

use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before August 4, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the collection of information to Dr. Dee Ann Batten, Office of Policy and Evaluation, Merit Systems Protection Board, 1615 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20419.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Dr. Dee Ann Batten at (202) 653-6772, ext. 1411, or by e-mail to deeann.batten@mspb.gov (please put "Employee Survey" in the subject line of the message).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This study is being conducted under MSPB's statutory authority to "conduct, from time to time, special studies relating to the civil service and to other merit systems in the executive branch, and report to the President and to the Congress as to whether the public interest in a civil service free of prohibited personnel practices is being adequately protected." (section 1204, title 5 U.S.C.) In addition, the Code of Federal Regulations also describes the role of MSPB's Office of Policy and Evaluation as responsible for carrying out "the Board's statutory responsibility to conduct special reviews and studies of the civil service and other merit systems in the Executive Branch, as well as oversight reviews of the significant actions of the Office of Personnel Management." 5 CFR 1200.10(b)(6). The MSPB intends to ask for approval to collect information to support its study on Federal Telework. Respondents will be asked to complete a survey(s) about their experiences with and perceptions of Telework and other related topics about their organizations and careers.

Burden Statement: The reporting burden for the collection of information on this request is estimated to vary from 15 minutes to 30 minutes, with an average of 20 minutes, including time for reviewing instructions and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The respondents will be selected via stratified random sampling to facilitate representative samples of employees. We plan to survey 28,000 people with one response per person. We estimate the response rate to be 60 percent (16,800 total responses) resulting in an annual reporting burden of 5,544 hours (.33 hours × 16,800).

William D. Spencer,
Clerk of the Board.

[FR Doc. E8-12380 Filed 6-3-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7400-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Permit Applications Received Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541)

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice of Permit Applications Received under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978, Pub. L. 95-541.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permit applications received to conduct activities regulated under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. NSF has published regulations under the Antarctic Conservation Act at Title 45 Part 670 of the Code of Federal Regulations. This is the required notice of permit applications received.

DATES: Interested parties are invited to submit written data, comments, or views with respect to this permit application by July 7, 2008. This application may be inspected by interested parties at the Permit Office, address below.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Permit Office, Room 755, Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nadene G. Kennedy at the above address or (703) 292-7405.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Science Foundation, as directed by the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541), as amended by the Antarctic Science, Tourism and Conservation Act of 1996, has developed regulations for the establishment of a permit system for various activities in Antarctica and designation of certain animals and certain geographic areas a requiring special protection. The regulations establish such a permit system to designate Antarctic Specially Protected Areas.

The applications received are as follows:

Permit Application No. 2009-006

1. *Applicant:* Wayne Z. Trivelpiece, Antarctic Ecosystem Research Division, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8604 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037

Activity for Which Permit Is Requested

Take, Enter Antarctic Specially Protected Area (ASP), and Import into the USA. The applicant plans to enter the ASPA located on the Western Shore of Admiralty Bay, King George Island (ASP 129), and Lions Rump, King

George Island (ASP 151) to capture up to 1,000 chicks and 500 adult Adelie, Gentoo, Chinstrap penguins, Skuas, Sheathbill, Southern Petrel and Kelp Gull for banding, weighing, diet studies, collecting blood and gland oil samples, as well as attaching instruments (Tx's, PTT's, TDR's). The collection of samples and instrument readings are a continuation of the study of the behavioral ecology and population biology of the Adelie, Gentoo and Chinstrap penguins and the interactions among these species and their principal avian predators: Skuas, sheathbills, and giant petrels. All captured animals will be released.

Location

Western Shore of Admiralty Bay, King George Island (ASP 128) and Lions Rump, King George Island (ASP 151).

Dates

October 1, 2008 to August 31, 2009.

Nadene G. Kennedy,

Permit Officer, Office of Polar Programs.

[FR Doc. E8-12409 Filed 6-3-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

Neighborworks® America; Thirtieth Annual Board of Directors Meeting; Sunshine Act

TIME AND DATE: 2 p.m., Wednesday, June 4, 2008.

PLACE: 1325 G Street NW., Suite 800, Boardroom, Washington, DC 20005.

STATUS: Open.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Erica Hall, Assistant Corporate Secretary, (202) 220-2376; ehall@nw.org.

AGENDA:

- I. Call To Order.
- II. Approval of the Minutes.
- III. Summary of the Audit Committee Actions.
- IV. Summary Report of the Corporate Administration Committee.
- V. Summary of the Finance, Budget and Program Committee Actions.
- VI. Edward M. Gramlich Fellowship in Community Development.
- VII. NHSA Interim Assessment Update (Executive Session).
- VIII. Financial Report.
- IX. Corporate Scorecard.
- X. Chief Executive Officer's Quarterly Management Report.

XI. Adjournment.

Erica Hall,

Assistant Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-12358 Filed 6-3-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7570-02-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc., Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 2 and 3 Combined License Application Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Conduct Scoping Process; Correction****AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.**ACTION:** Notice of Intent; Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on May 22, 2008, (73 FR 29785) that notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement and conduct scoping process. This action is necessary to correct an erroneous date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Please contact Dr. Donald Palmrose, Project Manager at (301) 415-3803 or via e-mail at donald.palmrose@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 29787, in the first column, in the first line of column, the date is changed from "July 18, 2008," to read "July 25, 2008."

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of May, 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Nilesh C. Chokshi,

Acting Director, Division of Site and Environmental Reviews, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. E8-12460 Filed 6-3-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**[Docket No. 150-00017]****In the Matter of: Global X-Ray & Testing Corporation General License Pursuant to Houma, LA; 10 CFR 150.20, EA-08-008; EA-08-009; EA-08-010; EA-08-011; Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately)****I**

Global X-Ray & Testing Corporation (Global or Licensee) is the holder of a general license pursuant to 10 CFR 150.20 issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission). This general license was granted to Global at various times

during calendar years 2001 through 2008.

This Confirmatory Order is the result of an agreement reached during an alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mediation session conducted on April 29, 2008.

II

An NRC inspection was conducted in response to an event that occurred on April 20, 2006, involving the inability to retract a radiation source to its fully shielded position while conducting radiographic operations onboard a lay-barge in offshore Federal waters. The inspection began on March 13, 2007, and continued with in-office review through November 26, 2007. An investigation by the NRC's Office of Investigations (OI) was initiated on April 17, 2007. Based on the results of the NRC inspection and the OI investigation, the NRC identified four apparent violations which were discussed in a letter and inspection report dated February 20, 2008. The violations involved: (1) The failure to provide the NRC with complete and accurate information, as required by 10 CFR 30.9(a); (2) the failure to prevent workers from resuming work after their pocket dosimeters were found to be off-scale and the possibility of radiation exposure could not be ruled out as the cause, as required by 10 CFR 34.47(d); (3) the failure to ensure that a radiographer was providing personal supervision of the radiographer's assistant through direct observation of the assistant's performance of radiographic operations, as required by 10 CFR 34.46(c); and (4) permitting an individual who was not wearing a personnel dosimeter during radiographic operations to act as a radiographer, in contradiction of 10 CFR 34.47(a). In addition, the NRC was concerned that the first apparent violation, the failure to provide the NRC with complete and accurate information, involved willfulness.

In response to the apparent violations, Global requested ADR. On April 29, 2008, the NRC and Global met in an ADR session mediated by a professional mediator, arranged through Cornell University's Institute on Conflict Resolution. ADR is a process in which a neutral mediator with no decision-making authority assists the parties in reaching an agreement on resolving any differences regarding the dispute. During the mediation, Global provided additional corrective actions including developing an emergency procedure for retrieval of radioactive sources, an incident investigation procedure, an incident interview policy, and informed

the NRC that it plans to conduct announced and unannounced inspections of its radiography crews working on lay-barges. This confirmatory order is issued pursuant to the agreement reached during the ADR process.

III

During that ADR session, an Agreement in Principle was reached. The elements of the agreement consisted of the following:

1. Global will develop a procedure for additional oversight of radiography crews working offshore. They will incorporate into this procedure a method for each crew to review the special requirements for offshore work with the Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) or a supervisor (who is a certified radiographer). The review is to be accomplished prior to leaving for an offshore job, then again when the crew arrives at their final destination where radiography will be performed to ensure that they have all the equipment necessary to conduct radiographic operations in a safe manner. Documentation of the second review is to be sent by fax or other available method to a supervisor or the RSO within 2 hours of completion, but no later than 8 hours in the event of documented communication interruptions.

2. Global will obtain an agreement with lay-barge operators. In general, this agreement would include provisions to conduct radiographic operations, respond to incidents, and facilitate direct Global management/RSO oversight of radiographers on the lay-barge.

3. Global will agree to specific changes or "confirmation and acknowledgment" of specific changes in Global's supervision policy (including supervision of assistant radiographers) which would include field audits of lay-barge radiographic operations by Global management. Global will make reasonable attempts to conduct a minimum of four field audits per year.

4. As part of Global's contract negotiations for lay-barge operations, Global will make arrangements for NRC inspection of Global's lay-barge operations on U.S. owned lay-barges. Global will make every effort possible to secure, for the NRC, such access to foreign owned lay-barges.

5. Global will write and deliver a personal letter from licensee management to each employee regarding company expectations concerning 10 CFR 30.9 issues or issue a company policy statement encouraging employees to self-report.