initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–977– 8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and access code.

To ensure that the Commission secures an appropriate number of lines for the public, persons are asked to register by contacting Alfreda Greene of the Eastern Regional Office, 202–376–7533, TTY 202–376–8116 by 4:00 p.m., on June 11, 2008.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the regional office by June 30, 2008. The address is 624 Ninth Street, NW., Suite 740, Washington, DC 20425. Comments may be e-mailed to agreene@usccr.gov. Records generated by this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Eastern Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, http://www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Eastern Regional Office at the above email or street address.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated in Washington, DC, May 23, 2008. **Christopher Byrnes**,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. E8–12019 Filed 5–28–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: United States Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Notice of briefing and meeting. **DATE AND TIME:** Friday, June 6, 2008; 9:30 a.m.

PLACE: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 Ninth Street, NW., Rm. 540, Washington, DC 20425.

BRIEFING AGENDA: Topic: Review of the Department of Justice's Plans to Monitor Voting Rights Enforcement for the 2008 U.S. Presidential Election.

I. Introductory Remarks by Chairman. II. Speakers' Presentations.

III. Questions by Commissioners and Staff Director.

IV. Adjourn Briefing.

Meeting Agenda:

I. Approval of Agenda. II. Approval of Minutes.

May 9, 2008 Meeting.

III. Announcements.

IV. Staff Director's Report.

V. Management and Operations.

• FY 2009 Budget Submission. VI. Program Planning.

• 2010 Program Planning.

VII. State Advisory Committee Issues.

• Florida SAC.

Kentucky SAC.

• Wyoming SAC.

VIII. Future Agenda Items.

IX. Adjourn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lenore Ostrowsky, Acting Chief, Public Affairs Unit, (202) 376–8582.

Dated: May 27, 2008.

David Blackwood,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 08–1309 Filed 5–27–08; 1:44 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration (A–570–868)

Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 29, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel LaCivita or Benjamin Caryl, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243 or (202) 482–3003, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 26, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on folding metal tables and chairs from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 72 FR 41057 (July 26, 2007). This review covers the period June 1, 2006, through May 31, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the

Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

On March 4, 2008, the Department published a notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of this administrative review of the antidumping duty order. See Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 73 FR 11615 (March 4, 2008). The preliminary results of review are currently due no later than May 30, 2008.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of the administrative review of folding metal tables and chairs from the PRC within this time limit. Specifically, due to complex issues related to the selection of surrogate values, we find that additional time is needed to complete these preliminary results. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is now fully extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review to 365 days until June 29, 2008. Because June 29, 2008. falls on a Sunday, the preliminary results will be due June 30, 2008, the

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 22, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

next business day.

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–11992 Filed 5–28–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration A-533-809

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges

from India; Final Results of
Antidumping Duty Administrative
Review and Rescission in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** On March 5, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the

Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges (stainless steel flanges) from India manufactured by Shree Ganesh Forgings, Ltd. (Shree Ganesh) and Nakshatra Enterprises Pvt., Ltd. (Nakshatra) covering the period February 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007. See Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent to Rescind Administrative Review in Part, 73 FR 11863 (March 5, 2008) (Preliminary Results). Based on further analysis of our computations for Shree Ganesh, we have made changes in the margin calculation; therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results for Shree Ganesh. The final weightedaverage dumping margin for Shree Ganesh is listed below in the section entitled, "Final Results of Review." We are also rescinding the review for Nakshatra because we have determined that it had no bona fide U.S. sales during the period of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 29, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2924 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 5, 2008, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of review, Shree Ganesh submitted two sets of comments. However, we received these comments after the deadline for submitting comments, and they were not filed in proper form. Therefore, we returned them to Shree Ganesh, and have not considered them in these final results of review. See the Department's letter to Shree Ganesh dated April 17, 2008.

We also received a request from the law firm of Miller Chevalier to extend the briefing period to allow for further briefing on behalf of Shree Ganesh. We received this request from Miller Chevalier on April 7, 2008, after the comment period had already closed. We denied the request. *See* the Department's letter to Miller Chevalier dated April 17, 2008.

We received no comments from Nakshatra.

Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2006, to January 31, 2007.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished. generally manufactured to specification ASTM A–182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/buttweld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the abovedescribed merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the order.

Partial Rescission of Review

In the preliminary results, we stated that we intended to rescind the review with respect to Nakshatra because we had determined, based on the totality of the circumstances, that Nakshatra's U.S. sales were not bona fide. See Preliminary Results at 11866. Nakshatra submitted no comments, and we have found no basis for changing the determination announced in the preliminary results. Therefore we are rescinding the review with respect to Nakshatra.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the computer programming used in the preliminary results, we have made the following changes to the margin calculation for Shree Ganesh:

- We changed the names of two of the data sets to ensure use of the proper data;
- We removed language converting the variables for packing (PACKU) and total cost of manufacture (TCOMU) into U.S. dollars because the currency conversion for those variables is made later in the program;

- We removed language converting variable cost of manufacturing (VCOMU) into U.S. dollars because the conversion was unnecessary;
- We deleted references to constructed value (CV) data because Shree Ganesh did not submit a separate CV data base;
- We removed some of the language from the macro program because it was overriding some of the language written into the SAS program.

See the final results analysis memorandum for additional details.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, the Department finds the following weighted—average dumping margin exists for the period February 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Shree Ganesh Forg- ings, Ltd	42.93

Assessment

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated an assessment rate for each importer of the subject merchandise covered by the review.

For any importer–specific assessment rates calculated in the final results that are above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, at or above 0.50 percent), we will issue appraisement instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries by applying the assessment rate to the entered value of the merchandise. We will issue assessment instructions to CBP fifteen days after publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See Notice of Policy Concerning Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Shree Ganesh for which Shree Ganesh did not know the merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the 162.14 percent all-others rate if there is no company-specific rate for an intermediary involved in the transaction. See id. for a full discussion of this clarification.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate listed above: (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original lessthan-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 162.14 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. See Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India, 59 FR 5994, 5995 (February 9, 1994). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations

and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: May 20, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–11996 Filed 5–28–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Customer Satisfaction Surveys (Fast-Track Recall Survey, Ombudsman Survey, State Partner Survey, Hotline Survey, Web-site Survey, and Clearinghouse Survey)

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) (PRA), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) requests comments on a proposed request for an extension of its PRA approval to conduct surveys to determine customers' level of satisfaction with existing services. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). **DATES:** Written comments must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than July 28, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be captioned "Customer Satisfaction Surveys" and e-mailed to the Office of the Secretary at *cpsc-os@cpsc.gov*. Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504–0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about this proposed extension of approval of the collection of information, or to obtain a copy of the questions to be used for this collection of information, call or write Linda Glatz, Division of Policy and Planning, Office of Information Technology and Technology Services, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504–7671 or by e-mail to lglatz@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

OMB has approved CPSC information collection activity using customer satisfaction surveys, OMB Control No. 0341–0128. CPSC seeks extension of that approval for six customer satisfaction surveys to determine the kind and quality of services CPSC customers want and customers' level of satisfaction with existing services. "Customers" of CPSC include any individual or entity interested in or affected by agency activities. These would include, but not be limited to: (1) Consumers that telephone the Hotline or access the CPSC Web-site via the internet to report product-related incidents, or to obtain information on recent product recalls; (2) consumers, industry members, or others that contact the National Injury Information Clearinghouse for information; (3) State representatives who work with CPSC on cooperative programs; (4) firms that use CPSC's Fast-Track Product Recall Program to report and simultaneously propose satisfactory product recall plans; and (5) small businesses that seek information or assistance from the CPSC's small business ombudsman.

These customer surveys are used by the CPSC Office of Financial Management, Planning and Evaluation to prepare sections of the agency's annual performance plan and accountability report in accordance with the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993. The information from the surveys will provide measures of the quality and effectiveness of agency efforts related to three goals in its strategic plan: informing the public, industry services, and customer satisfaction. If this information is not collected, the Commission would not have the means to measure its effectiveness in providing useful services to consumers and others, and lack information necessary to guide program development.

B. Estimated Burden

The surveys will be conducted by inhouse staff primarily through internet, telephone, or in writing. The CPSC staff may: (1) Conduct customer service follow-up queries with a sample of telephone Hotline callers; (2) survey a sample of firms that use Fast-Track Product Recall and Ombudsman Programs to assess their views and suggestions for improvements in the services aspects of the program; (3) conduct a sample survey of state partners and customers of the National Injury Information Clearinghouse; and (4) obtain web-based survey information on customer satisfaction with the