When submitting comments by e-mail please make sure to add OMB Control Number 1615–0025 in the subject box. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

# Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Revision of a currently approved collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Waiver of Rights, Privileges, Exemptions and Immunities.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection: Form I–508 and Form I–508F. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. This form is used by the USCIS to determine eligibility of an applicant to retain the status of an alien lawfully admitted to the United States for permanent residence.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: Form I–508—1,800 responses at 5 minutes (.083) per responses at 5 minutes (.083) per responses at 5 minutes (.083) per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 166 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please visit the USCIS Web site at http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp.

If additional information is required contact: USCIS, Regulatory Management Division, 111 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 3008, Washington, DC 20529, (202) 272–8377.

Dated: June 23, 2008.

### Stephen Tarragon,

Acting Chief, Regulatory Management Division, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security. [FR Doc. E8–14513 Filed 6–25–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9111–97–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **U.S. Customs and Border Protection**

#### Notice of Issuance of Final Determination Concerning Fiber Optic Cable With End Connectors

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides notice that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) has issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of certain fiber optic cable with end connectors which may be offered to the United States Government under an undesignated government procurement contract. Based upon the facts presented, in the final determination CBP concluded that the United States is the country of origin of the fiber optic cable with end connectors for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

**DATES:** The final determination was issued on June 20, 2008. A copy of the final determination is attached. Any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of this final determination within July 28, 2008.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gerry O'Brien, Valuation and Special Programs Branch, Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade (202–572–8792).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that on June 20, 2008, pursuant to subpart B of part 177, Customs Regulations (19 CFR part 177, subpart B), CBP issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of fiber optic cable with end connectors which may be offered to the United States Government under an undesignated government procurement contract. This final determination, in HQ H025747, was issued at the request of Score Fiber Optics under procedures set forth at 19 CFR part 177, subpart B, which implements Title III of the Trade

Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511–18). In the final determination, CBP concluded that, based upon the facts presented, certain fiber optic cable exported from the United States, processed in China into fiber optic cable with end connectors is not substantially transformed in China, such that the United States is the country of origin of the finished article for purposes of U.S. Government procurement.

Section 177.29, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 177.29), provides that notice of final determinations shall be published in the **Federal Register** within 60 days of the date the final determination is issued. Section 177.30, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 177.30), provides that any party-at-interest, as defined in 19 CFR 177.22(d), may seek judicial review of a final determination within 30 days of publication of such determination in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 20, 2008.

#### Sandra L. Bell,

Executive Director, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade.

#### **HQ H025747**

June 20, 2008

MAR-2-05 OT:RR:CTF:VS H025747 GOB

Category: Marking.

Craig J. Catalano, Vice President of Global Development, Score Fiber Optics, 380 Townline Road, Hauppauge, NY 11788

Re: U.S. Government Procurement; Title III, Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (19 U.S.C. 2511); Subpart B, Part 177, CBP Regulations; Fiber Optic Cable

Dear Mr. Catalano: This is in response to your letter of December 13, 2007, requesting a final determination on behalf of Score Fiber Optics ("Score"), pursuant to subpart B of Part 177, Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") Regulations (19 CFR 177.21 et seq.). Your letter of December 13, 2007, as well as your later correspondence of January 24, 2008 and February 27, 2008, were forwarded to this office by the National Commodity Specialists Division by memorandum of March 25, 2008. Under the pertinent regulations, which implement Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511 et seq.), CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations as to whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purpose of granting waivers of certain "Buy American" restrictions in

U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. Government.

This final determination concerns the country of origin of certain fiber optic cable with end connectors. We note that Score is a party-at-interest within the meaning of 19 CFR 177.22(d)(1) and is entitled to request this final determination.

#### Facts

You describe the pertinent facts as follows. Both Score and its parent company, Epcom,¹ purchase fiber optic cable from an unrelated company in the United States, Corning, Inc. ("Corning"). Corning states that the fiber optic cable is produced in the United States and has provided a Certificate of Origin indicating that 50 cartons of the fiber optic cable are a product of the United States. The fiber optic cable is a standard fiber optic cable and may consist of one or more fiber optic fibers for strength. A thermoplastic coating provides protection for the very thin fibers. Score exports the spools of finished fiber optic cable to China where the fiber optic cable is cut to length and metal connectors made in China are applied to the fiber optic cable. Specifically, the spooled fiber optic cable is cut to length. Each end of the cut cable is threaded through a metal holder where about two inches of sheathing are removed from each end of the cable. Any exposed fiber is cut off and the plastic jacketing of the optical fiber is removed. The exposed fiber is cleaned with alcohol and measured. It is then threaded through a connector, glued to the connector, and excess fiber is trimmed. The connectors are placed into a finishing machine, where the fiber ends are automatically beveled and polished. Metal springs are inserted into a connector and welded into place. The connectors are cleaned and tested.

Score purchases or manufactures a metal ferrule in China. The ferrule, which is a hollow cylinder, is used to align the ends of the optical fibers as the fibers are inserted into the connectors. The hollow center of the ferrule contains one channel that is designed to fit the optical fiber and to align the fiber ends, enabling light to pass through the connection. Score purchases or manufactures metal parts to be used in the cable connectors. These parts are made in China.

You furnished a sample (item no. SS– 11SCU–SCU–001) which is a single optic fiber, approximately 42 inches long, with thermoplastic coating and connectors at each end. You state that Score will be exporting and reimporting many similar products. The finished article is used to connect equipment to telecommunication networks.

In addition to country of origin for government procurement, you ask if you may mark the fiber optic cable "Made in the United States."

#### Issue

What is the country of origin of the subject fiber optic cable with end connectors for the purpose of U.S. Government procurement?

#### Law and Analysis

Pursuant to Subpart B of Part 177, 19 CFR 177.21 et seq., which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511 et seq.), CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations as to whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purposes of granting waivers of certain "Buy American" restrictions in U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. Government.

Under the rule of origin set forth under 19 U.S.C. 2518(4)(B):

An article is a product of a country or instrumentality only if (i) it is wholly the growth, product, or manufacture of that country or instrumentality, or (ii) in the case of an article which consists in whole or in part of materials from another country or instrumentality, it has been substantially transformed into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, or use distinct from that of the article or articles from which it was so transformed.

See also, 19 CFR 177.22(a).

In rendering advisory rulings and final determinations for purposes of U.S. Government procurement, CBP applies the provisions of subpart B of Part 177 consistent with the Federal Procurement Regulations. See 19 CFR 177.21. In this regard, CBP recognizes that the Federal Procurement Regulations restrict the U.S. Government's purchase of products to U.S.-made or designated country end products for acquisitions subject to the TAA. See 48 CFR 25.403(c)(1). The Federal Procurement Regulations define "U.S.-made end product" as:

\* \* \* an article that is mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States or that is substantially transformed in the United States into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, or use distinct from that of the article or articles from which it was transformed.

## 48 CFR 25.003.

In determining whether the combining of parts or materials

constitutes a substantial transformation, the determinative issue is the extent of operations performed and whether the parts lose their identity and become an integral part of the new article. Belcrest Linens v. United States, 573 F. Supp. 1149 (Ct. Int'l Trade 1983), aff'd, 741 F.2d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Assembly operations that are minimal or simple. as opposed to complex or meaningful, will generally not result in a substantial transformation. See, C.S.D. 80–111, C.S.D. 85-25, C.S.D. 89-110, C.S.D. 89-118, C.S.D. 90-51, and C.S.D. 90-97. If the manufacturing or combining process is a minor one which leaves the identity of the article intact, a substantial transformation has not occurred. Uniroyal, Inc. v. United States, 3 CIT 220, 542 F. Supp. 1026 (1982), aff'd 702 F.2d 1022 (Fed. Cir. 1983). In Uniroval. the court determined that a substantial transformation did not occur when an imported upper, the essence of the finished article, was combined with a domestically produced outsole to form a shoe.

In order to determine whether a substantial transformation occurs when components of various origins are assembled into completed products, CBP considers the totality of the circumstances and makes such determinations on a case-by-case basis. The country of origin of the item's components, extent of the processing that occurs within a country, and whether such processing renders a product with a new name, character, and use are primary considerations in such cases. Additionally, factors such as the resources expended on product design and development, extent and nature of post-assembly inspection and testing procedures, and the degree of skill required during the actual manufacturing process may be relevant when determining whether a substantial transformation has occurred. No one factor is determinative.

In HQ 561392 dated June 21, 1999, CBP considered the country of origin marking requirements of an insulated electric conductor which involved an electrical cable with pin connectors at each end used to connect computers to printers or other peripheral devices. The cable and connectors were made in Taiwan. In China, the cable was cut to length and connectors were attached to the cable. CBP held that cutting the cable to length and assembling the cable to the connectors in China did not result in a substantial transformation. In HQ 560214 dated September 3, 1997, CBP held that where wire rope cable was cut to length, sliding hooks were put on the rope, and end ferrules were swaged on in the U.S., the wire rope cable was not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Your submission indicates that Score and Epcom act together in the activities described in this ruling.

substantially transformed. CBP concluded that the wire rope maintained its character and did not lose its identity and did not become an integral part of a new article when attached with the hardware. In HQ 555774 dated December 10, 1990, CBP held that Japanese wire cut to length and electrical connectors crimped onto the ends of the wire was not a substantial transformation. In HQ 562754 dated August 11, 2003, CBP found that cutting of cable to length and assembling the cable to the Chineseorigin connectors in China did not result in a substantial transformation of the cable.

Based upon the facts presented and the pertinent authorities, we determine that U.S.-origin fiber optic cable exported to China and processed in China as described above, is not substantially transformed in China into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, and use distinct from the article exported. Therefore, the fiber optic cable with end connectors is considered a product of the United States for the purpose of government procurement.

Further, the fiber optic cable with end connectors is not required to be marked "Made in China." For a determination as to whether you may mark the finished product "Made in the U.S.," please contact the Federal Trade Commission.

### Holding

The fiber optic cable of U.S. origin, which is exported to China and processed in China as described above, is not substantially transformed in China into a new and different article of commerce with a name, character, and use distinct from the article exported. Therefore, the fiber optic cable is considered a product of the United States for the purpose of government procurement.

Notice of this final determination will be given in the **Federal Register**, as required by 19 CFR 177.29. Any party-at-interest other than the party which requested this final determination may request, pursuant to 19 CFR 177.31, that CBP reexamine the matter anew and issue a new final determination. Pursuant to 19 CFR 177.30, any party-at-interest may, within 30 days after publication of the **Federal Register** notice referenced above, seek judicial review of this final determination before the Court of International Trade.

Sincerely, Sandra L. Bell, Executive Director, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade.

[FR Doc. E8–14531 Filed 6–25–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R4-R-2008-N0137; 40136-1265-0000-S3]

### Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge, Brevard and Indian River Counties, FL

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability: draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment (Draft CCP/EA) for Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge for public review and comment. In this Draft CCP/EA, we describe the alternative we propose to use to manage this refuge for the 15 years following approval of the Final CCP.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by July 28, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the Draft CCP/EA should be addressed to: Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge, P.O. Box 6504, Titusville, FL 32782–6504. Please indicate whether you would like a hardcopy or a compact diskette. The Draft CCP/EA may also be accessed and downloaded from the Service's Internet site: http://southeast.fws.gov/planning. You may also visit the refuge office at 1339 20th Street, Vero Beach, FL to obtain a copy. Comments on the Draft CCP/EA may be submitted to the above address or via electronic mail to:

ArchieCarrCCP@fws.gov.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheri Ehrhardt, Natural Resource Planner; Telephone: 321/861–2368, or Joanna Webb, Park Ranger, Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge; Telephone: 772/562–3909.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Introduction

With this notice, we continue the CCP process for Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge. We started the process through a notice in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2000 (65 FR 21784).

The Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge is administered under the Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge Complex and co-managed with Pelican Island National Wildlife Refuge. Archie Carr Refuge is located along Florida's southeast coast between Melbourne Beach and Wabasso Beach in Brevard and Indian River Counties. Named after the famed sea turtle researcher, Dr. Archie F. Carr, the refuge was authorized in 1989 and established in 1991 to conserve threatened and endangered wildlife, especially sea turtles. The refuge is more than 250 acres in size and supports hundreds of wildlife and plant species. In addition, the refuge provides protection for listed terrestrial species and native wildlife and habitat diversity across a mix of habitats, including maritime hammock and coastal scrub. The refuge consists of four segments spanning 20.5 miles and protects historical and archaeological sites. Several partners work with the Service to manage and protect wildlife and habitat along this stretch of the barrier island. A growing human population along with ongoing development and other human activities currently threaten the refuge.

#### **Background**

The CCP Process

The National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee), which amended the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year plan for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and our policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlifedependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation. We will review and update the CCP at least every 15 years in accordance with the Improvement Act and NEPA.

Significant issues addressed in the Draft CCP/EA include: Wildlife and habitat management, resource protection, visitor services, and refuge administration.