2007). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on January 14, 2008 (73 FR 2273). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on April 17, 2008, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on June 17, 2008. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4014 (June 2008), entitled *Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-417 and 731-TA-953, 954, 957-959, 961, and 962 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 25, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-16287 Filed 7-16-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-633]

In the Matter of Certain Acetic Acid; Notice of Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 6) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to terminate the investigation in its

⁴ Commissioners Charlotte R. Lane and Dean A. Pinkert dissenting with respect to Canada.

entirety based on withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Walters, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 5, 2008, based on a complaint filed by Celanese International Corporation ("Celanese"). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain acetic acid that allegedly infringes certain claims of United States Patent No. 6,303,813. The complaint named Jiangsu Sopo Corporation (Group) Ltd., a/k/a Jiangsu Sopo (Group) Corp., a/k/a Jiangsu Sopo (Group) Co. Ltd. of Shanghai, China, and Jiangsu Sopo Group, Shanghai Limited Company of Shanghai, China as respondents.

On May 23, 2008, Celanese filed a motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on withdrawal of the complaint. Respondents did not oppose complainant's motion, but requested that their pending motion to declassify portions of a deposition transcript (Motion No. 633-1) be ruled upon first. The Commission investigative attorney argued that complainant's motion to withdraw the complaint should be granted, without the imposition of any terms or conditions.

On June 18, 2008, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting complainant's motion to terminate the investigation. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The investigation is terminated.