

Participation in N-SAS and response to individual questions are voluntary. The respondents will be anonymous to EPA and contractor staff and Knowledge Networks keeps identify of respondents confidential.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.25 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Members of the Knowledge Networks web panel (1) age 35 or older, (2) who respond yes to "During the past month, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?" and (3) live in Washington, DC; Sacramento (also other cities in San Joaquin Valley—San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno), Chicago, Dallas, Houston, Atlanta, Philadelphia, or St. Louis.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,600.

Frequency of Response: Up to 10 responses per respondent over 4 months.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 828 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$22,803, includes \$0 annualized capital or O&M costs.

Dated: June 2, 2008.

Sara Hisel-McCoy,
Director, Collection Strategies Division.
[FR Doc. E8-12729 Filed 6-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6699-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 2008 (73 FR 19833).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20080057, ERP No. D-AFS- J65509-MT, Young Dodge Project, Proposed Timber Harvest and Associate Activities, Prescribed Burning, Road and Recreation Management, Kootenai National Forest, Rexford Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT.

Summary: EPA supports activities to reduce hazardous fuels and fire risk in wildland and urban interface, and restore declining tree species. However, EPA expressed environmental concerns about the impacts from disturbance to soil, water, wildlife and habitat connectivity, and recommended modifications to the preferred alternative, including additional road segment closure to reduce these impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080059, ERP No. D-AFS- J65510-UT, Uinta National Forest Oil and Gas Leasing, Implementation, Identify National Forest Systems Land with Federal Mineral Rights, Wasatch, Utah, Juab, Tooele, and Sanpete Counties, UT

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about air quality, wetlands, and inventoried roadless areas, and recommended confirmation of the anticipated air impacts and clarification of the wetlands and roadless area impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080095, ERP No. D-NOA- L39065-OR, Bull Run Water Supply Habitat Conservation Plan, Application for and Incidental Take Permit to cover the Continued Operation and Maintenance, Sandy River Basin, City of Portland, OR

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20080117, ERP No. D-AFS- L65550-00, Selway-Bitterroot Wilderness Plants Management Project, To Prevent the Establishment of New Invaders and Reduce the Impacts of Established Invasive Plants on Native Plant Community Stability, Sustainability and Diversity, Nez Perce, Clearwater, Lolo, and Bitterroot National Forests, ID and MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential herbicide treatment impacts to water quality within waterbodies that presently do not meet water quality standards. The final EIS should include information demonstrating that weed treatments would be protective of water quality. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080126, ERP No. D-AFS- L65551-ID, Corralled Bear Project, Management of Vegetation, Hazardous Fuels, and Access, Plus Watershed Improvements, Palouse Ranger District, Clearwater National Forest, Latah County, ID.

Summary: EPA supports the management direction proposed under Alternative 3 and requested that the final EIS include additional clarification on the proposed OHV route designations. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20080153, ERP No. DS-NOA- E91021-00, Snapper Grouper Amendment 15B, Fishery Management Plan, Updated Information on the Economic Analysis for the Bag Limit Sales Provision, Update Management Reference Point for Golden Tilefish (*Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps*); Define Allocations for Snowy Grouper (*Epinephelus niveatus*) and Red Porgy (*Pagrus pagrus*), NC, SC, FL, and GA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20080157, ERP No. F-AFS- L65522-WA, Gifford-Pinchot National Forest and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area (Washington Portion) Site-Specific Invasive Plant Treatment Project, Implementation, Skamania, Cowlitz, Lewis, Clark, Klickitat Counties, WA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 3, 2008.

Robert W. Hargrove,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E8-12710 Filed 6-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P