

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cable Television Laboratories, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on May 7, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Cable Television Laboratories, Inc. (“CableLabs”), filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, JetBroadband, Brook, NY, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and CableLabs intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 8, 1988, CableLabs filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 7, 1988 (53 FR 34593).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 4, 2007. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 12, 2007 (72 FR 6577).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. E8–13213 Filed 6–16–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—ASTM International-Standards**

Notice is hereby given that, on May 16, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), ASTM International (“ASTM”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade

Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ASTM has provided an updated list of current, ongoing ASTM standards activities originating between February 2008 and May 2008 designated as Work Items. A complete listing of ASTM Work Items, along with a brief description of each, is available at <http://www.astm.org>.

On September 15, 2004, ASTM filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 10, 2004 (69 FR 65226).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 29, 2008. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 7, 2008 (73 FR 18812).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. E8–13211 Filed 6–16–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers**

Notice is hereby given that, on May 9, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (“IEEE”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 20 new standards have been initiated and 11 existing standards are being revised. More detail regarding these changes can be found at <http://standards.ieee.org/standardwire/sba/27-03-08.html>.

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal**

**Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 11, 2008. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 25, 2008 (73 FR 10065).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. E8–13214 Filed 6–16–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Drug Enforcement Administration****Craig H. Bammer, D.O.; Denial of Application**

On October 1, 2007, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Craig H. Bammer, D.O. (Respondent), of South Gulfport, Florida. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Respondent’s Certificate of Registration, BB1336456, as a practitioner, and the denial of any pending applications to renew or modify the registration, on three grounds. Show Cause Order at 1–2.

More specifically, the Show Cause Order alleged that on both February 28 and April 27, 2007, the Pinellas County, Florida Sheriff’s Office had arrested Respondent and charged him with prescribing controlled substances without a legitimate medical purpose, and that his conduct constituted acts inconsistent with the public interest. *Id.* at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4)). Next, the Show Cause Order alleged that on June 21, 2007, the Florida Department of Health revoked Respondent’s state medical license and that Respondent was therefore without authority to handle controlled substances in the State in which he held his DEA registration. *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)). Finally, the Show Cause Order alleged that in July 2003, Respondent had materially falsified his renewal application for a DEA registration by failing to disclose that in 1999, he had surrendered his DEA registration and Ohio medical license based on allegations that he was “impaired by excessive or habitual use of drugs and alcohol.” *Id.* at 1–2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(1)).

On October 15, 2007, the Show Cause Order, which also informed Respondent of his right to a hearing, was served on him at the Pinellas County Jail, where