

demonstrating that those members of the board involved in the day-to-day activities of the company, including the President, the Business Administration Division Director, and the Auditor, have all remained the same;

(3) Saehan shareholder meeting minutes regarding the name change;

(4) Saehan's and Woongjin's business registration certificate which demonstrates that despite the name change, the business registration number remained the same;

(5) Certificate of corporate registration that demonstrated the name change from Saehan to Woongjin;

(6) Announcement to Saehan's customers of the name change;

(7) Corporate organizational charts demonstrating that the organizational structure remained unchanged despite the name change;

(8) Organizational charts of the PSF production and sales divisions demonstrating that the organizational structure remained unchanged before and after the name change;

(9) Woongjin's Internet Web site demonstrating that Saehan is now Woongjin;

(10) A list of suppliers before and after the name change demonstrating that Woongjin has maintained Saehan's supplier relationships with only some minor variations (which Woongjin explains are due to timing changes and normal business turnover); and

(11) A list of customers before and after the name change demonstrating that Woongjin has maintained Saehan's customer base with only some minor variations (which Woongjin explains are due to timing changes and normal business turnover).

The documentation described above demonstrates that there was little or no change in management structure, supplier relationships, production facilities, or customer base. Therefore, we determine that expedited action is warranted and we preliminarily find that Woongjin is the successor-in-interest to Saehan and, thus, should receive the same antidumping duty treatment with respect to PSF from Korea. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results.

#### Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Persons interested in attending the hearing, if one is requested, should contact the

Department for the date and time of the hearing.

Case briefs from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e).

The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) and (2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: June 6, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-13506 Filed 6-13-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-570-822**

#### **Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 16, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Devta Ohri, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3853.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On October 19, 1993, the Department published the antidumping duty order on certain helical spring lock washers ("HSLW") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), as amended on November 23, 1993. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China*, 58 FR 53914 (October 19, 1993), and *Amended Final Determination and Amended Antidumping Duty Order:*

*Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China*, 58 FR 61859 (November 23, 1993). On November 26, 2007, the Department initiated an administrative review of Hangzhou Spring Washer Co., Ltd. (also known as Zhejiang Wanxin Group, Ltd.) ("HSW" or "Respondent"). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 65938 (November 26, 2007). On May 15, 2008, both HSW and Shakeproof Assembly Components Division of Illinois Tool Works Inc. ("Shakeproof" or "Petitioner") requested that the Department exercise its discretion and extend the deadline for withdrawal of administrative review beyond 90 days, thereby allowing both HSW's and Shakeproof's May 15, 2008, withdrawal requests to be considered timely.

#### **Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the order are HSLWs of carbon steel, of carbon alloy steel, or of stainless steel, heat-treated or non-heat-treated, plated or non-plated, with ends that are off-line. HSLWs are designed to: (1) Function as a spring to compensate for developed looseness between the component parts of a fastened assembly; (2) distribute the load over a larger area for screws or bolts; and (3) provide a hardened bearing surface. The scope does not include internal or external tooth washers, nor does it include spring lock washers made of other metals, such as copper.

HSLWs subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheading 7318.21.0030 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

#### **Rescission of Review**

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request at a later date if the Department determines that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. Both HSW and Shakeproof withdrew their requests for review on May 15, 2008, which is after the 90-day deadline. Nonetheless, the Department accepts the withdrawal requests because it has not yet expended

significant resources on this review. Therefore, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of HSW covering the period October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007.

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") 15 days after publication of this rescission notice. The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 10, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-13494 Filed 6-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

##### Entry of Shipments of Cotton, Wool, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Textiles and Apparel in Excess of U.S. - China Bilateral Textile Agreement Limits for 2008.

June 11, 2008.

**AGENCY:** The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (the Committee).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ross Arnold, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854).

This notice serves to remind interested parties that charges against the limits subject to the U.S. - China Bilateral Textile Agreement signed on November 8, 2005 (the Agreement) are by date of export and not date of entry. A properly completed electronic visa (ELVIS) transmission will be required for all shipments exported prior to January 1, 2009 that are subject to Agreement limits, regardless of the date of entry into the United States. Shipments exported in 2008 in excess of agreed limits are in violation of the terms of the Agreement. Shipments exported from China on and after January 1, 2009 will not require an ELVIS transmission.

The purpose of this notice is to advise the public that CITA reserves the right to permanently deny entry to or to stage entry to goods that have been shipped in excess of the 2008 limits under the Agreement. Overshipments of merchandise subject to the Agreement shall be subject to delayed and staged entry, in a manner similar to the procedures followed for overshipments of 2005 China textile safeguard limits, as published in the Federal Register Notice on December 5, 2005 (70 FR 72427). Any overshipments of the 2008 limits of the Agreement shall be subject to the following procedures:

1. Entry will not be allowed until one month after the expiration date of the agreement limit. Therefore entry will not be allowed until February 1, 2009.
2. At that time, only 5 percent of the 2008 base limit will be allowed entry for a one month period beginning on that date.
3. An additional 5 percent will be allowed entry monthly until all overshipments are allowed entry.

CITA will publish a notice and directive to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) later this year indicating the categories involved in staged entry and the 5 percent quantities to be allowed in monthly beginning February 1, 2009.

**R. Matthew Priest,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. E8-13482 Filed 6-13-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

##### Feasibility of a Reciprocal Defense Procurement Memorandum of Understanding With Poland

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Request for industry feedback regarding experience in public (particularly defense) procurements conducted by the Republic of Poland.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is soliciting information from U.S. industry that has had experience participating in public defense procurements conducted by or on behalf of Poland's Ministry of National Defense or Armed Forces. DoD is considering the possibility of negotiating a Reciprocal Defense Procurement Memorandum of Understanding (RDP MOU) with Poland. The contemplated MOU would involve reciprocal waivers of buy-national laws by each country. This would mean that Poland would be added to the list of "qualifying countries" in the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS), and that offers of products of Poland would be exempt from the U.S. Buy American Act and Balance of Payments Program policy that would otherwise require DoD to add 50 percent to the price of the foreign products when evaluating offers. This also means that U.S. products should be exempt from any analogous "Buy Polish" law or policy applicable to Poland's defense procurements. DoD is interested in industry comments relating to the transparency, integrity, and general fairness of Poland's public (defense) procurement processes. DoD is also interested in comments relating to the degree of reciprocity that exists between the United States and Poland when it comes to the openness of defense procurements to offers of products of the other country.

**DATES:** Comments, which will be treated in a confidential manner, must be received by July 16, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments to: Office of the Director, Defense Procurement, Acquisition Policy, and Strategic Sourcing, ATTN: OUSD (AT&L) DPAP (CPIC), 3060 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3060; or by e-mail to [barbara.glotfelty@osd.mil](mailto:barbara.glotfelty@osd.mil).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Barbara Glotfelty, telephone 703-697-9351.