Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-Hour Cost" Burden: We have identified seven nonhour costs associated with this information collection. Four of these non-hour cost burdens are cost recovery fees. They consist of fees being submitted with EP's, DPP's or DOCD's, DWOP's, and CID's. There are also three non-hour cost burdens that are associated with the Protected Species Observer Program. The costs associated with this program are due to activities that are, for the most part, subcontracted to other service companies with expertise in these areas. To allow for inhouse training by lessees/operators, we have retained a minimal hour burden in the burden table for the Protected Species Observer Program training requirement. Since all the observation duty and reporting would be done while on the vessel and by contractors, these requirements were calculated as nonhour burden costs. See the hours, fees, and costs in the burden table.

We estimate that the annual non-hour cost burden is \$4,853,530. We have not identified any other "non-hour cost" burdens associated with this collection of information.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Until OMB approves a collection of information, you are not obligated to respond.

Comments: Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.) requires each agency "* * * to provide notice * * * and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information ' Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

To comply with the public consultation process, on November 15, 2007, we published a Federal Register notice (72 FR 64238) announcing that we would submit this ICR to OMB for approval. The notice provided the required 60-day comment period. In addition, 250.199 provides the OMB

control number for the information collection requirements imposed by the 30 CFR 250 regulations and forms. The regulation also informs the public that they may comment at any time on the collections of information and provides the address to which they should send comments. We have received no comments in response to these efforts.

If you wish to comment in response to this notice, you may send your comments to the offices listed under the ADDRESSES section of this notice. The OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, to ensure maximum consideration. OMB should receive public comments by June 19, 2008.

Public Availability of Comments: Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Arlene Bajusz, (202) 208-7744.

Dated: March 21, 2008.

E.P. Danenberger,

Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs. [FR Doc. E8-11287 Filed 5-19-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-588]

In the Matter of Certain Digital Multimeters, and Products With Multimeter Functionality: Issuance of **General Exclusion Order and Cease** and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders directed to two defaulting domestic respondents in the aboveidentified investigation. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael K. Haldenstein, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International

Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3041. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 13, 2006, based on a complaint filed on October 6, 2006, and supplemented on October 27 and 30, 2006, by Fluke Corp. of Everett, Washington, alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital multimeters and products with multimeter functionality by reason of infringement of United States Trademark Registration No. 2,796,480 ("the '480 mark") and also by reason of infringement of trade dress, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. 71 FR 661940 (November 13, 2006). Complainant requested that the Commission issue a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders. The complaint named eighteen respondents in China, Hong Kong, and the United States. Fourteen respondents were terminated from the investigation by settlement agreement, consent order, or both. The four remaining respondents were found in default.

On July 3, 2007, complainant filed a motion seeking summary determination of violation of section 337. On January 14, 2008, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued an initial determination ("ID") granting complainant's motion for summary determination of violation of section 337 as to the four defaulting respondents. He recommended issuance of a general exclusion order, issuance of cease and desist orders against respondents Electronix Express and HandsOnTools, and that the amount of bond for temporary importation during

the Presidential review period be set at 100 percent of the entered value of the articles concerned. No petitions for review were filed.

On February 12, 2008, the Commission determined not to review the ID and requested written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On February 28 and March 6, 2008, respectively, the complainant Fluke and the Investigative Attorney ("IA") filed briefs and the IA filed a reply brief on these issues.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the ALJ's recommended determination and the parties' written submissions, the Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is a general exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of digital multimeters that infringe the '480 mark or Fluke's protected trade dress and cease and desist orders directed to Electronix Express and HandsOnTools.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the general exclusion order. Finally, the Commission determined that the amount of bond to permit temporary importation during the Presidential review period (19 U.S.C. *1337(i)) shall be in the amount of 100 percent of the value of the digital multimeters that are subject to the order. The Commission's order and opinion were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in sections 210.42–46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42–46.

Issued: May 14, 2008.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E8–11196 Filed 5–19–08; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-595]

In the Matter of Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory Devices and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 19) granting the joint motion to terminate the captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on March 1, 2007, based on a complaint filed by Renesas. The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory devices and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,115,344 and 7,116,128. The complaint named as respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., of Seoul, Korea, and Samsung Electronics

America, Inc., of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey (collectively, "Samsung"). On April 25, 2008, Renesas and

On April 25, 2008, Renesas and Samsung jointly moved to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. On April 28, 2008, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

On April 29, 2008, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21 (19 CFR 210.21). The ALJ also concluded that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)), there is no evidence that termination of this investigation will prejudice the public interest. No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission. Issued: May 13, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E8–11197 Filed 5–19–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on May 14, 2008, a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. et al.*, Civil Action No. 06cv6880, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

In this cost recovery action brought pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607, the United States sought recovery of approximately \$1.15 million in unreimbursed past response costs and prejudgment interest incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency at the H.O.D. Landfill Superfund Site located near Antioch in Lake County, Illinois. Under the proposed consent decree, Waste Management of Illinois, Inc., on behalf of itself, Morton International, Inc., and Rohm and Haas Chemicals, LLC will