Division AIR–2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901; (520) 622–1622 or *tax.wienke@epa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region IX sent a letter to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality and the Maricopa Association of Governments on May 30, 2008 stating that the 2010 motor vehicle emissions budget for PM-10 in the submitted 2007 MAG 5% Plan is adequate. The budget corresponds to the Phoenix metropolitan PM-10 nonattainment area, which encompasses roughly half of Maricopa County, including the cities of Phoenix and Mesa, and also the Apache Junction area of Pinal County, in central Arizona. Receipt of this motor vehicle emissions budget was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site, and no comments were submitted. The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: *http://www.epa.gov/otaq/* stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

The adequate 2010 motor vehicle emissions budget (calculated for an annual average day) are provided in the following table:

## ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGET

[In metric tons per day]

Budget year	PM–10 motor vehicle emissions budget
2010	103.3

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: June 5, 2008.

#### Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. E8–13519 Filed 6–13–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054; FRL-8579-9]

#### Board of Scientific Counselors, Homeland Security Subcommittee Meeting—July 2008

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development (ORD), gives notice of a meeting of the Board of Scientific Counselors (BOSC) Homeland Security Subcommittee.

**DATES:** The meeting (teleconference call) will be held on Friday, July 11, 2008, from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m. eastern time. The meeting may adjourn early if all business is finished. Requests for the draft agenda or for making oral presentations at the meetings will be accepted up to 1 business day before the meeting.

ADDRESSES: Participation in the conference call will be by teleconference only—meeting rooms will not be used. Members of the public may obtain the call-in number and access code for the call from Greg Susanke, whose contact information is listed under the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this notice. Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ– ORD–2008–0054, by one of the following methods:

• *http://www.regulations.gov:* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

• *E-mail:* Send comments by electronic mail (e-mail) to: *ORD.Docket@epa.gov,* Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054.

• *Fax:* Fax comments to: (202) 566–0224, Attention Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–ORD–2008–0054.

• *Mail:* Send comments by mail to: Board of Scientific Counselors, Homeland Security Subcommittee Meeting—Spring 2008 Docket, Mailcode: 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. EPA–HQ– ORD–2008–0054.

• *Hand Delivery or Courier*. Deliver comments to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/ DC), Room B102, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, Attention Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–ORD–2008–0054. Note: this is not a mailing address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0054. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at *http://* www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact vou for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the *http:// www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Board of Scientific Counselors, Homeland Security Subcommittee Meeting—Spring 2008 Docket, EPA/DC, EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the ORD Docket is (202) 566–1752.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Designated Federal Officer via mail at: Greg Susanke, Mail Drop 8104–R, Office of Science Policy, Office of Research and Development, Environmental Protection Agency, 1300 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; via phone/voice mail at: (202) 564–9945; via fax at: (202) 565–2911; or via e-mail at: *susanke.greg@epa.gov*.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **General Information**

The meeting is open to the public. Any member of the public interested in receiving a draft BOSC agenda or making a presentation at the meeting may contact Greg Susanke, the Designated Federal Officer, via any of the contact methods listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section above. In general, each individual making an oral presentation will be limited to a total of three minutes.

EPA ORD is conducting a prospective and retrospective independent expert review through the BOSC, of its Homeland Security Research Program, to evaluate the program's relevance, quality, performance, and scientific leadership. The BOSC's evaluation and recommendations will provide guidance to ORD's National Homeland Security Research Center. Proposed agenda items for the meeting include, but are not limited to: review and discussion of the draft subcommittee report which includes overall comments and recommendations to ORD's National Homeland Security Research Program, and responses to subcommittee charge questions.

Information on Services for Individuals with Disabilities: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Greg Susanke at (202) 564–9945 or susanke.greg@epa.gov. To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Greg Susanke, preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: June 9, 2008.

Mary Ellen Radzikowski, Acting Office Director, Office of Science

Policy.

[FR Doc. E8–13483 Filed 6–13–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-ORD-2003-0002; FRL-8579-7]

#### Notice of Availability for the Framework for Application of the Toxicity Equivalence Methodology for Polychlorinated Dioxins, Furans, and Biphenyls in Ecological Risk Assessment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of document availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing the availability of the final "Framework  $% \overline{\mathbf{F}}$ for Application of the Toxicity Equivalence Methodology for Polychlorinated Dioxins, Furans, and **Biphenyls in Ecological Risk** Assessment" (EPA/100/R-08/004). The purpose of the Framework is to assist EPA scientists in using the toxicity equivalence methodology to assess ecological risks from mixtures of dioxinlike chemicals, i.e., polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs), dibenzofurans (PCDFs), and biphenyls (PCBs), as well as to inform EPA decision makers, other agencies, and the public about this methodology. This framework provides an introduction to the toxicity equivalence methodology, offers considerations for how and when to apply the methodology, and presents practical examples of its use. The Framework thus serves to enhance the application of the best available science. This document is not intended to serve as guidance on how to conduct a comprehensive risk assessment for dioxin-like chemicals or to act as a regulation or binding policy. EPA's Risk Assessment Forum oversaw the development of this document, incorporating input obtained from an expert workshop, scientists throughout the Agency, stakeholders, and a peer review by twelve experts from a range of scientific disciplines.

**ADDRESSES:** The final document is available electronically through the EPA Office of the Science Advisor's Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/osa/raf/ *tefframework/*. A limited number of paper copies will be available from EPA's National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP), P.O. Box 42419, Cincinnati, OH 45242; telephone 1–800–490–9198 or 513–489– 8190; facsimile 301–604–3408; e-mail *NSCEP@bps-lmit.com*. Please provide your name and mailing addresses and the title and EPA number (as given above) of the requested publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Seema Schappelle, Risk Assessment Forum, Mail Code 8105R, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.,Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–3372; fax number: (202) 564–2070, E-mail: *schappelle.seema@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For more than a decade, EPA and other organizations have estimated the combined risks that mixtures of PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs pose to human health using the toxicity equivalence methodology. As both data and experience with the methodology have accumulated, experts have come to the consensus that the toxicity equivalence methodology can strengthen assessments of ecological risks as well. In 1998, EPA and DOI sponsored a workshop that recommended the development of further guidance on application of the toxicity equivalence methodology in ecological risk assessment. This framework has been developed in direct response to that workshop recommendation. EPA consulted with other federal agencies at key points during the document's development. In July 2003, EPA released a draft Framework for a 60-day public comment period. An external peer review was conducted in 2004 by twelve experts from a range of scientific disciplines.

Dated: May 15, 2008.

#### George M. Gray,

EPA Science Advisor. [FR Doc. E8–13484 Filed 6–13–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval, Comments Requested

June 5, 2008.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this