

are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.”

Signed at Washington, DC this 28th day of April 2008.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-10033 Filed 5-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,629; TA-W-62,629A]

Giant Merchandising, Inc., Including On-Site Leased Workers From Priority Temporary Services, Partners In Diversity and Apple One Commerce, CA; Including An Employee in Support of Giant Merchandising, Inc., Commerce, CA Operating Out of Rochester, MN; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on January 28, 2008, applicable to workers of Giant Merchandising, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Priority Temporary Services, Partners In Diversity and Apple One, Commerce, California. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2008 (73 FR 8369).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

New information shows that a worker separation (Mr. Halton Hamer) has occurred involving an employee in support of and under the control of the Commerce, California facility of Giant Merchandising, Inc. operating out of Rochester, Minnesota.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include an employee in support of the Commerce, California facility operating out of Rochester, Minnesota.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Giant Merchandising, Inc., Commerce,

California who were adversely affected by a shift in production of screen printed apparel to Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-62,629 is hereby issued as follows:

“All workers of Giant Merchandising, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Priority Temporary Services, Partners In Diversity, and Apple One, Commerce, California (TA-W-62,629), including an employee in support of Giant Merchandising, Inc., Commerce, California operating out of Rochester, Minnesota (TA-W-62,629A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 10, 2006, through January 28, 2010, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.”

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of April 2008.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-10029 Filed 5-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,659]

Richloom Home Fashions, Division of Richloom Fabrics Corporation, Clinton, SC; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On March 27, 2008, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2008 (73 FR 22166).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that worker group does not produce an article within the meaning of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

In the request for reconsideration the petitioner stated that workers of the Sample Department of the subject firm produce samples of window treatments and bed coverings and requested that the Department conduct further investigation of the Sample Department.

On reconsideration, the Department contacted a company official and requested additional information regarding the production of samples of window treatments and bed coverings. The investigation revealed that workers of the Sample Department, Richloom Home Fashions in Clinton, South

Carolina manufacture samples of window treatments and bed coverings. However, the investigation also revealed that only one worker was separated from the Sample Department in 2007 and there was no threat of future separations.

The subject company did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers, as required by section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. Significant number or proportion of the workers in a firm or appropriate subdivision means at least three workers in a workforce of fewer than 50 workers, five percent of the workers in a workforce of over 50 workers, or at least 50 workers. As employment levels at the subject facility did not decline during the relevant time period and there was no threat of separations during the relevant period, criterion (1) has not been met.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Richloom Home Fashions, division of Richloom Fabrics Corporation, Clinton, South Carolina.

Signed at Washington, DC this 28th day of April, 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-10030 Filed 5-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,927]

Chase Home Finance LLC, A Division of JP Morgan Chase & Co., Lexington, Kentucky; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated April 17, 2008, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on March 17, 2008 and published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2008 (73 FR 22170).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances: