

NOTES:

¹ **Cargo Involved:** Provide the common name of the cargo (e.g., coal, taconite, sand, limestone, grain, salt, etc.)

When multiple cargo types are discharged, please create a separate entry for each type

² **Control Measures:** Enter the code(s) below for each dry cargo residue control measure(s) used during cargo handling operations. Return to Form for both cargo facilities (if known), and for your vessel.

Cargo Involved	Facility Control Measures		Vessel Control Measures	
	Code	Measure	Code	Measure
	A	Enclosed conveyor	1	Enclosed conveyor
iron ore	B	Troughed conveyor	2	Troughed conveyor
taconite	C	Conveyor skirts	3	Conveyor skirts
scale	D	Belt Scrapers	4	Belt Scrapers
coal/coke	E	Water/mist for dust control	5	Water/mist for dust control
grain	F	Conveyor capacity indicators	6	Conveyor capacity indicators
seed	G	Deck remote controls of conveyors	7	Deck remote controls of conveyors
wood pulp	H	Stop conveyor while ship or belt is repositioned	8	Stop conveyor while ship or belt is repositioned
potash	I	Delay loading/unloading during high wind	9	Delay loading/unloading during high wind
fertilizer	J	Radio Communication between deck and loader	10	Radio Communication between deck and loader
limestone	K	Crew training on procedures to reduce residue	11	Crew training on procedures to reduce residue
sand/gravel	L	Limit vertical angle of conveyor boom	12	Limit vertical angle of conveyor boom
dolomite	M	Plov feeder	13	Broom & shovel (to return to hold or shore)
clay	N	Loading chute, incl. Telescoping or conveyors	14	Tarps to collect residue(to return to hold or shore)
aggregates	O	Chemical surfactants	15	Cargo hold vibrator
salt	P	Suction pumped cargo, slurry transport, pneumatic or screw conveyors	16	Watertight gate seal
gypsum			17	Cargo hold lining (teflon or kevlar)
cement	Q	Other (describe measure on "Remarks" line on front of form)	18	Minimize hatch removal during poor weather
Other			19	Careful cargo hold gate operation
			20	Other (describe measure on "Remarks" line on front of form)

Cargo	Density (lbs./ft ³)	Equivalent Volume for 350 lbs. of DCR	Volume in m ³
Coal	50	7 ft ³	0.2
Limestone	150	2.3 ft ³	0.07
Taconite	222	1.6 ft ³	0.05

Note: One 5 gallon bucket is equivalent to 0.019m³

Dated: May 14, 2008.

Dana A. Goward,

Acting Assistant Commandant for Marine, Safety, Security and Stewardship, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. E8-11343 Filed 5-22-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-C

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0306; FRL-8570-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of California; PM-10; Revision of Designation; Redesignation of the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin PM-10 Nonattainment Area to Attainment; Approval of PM-10 Maintenance Plan for the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin; Approval of Commitments for the East Kern PM-10 Nonattainment Area; Extension of Public Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the public comment period for the proposed rule

entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of California; PM-10; Revision of Designation; Redesignation of the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin PM-10 Nonattainment Area to Attainment; Approval of PM-10 Maintenance Plan for the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin; Approval of Commitments for the East Kern PM-10 Nonattainment Area." The proposed rule was published on April 25, 2008 (73 FR 22307). The State of California has since provided technical corrections to the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the 2007 San Joaquin Valley PM-10 Maintenance Plan that EPA is proposing to approve. EPA believes the technical corrections are minor and do not impact other aspects of the April 25, 2008 proposal. EPA is extending the public comment period for the proposed rule until June 10, 2008 in order to provide the public with the opportunity to consider these technical corrections.

DATES: The public comment period for this proposed rule is extended until June 10, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2006-0306, by one of the following methods:

(1) *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

(2) *E-mail:* lo.doris@epa.gov.

(3) *Mail or deliver:* Doris Lo (AIR-2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through the <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. <http://www.regulations.gov> is an anonymous access system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While

all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed directly below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Lo, EPA Region IX, (415) 972-3959, *lo.doris@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 21, 2008 the Regional Administrator signed a proposed rule entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning

Purposes; State of California; PM-10; Revision of Designation; Redesignation of the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin PM-10 Nonattainment Area to Attainment; Approval of PM-10 Maintenance Plan for the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin; Approval of Commitments for the East Kern PM-10 Nonattainment Area." This rule was published on April 25, 2008 (73 FR 22307) and, among other things, proposed to approve county by county subarea motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEB) in the 2007 San Joaquin Valley PM-10 Maintenance Plan (2007 Plan) for the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin (SJVAB) PM-10 nonattainment area¹ for the years 2005 and 2020. See 73 FR 22307, 22315-22317, Table 4. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) used its mobile source emission model,

EMFAC2007, to estimate the direct particulate matter of ten microns or less (PM-10) emissions and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) emissions for the MVEBs.

CARB has provided EPA with technical corrections to the 2020 MVEBs for Merced, San Joaquin, Stanislaus and Tulare counties in the 2007 Plan. See the May 13, 2008 letter to Mr. Wayne Nastri from James N. Goldstene. As discussed in the letter, the MVEBs for these four counties were incorrectly calculated because the input processor for the EMFAC2007 emissions model used the wrong travel activity data for 2020. The correct MVEBs are shown in revised Table 4 below, which replaces Table 4 in the proposed rule at 73 FR 22316-22317:

TABLE 4.—MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS SUBAREA BUDGETS (TONS PER DAY) SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR BASIN 2007 PLAN*

County	2005		2020	
	PM-10	NO _x	PM-10	NO _x
Fresno	13.5	59.2	16.1	23.2
Kern**	12.1	88.3	14.7	39.5
Kings	3.1	16.7	3.6	6.8
Madera	3.6	13.9	4.7	6.5
Merced	6.2	39.2	6.4	12.9
San Joaquin	9.1	42.6	10.6	17.0
Stanislaus	5.6	29.7	6.7	10.8
Tulare	7.3	25.1	9.4	10.9
Total	60.5	314.7	72.2	127.6

* The budgets are based on attainment and maintenance of the 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS. The annual standard was revoked on December 18, 2006. See 71 FR 61144.

** MVEBs in Table 4 are only for the SJVAB portion of Kern County.

The difference between the 2020 budgets found in the 2007 Plan and the 2020 budgets provided in the May 13, 2008 letter are small and Valley-wide result in no change in total PM-10 emissions and an increase of only 0.2 tons per day in NO_x emissions. EPA believes that the changes in the budgets do not impact the maintenance demonstration in the 2007 Plan because they are small. Therefore these technical corrections have no effect on EPA's preliminary conclusion that the subarea 2020 MVEBs for Merced, San Joaquin, Stanislaus and Tulare counties are approvable (73 FR 22316-22317) or on any other aspects of the proposed rule.

EPA is extending the public comment period for the proposed rule until June 10, 2008 in order to provide the public with the opportunity to consider these technical corrections.

Dated: May 15, 2008.

Wayne Nastri,
Regional Administrator, Region 9.
[FR Doc. E8-11605 Filed 5-22-08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

45 CFR Part 144

[ASPELTCI]

RIN 0991-AB44

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation; State Long-Term Care Partnership Program: Reporting Requirements for Insurers

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (OASPE), HHS.

Merced, Stanislaus and Tulare and part of Kern County.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule sets forth proposed reporting requirements for private insurers that issue qualified long-term care insurance policies in States participating in the State Long-Term Care Partnership Program established under the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) of 2005. Section 6021 of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 requires that the Secretary specify a set of reporting requirements and collect data from insurers on qualifying long-term care insurance policies issued under the program and the subsequent use of the benefits under these policies. Under a State Long-Term Care Partnership Program, an amount equal to the benefits received under of the long-term care insurance policy is disregarded in determining the assets of an individual for purposes of Medicaid eligibility and estate recovery.

¹ The nonattainment area includes the entire counties of San Joaquin, Fresno, Kings, Madera,