in the Standard Navy Distribution List that is available at *http:// doni.daps.dla.mil/sndl.aspx.*

The request should contain the name, approximate date during which the case record was developed, the address of the individual concerned and be signed.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking access to information about them contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to their servicing Human Resources Office. Official mailing addresses are published in the Standard Navy Distribution List that is available at *http://doni.daps.dla.mil/ sndl.aspx*.

The request should contain the name, approximate date during which the case record was developed, the address of the individual concerned and be signed.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The Navy's rules for accessing records, and for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are published in Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5211.5; 32 CFR part 701; or may be obtained from the system manager.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Employee, supervisors, co-workers or other agency or contractor-employee personnel, and private individuals to include family members of employee and outside practitioners.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

[FR Doc. E8–11662 Filed 5–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2008-0399; FRL-8570-6]

Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Budgets in Submitted Eight-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan for the San Diego County Nonattainment Area for Transportation Conformity Purposes; California

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that the Agency has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted *Eight-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan for San Diego County (May 2007)* ("2007 San Diego Eight-Hour Ozone Plan") are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The 2007 San Diego Eight-Hour Ozone Plan was submitted to EPA on June 15, 2007 by the California Air Resources Board as a revision to the California state implementation plan. As a result of our finding, the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) and the U.S. Department of Transportation must use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from the submitted eight-hour ozone attainment plan for future transportation conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective June 9, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John J. Kelly, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR–2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901; (415) 947–4151 or *kelly.johnj@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region IX sent a letter to the California Air Resources Board (ARB) on May 13, 2008 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_X) in the submitted 2007 San Diego Eight-Hour Ozone Plan for 2008 are adequate. The budgets correspond to the San Diego County 8-hour ozone nonattainment area, which encompasses the entirety of the county, except for several excluded tribal areas in the southeastern portion of the county, in southwest California. Receipt of the 2007 San Diego Eight-Hour Ozone Plan and related motor vehicle emissions budgets was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site, and no comments were submitted. The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/ stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

The adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets for the San Diego County 8-hour ozone nonattainment area are provided in the following table:

ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS

[Summer day, tons per day]

	1/00	NO 1
Budget year	VOC motor vehicle emissions budget	NO _x motor vehicle emissions budget
2008	53	98

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). The process for determining the adequacy of such budgets is set forth at 40 CFR 93.118(f). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: May 13, 2008.

Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. E8–11604 Filed 5–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6699-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 11, 2008 (73 FR 19833).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20080044, ERP No. D–BIA– L69001–OR, Cascade Locks Resort and Casino Project, Application for the Fee-to-Trust Transfer of 25 Acres of Land within the City of Cascade Locks, Confederated Tribes of the Warn Springs Reservation of Oregon, Cascade Locks, Hood River County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about air quality impacts and construction impacts to fish populations, and recommended additional air quality analyses be performed. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20080105, ERP No. D–AFS– K65339–CA, Orleans Community Fuels Reduction and Forest Health Project, To Manage Forest Stands to Reduce Hazardous Fuel Conditions, Orleans Ranger District, Six Rivers National Forest, Humboldt County, CA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20080114, ERP No. D–BPA– L91030–WA, Lyle Falls Fish Passage Project, To Improve Fish Passage to Habitat in the Upper Part of the Watershed, Located on the Lower Klickitat River, Klickitat County, WA. Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20070294, ERP No. F–APH– 65176–00, ADOPTION—Resident Canada Goose Management Plan, Evaluate Alternative Strategies to Reduce, Manage, and Resident Canada Goose Population, Implementation, within the Conteriminous US.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20080026, ERP No. F–NRC– D09802–MD, License Renewal of the National Bureau of Standards Reactor (NBSR), Renew the Operating License for an Additional 20 Years, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), NUREG–1873, Montgomery County, MD.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20080104, ERP No. F–JUS– K80049–NV, Las Vegas Detention Facility, Proposed Contractor-Owned/ Contractor-Operated Detention. Facility, Implementation, Nevada Area.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about water resource impacts and jurisdictional delineation. EPA also requested that the project incorporate green building design.

EIS No. 20080109, ERP No. F–AFS– L65512–OR, Mt. Hood National Forest and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Site-Specific Invasive Plant Treatments, Forest Plan Amendments #16, Mt. Hood National Forest and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Clackamas, Hood River, Multnomah and Wasco Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA's comments were addressed; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20080130, ERP No. F–AFS– L65554–00, Mt. Ashland LateSuccessional Reserve Habitat Restoration and Fuels Reduction Project, To Promote and Maintain Late-Successional Habitat, Oak Knoll Ranger District, Klamath National Forest, Siskiyou County, CA and Jackson County, OR.

Summary: The Final EIS addressed EPA's comments on the Draft EIS; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20080148, ERP No. F–SFW– F65068–WI, Trempealeau National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan, Implementation, located within the Mississippi River Valley, Buffalo and Trempealeau Counties, WI.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Dated: May 20, 2008.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E8–11664 Filed 5–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-6699-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 05/12/2008 through 05/16/2008. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 20080193, draft EIS, AFS, AK, Spencer Mineral Materials Project, Proposal to Develop and Extract Quarry Rock and Gravel from a Mineral Materials Site near Spencer Glacier, Chugach National Forest, Kenal Borough, AK, Comment Period Ends: 07/07/2008, Contact: Alice Allen 605–673–4853.
- EIS No. 20080194, draft EIS, SFW, TX, Williamson County Regional Habitat Conservation Plan, Application for an Incidental Take Permit, Williamson County, TX, Comment Period Ends: 07/15/2008, Contact: Adam Zerrenner 512–490–0057.
- EIS No. 20080195, draft supplement, FHW, TN, Interstate 69 Segment of Independent Utility (SIU) #8, New Information for a New Crossover Alternative, from TN–385 in Millington, TN to I–155/US 51 in Dyersburg, TN, Funding, Shelby, Tipton, Lauderdale and Dyer

Counties, TN, *Comment Period Ends:* 07/07/2008, *Contact:* Charles J. O'Neill 615–781–5772.

- EIS No. 20080196, final EIS, NRC, KS, Generic—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Regarding Wolf Creek Generating Station, (WCGS) Unit 1. Supplement 32 to NUREG 1437, Implementation, Coffey County, KS, Wait Period Ends: 06/23/2008, Contact: Tam Tran 301–415–3617.
- EIS No. 20080197, draft EIS, BLM, NV, Lincoln County Land Act (LCLA) Groundwater Development and Utility Right-of-Way Project, Implementation, To Grant a Right-of-Way Permit for Groundwater Development and Utility Facilities, Lincoln County, NV, Comment Period Ends: 07/21/2008, Contact: Penny Woods 775–861–6466.
- EIS No. 20080198, final EIS, IBR, CA, Fish Passage Improvement Project, Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD), Improvements of Anadromous Fish Passage both Upstream and Downstream, Tehama-Colusa Canal, RBDD to south of the Town of Dunnigan and Corning Canal, RBDD to south of the City of Corning, Funding, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, Tehama, Glenn, Colusa, Yolo Counties, CA, *Wait Period Ends:* 07/07/2008, *Contact:* Don Reck 530–275–1554.
- EIS No. 20080199, final supplement, BLM, AK, Northeast National Petroleum Reserve—Alaska Integrated Activity Plan, Updated Information, addressing the need for more Oil and Gas Production through Leasing Lands, Consideration of 4 Alternatives, North Slope Borough, AK, Wait Period Ends: 06/23/2008, Contact: Jim Ducker 907–271–3130.
- EIS No. 20080200, draft EIS, AFS, UT, Dixie National Forest Motorized Travel Plan, Implementation, Dixie National Forest and the Teasdale portion of the Fremont River Ranger District on the Fishlake National Forest, Garfield, Iron, Kane, Piute, Washington and Wayne Counties, UT, Comment Period Ends: 07/07/2008, Contact: Andi Falsetto 435–896–9233.
- EIS No. 20080201, final EIS, AFS, SD, Upper Spring Creek Project, Proposes to Implement Multiple Resource Management Actions, Mystic Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, Pennington and Custer Counties, SD, Wait Period Ends: 06/23/2008, Contact: Katie Van Alstyne 605–343– 1567.
- EIS No. 20080202, draft EIS, COE, WI, Wisconsin Power and Light 300 MW Power Plant, Construction and Operation of a 300 Megawatt (MW) Baseload Coal-Fired Electric