

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1111 (Final)]

### Glycine From India; Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury, and the establishment of an industry in the United States is not materially retarded, by reason of imports from India of glycine, provided for in subheading 2922.49 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

### Background

The Commission instituted this investigation effective March 30, 2007, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc., Lafayette, IN. The final phase of the investigation was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of glycine from India were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of September 28, 2007 (72 FR 55247). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on November 28, 2007, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on May 5, 2008. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3997 (May 2008), entitled *Glycine from India: Investigation No. 731-TA-1111 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioners Irving A. Williamson and Dean A. Pinkert dissenting.

Issued: May 5, 2008.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-10352 Filed 5-8-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-08-010]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** May 16, 2008 at 11 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, *Telephone:* (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. Nos. 701-TA-455 and 731-TA-1149 and 1150 (Certain Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe from China and Korea)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 19, 2008; Commissioners' opinions are currently scheduled to be transmitted to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 27, 2008.)
5. Outstanding action jackets: none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 6, 2008.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. E8-10357 Filed 5-8-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 25, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade

Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Specifically, Ranatec Instrument AB, Flöjelbergsgatan, Mölndal, SWEDEN; One Stop Systems, Inc., Escondido, CA; and BAE Systems, San Diego, CA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, MEN Micro, Inc., Ambler, PA has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 8, 2008. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 25, 2008 (73 FR 10066).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. E8-10133 Filed 5-8-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Green Building Certification Institute

Notice is hereby given that, on March 27, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Green Building Certification Institute ("GBCI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of