

245-day period to issue its preliminary results to up to 365 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable for the following reasons. One respondent has complex affiliation issues which require the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information associated with affiliation and the companies' sales practices and manufacturing costs. In addition, domestic interested parties have raised other issues which require the collection of additional information. Given the number and complexity of issues in this case and the Department's resource constraints, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are fully extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than September 29, 2008. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 24, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-9722 Filed 5-1-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-816]

#### **Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cindy Robinson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3797.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On September 25, 2007, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat

products from the Republic of Korea, covering the period August 1, 2006 to July 31, 2007. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 54428 (September 25, 2007). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than May 2, 2008.

#### **Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires that the Department make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results to up to 365 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable for the following reasons. This review covers four companies and one of the companies has requested revocation of the antidumping duty order in part, which requires the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to the company's sales practices, manufacturing costs and corporate relationships. For the company which has requested partial revocation, the Department needs to conduct further analyses on, among other issues, commercial quantity and whether the evidence supports its claim of absence of dumping. Given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are fully extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than September 2, 2008, the next business day after 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 24, 2008.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-9725 Filed 5-1-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

#### **Honey from Argentina: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not to Revoke in Part**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 28, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. *See Honey from Argentina: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent Not to Revoke in Part*, 72 FR 73758 (December 28, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). This administrative review covers five firms, two of which were selected as mandatory respondents, Asociacion de Cooperativas Argentinas (ACA) and Seylinco, S.A. (Seylinco). Based on our analysis of comments received, the margins for the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. *See Preliminary Results*.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 2, 2008.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Maryanne Burke for Seylinco, Deborah Scott for ACA or Robert James, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5604, (202) 482-2657 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 28, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina for the period December 1, 2005 to November 30, 2006. *See Preliminary Results*. In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners) and respondents ACA and Seylinco filed their case briefs on January 28, 2008. Petitioners and ACA submitted their rebuttal briefs on February 4, 2008.

##### **Period of Review**

The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2005, to November 30, 2006.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is honey from Argentina. The products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form. The merchandise is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

### Determination Not to Revoke in Part

As discussed in the *Preliminary Results*, 72 FR at 73760, Seylinco requested that the Department revoke the order in regard to Seylinco pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222 based on three consecutive zero margins. We preliminarily determined not to revoke the order with respect to Seylinco because it did not ship in commercial quantities during each of the three years forming the basis of its request. *See id.* For these final results, the Department has relied upon Seylinco's sales activity during the 2003–2004, 2004–2005, and 2005–2006 PORs in making its decision with respect to Seylinco's revocation request. Although Seylinco had three consecutive years of sales at not less than normal value (NV), Seylinco did not sell subject merchandise in commercial quantities in each of these three years forming the basis of the request for revocation. Thus, Seylinco is not eligible for revocation pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(d)(1). Accordingly, we have determined not to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to Seylinco. *See* Comment 5 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (Issues and Decision Memorandum) accompanying this notice.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is appended

to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is on file in the CRU and can be accessed directly on the web at <http://www.ita.doc.gov/>.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received we have made two changes to the margin calculation for ACA. First, we converted the average cost of production calculated for ACA's beekeepers from a per-kilogram to a per-metric ton basis. Second, we added ACA's reported homogenization costs to the average cost of production. These changes in the calculation did not result in a change in the final margin. We made no changes to the margin calculation for Seylinco.

### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period December 1, 2005 through November 30, 2006.

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted Average Margin (percentage)
ACA .....	0.00
Seylinco .....	0.00
Patagonik S.A. ....	0.00
El Mana, S.A. ....	0.00
Naiman S.A. ....	0.00

### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated duty assessment rates which will be applied uniformly on all ACA, Seylinco, Patagonik S.A., El Mana S.A. and Naiman S.A. entries made during the POR. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. We will direct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its automatic assessment regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings:*

*Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act): (1) cash deposit rate for ACA, Seylinco, Patagonik S.A., El Mana S.A. and Naiman S.A. will be zero; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 30.24 percent, which is the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Honey From Argentina*, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO

materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: April 28, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**Appendix - List of Comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum**

**ACA**

Comment 1. Reclassification of ACA's Reported Testing and Homogenization Expenses

Comment 2. Date of Sale and Selection of the United Kingdom as the Third-Country Market

Comment 3. Whether Sales to the United Kingdom Are Representative

Comment 4. Issues Related to the Cost of Production

**Seylinco**

Comment 5. Revocation

[FR Doc. E8-9729 Filed 5-1-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is automatically initiating a five-year review ("Sunset Review") of the antidumping duty order listed below. The International Trade Commission ("the Commission") is publishing concurrently with this notice its notice of Institution of Five-year Review which covers the same order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 2, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Department official identified in the Initiation of Review section below at AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230. For information from the Commission contact Mary Messer, Office of

Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission at (202) 205-3193.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in its Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) and 70 FR 62061 (October 28, 2005). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3 - Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998).

**Initiation of Review**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(c), we are initiating the Sunset Review of the following antidumping duty order:

DOC Case No.	ITC Case No.	Country	Product	Department Contact
A-570-877 .....	731-TA-1010	PRC	Lawn and Garden Steel Fence Posts	Andrea Berton (202) 482-4037

**Filing Information**

As a courtesy, we are making information related to Sunset proceedings, including copies of the pertinent statute and Department's regulations, the Department's schedule for Sunset Reviews, a listing of past revocations and continuations, and current service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset Internet Web site at the following address: "http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/." All submissions in this Sunset Review must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for this proceeding. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation of the sunset review. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306.

**Information Required from Interested Parties**

Domestic interested parties (defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)) wishing to participate in this Sunset Review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice

of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the order without further review. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's