Resource Management Plans (Forest Plans). It would result in an addendum to the Kootenai National Forest, Forest Plan, Appendix 8.

Copies of the environmental documents and 2004 ROD are available on the Kootenai National Forest internet Web site at: http://www.fs.fed.us/rl/kootenai/projects/planning/documents/forest_plan/amendments/index.shtml. Documents may also be requested by contacting Kirsten Kaiser, Team Leader, at 406–283–7659.

Preliminary Issues and Alternatives

Issues raised during the comment period on the DEIS centered around three main topics: (1) grizzly bear and best available science, specifically the science that was used in the environmental analysis and by the IGBC including the biological defensibility of the 55 percent Core, 33 percent OMRD and 26 percent TMRD standards; (2) reductions in motorized public access; and (3) impacts to employment and income.

Early Notice of Environmental Review

The Forest Supervisors are giving notice that the Idaho Panhandle, Kootenai, and Lolo National Forests are supplementing an existing environmental analysis for this proposed action so that interested or affected people can participate in the analysis and contribute to the final decision. The Forest Service is seeking comments from individuals, organizations, tribal governments, and Federal, State, and local agencies that are interested or may be affected by the proposed action. The draft SETS is intended to provide additional evaluation of current information on grizzly bears, and provide that information to the public. The public is invited to help identify issues and concerns related to the preferred alternative and the supplemental analysis documented in the draft SEIS.

Estimated Dates for Filing

The draft SEIS is expected to be filed with the EPA and to be available for public review in July 2008. The comment period on the draft SEIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**. The draft SEIS will be distributed to all parties that received the 2002 FEIS and Record of Decision as well as to those who expressed interest.

The final SEIS is scheduled to be completed by April 2009. In the final SEIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to comments received during the comment period that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed

in the draft SEIS and applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered in making a decision regarding the proposal.

The Reviewer's Obligation To Comment

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions [Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)]. Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts [Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980)]. Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 90day comment period so that comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the preferred alternative and the supplemental analysis, comments on the draft SEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or sections of the draft SEIS. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: May 1, 2008.

Paul Bradford,

Kootenai National Forest Supervisor [FR Doc. E8–10408 Filed 5–12–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Meeting

Date and Time: Tuesday, May 13, 2008, 2 p.m.–3 p.m.

Place: Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Conference Room, 1201 Connecticut Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Closed Meeting: The members of the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG) will meet in closed session to review and discuss a number of issues relating to U.S. Government-funded nonmilitary international broadcasting. They will address internal procedural, budgetary, and personnel issues, as well as sensitive foreign policy issues relating to potential options in the U.S. international broadcasting field. This meeting is closed because if open it likely would either disclose matters that would be properly classified to be kept secret in the interest of foreign policy under the appropriate executive order (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1)) or would disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(9)(B)) În addition, part of the discussion will relate solely to the internal personnel and organizational issues of the BBG or the International Broadcasting Bureau. (5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (2) and (6))

Contact Person for More Information: Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact Timi Nickerson Kenealy at (202) 203–4545.

Dated: May 6, 2008.

Timi Nickerson Kenealy,

Acting Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. E8–10409 Filed 5–12–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8610–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 29-2008]

Foreign-Trade Zone 234 - Gregg County, Texas, Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign–Trade Zones Board (the Board) by Gregg County, Texas, grantee of Foreign–Trade Zone 234, requesting authority to expand its zone to include a site in Kilgore, Texas, adjacent to the Shreveport–Bossier Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign–Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on May 5, 2008.

FTZ 234 was approved on November 4, 1998 (Board Order 1003, 63 FR 63671, 11/16/98). On December 15, 2006, a minor boundary modification was approved to include an additional site in Longview, Gregg County, Texas. The zone project currently consists of two sites: *Site 1*: (239 acres) located at the Gregg County Airport; and, *Site 2*: (60 acres) located at 1320 East Harrison Road, Longview.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the general-

purpose zone to include the Synergy Park at Elder Lake (217 acres) located at 1000 Synergy Boulevard, Kilgore, Texas. The site is primarily owned by Kilgore Economic Development Corporation and will be designated as Site 3.

No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case—by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Claudia Hausler of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 14, 2008. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to July 28, 2008.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Gregg County Courthouse, 101 East Methvin Street, Suite 300, Longview, Texas 75601

Office of the Executive Secretary), Foreign—Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2111, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230

For further information contact Claudia Hausler at Claudia_Hausler@ita.doc.gov or (202) 482–1379.

Dated: May 6, 2008.

Andrew McGilvray,

 ${\it Executive Secretary.}$

[FR Doc. E8–10657 Filed 5–12–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket T-2-2008]

Foreign-Trade Zone 26 Atlanta, GA, Application for Temporary/Interim Manufacturing Authority, Kia Motors Manufacturing Georgia, Inc. (Motor Vehicles), West Point, GA

An application has been submitted to the Executive Secretary of the Foreign—Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Georgia Foreign—Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of FTZ 26, requesting temporary/interim manufacturing (T/IM) authority within FTZ 26 at the Kia Motors Manufacturing Georgia, Inc. (KMMG) facility in West Point, Georgia.

The application was filed on May 7, 2008.

The KMMG facility (about 2,500 employees) is located at 700 Kia Parkway in West Point (Troup County), Georgia (Site 1 T1). Under T/IM procedures, KMMG would produce up to 350,000 light-duty passenger vehicles (sedans, sport utility vehicles, minivans) (HTSUS 8703.23, 8703.24) annually for the U.S. market and export. Foreign components that would be used in production (representing about 25% of total material inputs) include: oils (HTSUS 2710.11), paints (3208.10, 3209.90), plastic tubes/pipes/hoses (3917.31, 3917.40), plastic sheets/strips/ plates (3919.90, 3921.90), rubber tubes/ hoses (4009.11, 4009.31), rubber belts (4010.31, 4010.33), tires (4011.20), gaskets/washers/o-rings (4016.93, 4016.99), carpet sets (5703.20), safety glass (7007.11, 7007.21), mirrors (7009.10), tube fittings (7307.22, 7307.99), fasteners (7318.14), locks/keys (8301.20, 8301.40), engines (8407.34), engine parts (8409.91), pumps (8413.30), valves (8481.80), and bumpers (8708.10) (duty rates: free -8.6%).

FTZ procedures could exempt KMMG from customs duty payments on foreign components used in export production (estimated to be 10% of plant shipments). On its domestic sales, KMMG would be able to choose the duty rate that applies to finished passenger vehicles (2.5%) for the foreign inputs noted above that have higher rates. Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign status production equipment.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the following address: Office of the Executive Secretary, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002. For further information, contact Pierre Duy at pierre _duy@ita.doc.gov, or (202) 482–1378. The closing period for receipt of comments is June 12, 2008.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign–Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the address listed above.

Dated: May 7, 2008.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–10653 Filed 5–12–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-853, A-570-937]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: May 13, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terre Keaton Stefanova (Canada) or Hallie Zink (People's Republic of China), AD/CVD Operations, Office 2 and China/NME Group, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1280 or (202) 482–

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petitions

6907, respectively.

On April 14, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received petitions concerning imports of citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada (Canada petition) and the People's Republic of China (PRC) (PRC petition) filed in proper form by Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Americas, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners). See the Petitions on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the PRC filed on April 14, 2008. On April 17, 2008, the Department issued a request for additional information and clarification of certain areas of the petitions. Based on the Department's request, the petitioners filed supplements to the petitions for both countries on April 22, 2008 (Supplement to the Petition). The Department requested further clarifications from the petitioners by phone. See Memorandum to the File: Conference Call Regarding Scope Language, Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the PRC, dated April 28, 2008. On May 1, 2008, the petitioners filed a revised scope. See Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China; Revision of Scope Definition, dated May 1, 2008.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the petitioners allege that imports of citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada and the PRC are being, or