

soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

The Public Housing Assessment System requires public housing agencies to submit financial information annually to HUD. The Uniform Financial Reporting Standards for HUD housing programs requires that this information be submitted electronically, using generally accepted accounting principles, in a prescribed format. HUD will implement a revised financial data schedule (FDS) to capture property level financial data.

DATES: *Comments Due Date: July 7, 2008.*

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval Number (2535-0107) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lillian Deitzer, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing

and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Lillian Deitzer at *Lillian_L_Deitzer@HUD.gov* or telephone (202) 402-8048. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Deitzer.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the Information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the

burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Public Housing Financial Management Template.

OMB Approval Number: 2535-0107.

Form Numbers: None.

Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use:

The Public Housing Assessment System requires public housing agencies to submit financial information annually to HUD. The Uniform Financial Reporting Standards for HUD housing programs requires that this information be submitted electronically, using generally accepted accounting principles, in a prescribed format. HUD will implement a revised financial data schedule (FDS) to capture property level financial data.

Frequency of Submission: Annually.

	Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting Burden	3,996	1.89		5.53		41,885

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 41,885.

Status: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: May 29, 2008.

Lillian L. Deitzer,

Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-12650 Filed 6-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Central Utah Project Completion Act

AGENCY: Department of the Interior, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Water and Science.

ACTION: Notice of Availability, Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA), Hobbler Creek Stream Restoration, Utah County, UT.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, the Central Utah Project Completion Act Office proposes to relocate, and restore natural

stream sinuosity, and fisheries habitat, in Hobbler Creek, a tributary to Utah Lake in Utah County, Utah, to assist recovery of the endangered June sucker fish (*Chasmistes liorus*). This project has been planned in cooperation with the June Sucker Recovery Implementation Program (JSRIP).

A Proposed Action and No Action Alternative are evaluated in the DEA. Under the Proposed Action, approximately the last one mile of Hobbler Creek, where it enters Utah Lake, will be relocated onto property owned by the State of Utah. The project will improve the hydrology of the stream, open the upper reaches of Hobbler Creek to spawning June sucker, which currently exist in Utah Lake. As part of the project, adjacent wetlands and connecting side channels will be constructed on the property to create backwater habitat for survival and rearing of larval stages of June sucker produced in the creek. After construction, the project lands would be managed by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources for protection of wetlands and conservation of the June sucker. The Utah Transit Authority, State of Utah, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service are cooperating agencies in the NEPA process.

DATES: The DEA will be available for public review and comment for a minimum of thirty (30) calendar days following the publication of this notice. The deadline for submittal of written comments on the DEA will be stated on the cover sheet of the document and noted in the transmittal letter to all reviewers.

Comments on the DEA may also be presented verbally, or in writing, at a public meeting to be held in the vicinity of the project. At this meeting the Lead Agency will present the evaluation of environmental impacts and provide additional opportunity for public comment on the project. The place, date, and time of public meeting will be noted in the transmittal letter to all reviewers and announced in local newspapers.

The public meeting will be held Thursday, June 26, 2008, at Bureau of Reclamation, 302 East 1860 South, Provo, Utah 84606 starting at 6 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the DEA should be addressed to: Mr. Reed R. Murray, Central Utah Project Completion Act Office, 302 East 1860 South, Provo, Utah 84606 or E-mail to *rswanson@uc.usbr.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Copies of the Draft EA can be obtained

by contacting Mr. Ralph G. Swanson at the address above, by calling 801-379-1254 or at rswanson@uc.usbr.gov.

Copies of the DEA are also available for inspection at:
Springville City Library, 50 South Main,
Springville, Utah 84663;

Department of the Interior, Central Utah
Project Completion Act Office, 302
East 1860 South, Provo, Utah 84606.

In addition, the complete text of the document is available at the JSRIP Web site at <http://www.junesuckerrecovery.org> or the Utah Transit Authority Web site at <http://www.rideuta.com>.

Information on other matters related to this notice may be obtained by calling or writing Mr. Ralph G. Swanson, Program Coordinator, CUP Completion Act Office, Department of the Interior, 302 East 1860 South, Provo, UT 84606-6154, (801) 379-1254, E-mail address, rswanson@uc.usbr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Before including your name, address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Reed R. Murray,
CUP Program Director, Department of the Interior.
[FR Doc. E8-12672 Filed 6-5-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-RK-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R4-R-2008-N0015; 40136-1265-0000-S3]

Eufaula National Wildlife Refuge, Barbour and Russell Counties, AL, and Stewart and Quitman Counties, GA

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability: draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment (Draft CCP/EA) for Eufaula National Wildlife Refuge for public review and comment. In this Draft CCP/

EA, we describe the alternative we propose to use to manage this refuge for the 15 years following approval of the Final CCP.

DATES: To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by July 21, 2008. We will hold a public meeting. We will announce the upcoming meeting in the local news media.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the Draft CCP/EA should be addressed to Eufaula National Wildlife Refuge, 367 Highway 165, Eufaula, AL 36027-8187; Telephone 334/687-4065. The Draft CCP/EA may also be accessed and downloaded from the Service's Internet Web site <http://southeast.fws.gov/planning>. Comments on the Draft CCP/EA may be submitted to the above address or via electronic mail to mike_dawson@fws.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike Dawson, Refuge Planner, Jackson, MS; 601/965-4903, extension 20.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

With this notice, we continue the CCP process for Eufaula National Wildlife Refuge. We started the process through a notice in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2006 (71 FR 4373).

Background

The CCP Process

The National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee), which amended the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year plan for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and our policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation. We will review and update the CCP at least every 15 years in accordance with the Improvement Act and NEPA.

CCP Alternatives, Including Our Proposed Alternative

We developed four alternatives for managing the refuge and chose Alternative D as the proposed alternative. Each alternative would pursue the same four broad refuge goals. These goals are: (1) Wildlife; (2) habitat; (3) public use; and (4) refuge administration.

Alternatives

A full description of each alternative is in the Draft CCP/EA. We summarize each alternative below.

Alternative A—Current Management (No Action)

In general, Alternative A would maintain current management direction, that is, the refuge's habitats and wildlife populations would continue to be managed as they have in recent years. Public use patterns would remain relatively unchanged from those that exist at present.

We would conserve, protect, and enhance native wildlife populations representative of the middle Chattahoochee River Valley, including waterfowl, other migratory birds, and threatened and endangered species.

We would provide a complex of habitats, both moist-soil and grain crops, to meet the foraging needs of 15,000 wintering ducks. This would assist the North American Waterfowl Management Plan in meeting its goals. We would also provide adequate open space (upland crop fields) for winter utilization and feeding of at least 350 geese and cranes. In addition, staff and/or volunteers would maintain 100 wood duck boxes on the refuge.

We would continue forest management at current levels and intensity. We would maintain 175 acres of grassland habitat for the benefit of grassland birds. In addition, we would use various tools to maintain tall emergent vegetation sufficient to support a population of 10 king rails and to benefit other species of marsh birds.

For the benefit of wading birds, known rookeries would be protected but there would be no active management of foraging habitat for herons and egrets. Likewise, no active management for shorebirds would take place. However, we would provide protective conservation measures for Federal or State listed species and habitats for future ecological existence.

We would employ sound scientific principles to manage healthy populations of resident wildlife species. We would control domestic, feral, or