

percent of the total eligible costs, except for any particular projects that are eligible for a higher Federal cost-sharing percentage under the FEMA Public Assistance Pilot Program instituted pursuant to 6 U.S.C. 777.

Further, you are authorized to make changes to this declaration to the extent allowable under the Stafford Act.

The time period prescribed for the implementation of section 310(a), Priority to Certain Applications for Public Facility and Public Housing Assistance, 42 U.S.C. 5153, shall be for a period not to exceed six months after the date of this declaration.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) hereby gives notice that pursuant to the authority vested in the Administrator, under Executive Order 12148, as amended, Justin A. Dombrowski, of FEMA is appointed to act as the Federal Coordinating Officer for this declared disaster.

The following area of the State of Oklahoma has been designated as adversely affected by this declared major disaster:

Ottawa County for Individual Assistance.

All counties within the State of Oklahoma are eligible to apply for assistance under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program.

(The following Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers are to be used for reporting and drawing funds: 97.030, Community Disaster Loans; 97.031, Cora Brown Fund; 97.032, Crisis Counseling; 97.033, Disaster Legal Services; 97.034, Disaster Unemployment Assistance (DUA); 97.046, Fire Management Assistance Grant; 97.048, Disaster Housing Assistance to Individuals and Households in Presidential Declared Disaster Areas; 97.049, Presidential Declared Disaster Assistance—Disaster Housing Operations for Individuals and Households; 97.050, Presidential Declared Disaster Assistance to Individuals and Households—Other Needs; 97.036, Disaster Grants—Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters); 97.039, Hazard Mitigation Grant.)

**R. David Paulson,**

*Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.*

[FR Doc. E8-11999 Filed 5-28-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-10-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-5187-N-36]

**Data Collection for the Housing Counseling Outcome Evaluation**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

The study is designed to gather statistically accurate information on outcomes realized by clients of HUD-funded housing counseling agencies seeking assistance to either purchase a home (pre-purchase clients) or to resolve or prevent a mortgage delinquency (foreclosure mitigation clients). Up to 30 agencies receiving HUD-funding for housing counseling will be recruited to participate voluntarily in the study, with the goal of recruiting 1,000 pre-purchase and 1,000 foreclosure mitigation counseling clients. Each client participating in the study will be asked to complete a baseline questionnaire at the time they are enrolled in the study. Each counseling agency participating will be asked to complete service tracking surveys, for client each time that client is assisted, and counselor information surveys.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date: June 30, 2008.*

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval Number (2528-NEW) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-6974.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lillian Deitzer, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Lillian.Deitzer@HUD.gov or Lillian.L.Deitzer@HUD.gov or telephone (202) 402-8048. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Deitzer.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the Information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**This Notice Also Lists the Following Information**

*Title of Proposal:* Data Collection for the Housing Counseling Outcome Evaluation.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2528-NEW.

*Form Numbers:* None.

*Description of the Need for the Information and its Proposed Use:* The study is designed to gather statistically accurate information on outcomes realized by clients of HUD-funded housing counseling agencies seeking assistance to either purchase a home (pre-purchase clients) or to resolve or prevent a mortgage delinquency (foreclosure mitigation clients). Up to 30 agencies receiving HUD-funding for housing counseling will be recruited to participate voluntarily in the study, with the goal of recruiting 1,000 pre-purchase and 1,000 foreclosure mitigation counseling clients. Each client participating in the study will be asked to complete a baseline questionnaire at the time they are enrolled in the study. Each counseling agency participating will be asked to complete service tracking surveys, for each client each time that client is assisted, and counselor information surveys.

*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion, Other one time.

	Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting Burden .....	2,060	1		2.45		5,060

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 5,060.  
Status: New Collection.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: May 22, 2008.

**Lillian L. Deitzer,**

Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act  
Officer, Office of the Chief Information  
Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-11897 Filed 5-28-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

[FWS-R8-ES-2008-N00134; 81430-1112-0000-F2]

**Proposed Low Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for the Maintenance and Operations on the Calnev 8-Inch and 14-Inch Pipelines, Cajon and Lytle Creek Wash, San Bernardino County, CA**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Calnev Pipeline Company LLC (applicant) has applied to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) for a 5-year incidental take permit for one covered species pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The application addresses the potential for “take” of the endangered San Bernardino kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys merriami parvus*) associated with the proposed pipeline inspection and repair projects in the City of Rialto and unincorporated San Bernardino County, California. A conservation program to minimize and mitigate for the project activities would be implemented as described in the proposed Maintenance and Operations on the Calnev 8-inch and 14-inch Pipelines, Cajon and Lytle Creek Wash, San Bernardino County, California Habitat Conservation Plan (proposed HCP), which would be implemented by the applicant.

We are requesting comments on the permit application and on the preliminary determination that the proposed HCP qualifies as a “Low-effect” Habitat Conservation Plan, eligible for a categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act

(NEPA) of 1969, as amended. The basis for this determination is discussed in the Environmental Action Statement (EAS) and the associated Low Effect Screening Form, which are also available for public review.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before June 30, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to the Field Supervisor, Fish and Wildlife Service, Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office, 6010 Hidden Valley Road, Carlsbad, California 92011. Written comments may be sent by facsimile to (760) 918-0638.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Karen Goebel, Assistant Field Supervisor, Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office (see **ADDRESSES**); telephone: (760) 431-9440.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Availability of Documents**

Individuals wishing copies of the application, proposed HCP, and EAS should immediately contact the Service by telephone at (760) 431-9440 or by letter to the Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office. Copies of the proposed HCP and EAS also are available for public inspection during regular business hours at the Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office [see **ADDRESSES**].

**Background**

Section 9 of the Act and its implementing Federal regulations prohibit the take of animal species listed as endangered or threatened. Take is defined under the Act as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect listed animal species, or attempt to engage in such conduct (16 U.S.C. 1538). However, under section 10(a) of the Act, the Service may issue permits to authorize incidental take of listed species. “Incidental take” is defined by the Act as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, carrying out an otherwise lawful activity. Regulations governing incidental take permits for threatened and endangered species, respectively, are found in the Code of Federal Regulations at 50 CFR 17.22 and 50 CFR 17.32.

The applicant is seeking a permit for take of the San Bernardino kangaroo rat during the life of the permit. This species is referred to as the “SBKR” in the proposed HCP. The SBKR is

restricted western San Bernardino and Riverside counties.

The applicant proposes to perform routine inspection and repair work along 8-inch and 14-inch petroleum product pipelines within the Lytle and Cajon Creek Wash in the City of Rialto and unincorporated San Bernardino County, California. The purpose of the project is to ensure safe and effective transfer of petroleum products within these pipelines. The applicant proposes to expose, inspect and repair pipelines as necessary to ensure safe and effective operations. Each dig will impact approximately 0.02 acre of land, and this permit would authorize a maximum of 0.5 acre of impact in total. We anticipate that some SBKR may be lost within the 0.5 acre of SBKR occupied habitat. The project is within designated critical habitat for the SBKR.

The applicant proposes to minimize and mitigate the effects to the SBKR associated with the covered activities by fully implementing the HCP. The purpose of the proposed HCP’s conservation program is to promote the biological conservation of the SBKR. The HCP includes measures to minimize impacts to SBKR by containing the project footprint, minimizing activities that may directly impact individual SBKR, and promoting recovery of impacted habitat. Impacts would be confined to previously impacted areas, and exposed areas would be replaced with the original soils and associated seed bank. The applicant proposes to mitigate impacts to the SBKR through purchase of 1 credit within the Cajon Creek Conservation Bank in San Bernardino County, California. For each individual repair project, the applicant would subtract from the credit at a 2:1 ratio such that no more than 0.5 acre of impact would be authorized by this permit.

The Proposed Action consists of the issuance of an incidental take permit and implementation of the proposed HCP, which includes measures to minimize and mitigate impacts of the project on the SBKR. One alternative to the taking of the listed species under the Proposed Action is considered in the proposed HCP. Under the No Action Alternative, no permit would be issued, and no maintenance or conservation would occur. This Alternative was not chosen because it would result in no repairs or necessary maintenance to