Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: James Delisio, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ANE–171, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone (516) 228–7321; fax (516) 794–5531. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

#### **Related Information**

(h) Refer to MCAI Canadian Airworthiness Directive CF–2007–35, dated December 21, 2007, and Canadair Temporary Revision 2D– 2, dated March 31, 2006, for related information.

## Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) You must use Canadair Temporary Revision 2D–2, dated March 31, 2006, to Appendix D, "Fuel System Limitations," of Part 2, "Airworthiness Requirements," of the Bombardier CL–600–2B19 Maintenance Requirements Manual CSP A–053, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Bombardier, Inc., Canadair, Aerospace Group, P.O. Box 6087, Station Centre-ville, Montreal, Quebec H3C 3G9, Canada.

(3) You may review copies at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to: http:// www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 18, 2008.

## Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E8–9196 Filed 5–1–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-28355; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-062-AD; Amendment 39-15495; AD 2008-09-14]

# RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–600, –700, –700C, –800 and –900 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 737-600, -700, -700C, -800 and -900 series airplanes. This AD requires inspecting ground blocks GD261 and GD264 for corrosion, measuring the electrical bond resistance between the ground blocks and the airplane structure, separating the ground wires for the fuel boost pump circuit between ground blocks GD261 and GD264, and doing corrective actions if necessary. This AD results from a report of random flashes of the six fuel pump low pressure lights and intermittent operation of the fuel boost pumps. We are issuing this AD to prevent the simultaneous malfunction of all six fuel boost pumps, which could cause the engines to operate on suction feed and potentially flame out.

**DATES:** This AD is effective June 6, 2008. The Director of the Federal Register

approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of June 6, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://* www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (telephone 800-647-5527) is the Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Binh Tran, Aerospace Engineer, Systems

and Equipment Branch, ANM–130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917–6485; fax (425) 917–6590.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain Boeing Model 737-600, -700, -700C, -800 and -900 series airplanes. That NPRM was published in the Federal Register on June 6, 2007 (72 FR 31202). That NPRM proposed to require inspecting ground blocks GD261 and GD264 for corrosion, measuring the electrical bond resistance between the ground blocks and the airplane structure, separating the ground wires for the fuel boost pump circuit between ground blocks GD261 and GD264, and doing corrective actions if necessary.

# Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We have considered the comments received.

### Support for the NPRM

AirTran Airways supports the NPRM.

# Request to Incorporate Revised Service Bulletin

The NPRM referred to Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–28– 1257, dated February 26, 2007, as the appropriate source of service information for the proposed requirements. Boeing requests that we revise the NPRM to refer to Revision 1 of the service bulletin, which Boeing issued after we issued the NPRM.

We have reviewed the revised service bulletin. In Revision 1, dated November 28, 2007, Boeing removed certain annunciator checks, updated temperature control operational test instructions, and removed certain wire separation requirements. Revision 1 provides no substantive changes or additional work. We agree to revise the final rule to require Revision 1, and to provide credit for the original version.

### Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data, considered the comments received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the changes described previously. We also determined that these changes will not increase the economic burden on any operator or increase the scope of the AD.

# **Costs of Compliance**

There are about 1,871 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet.

The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this AD.

# ESTIMATED COSTS

Work hours	Average labor rate per hour	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.Sregistered airplanes	Fleet cost
1	\$80	None	\$80	702	\$56,160

# Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs" describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

# **Regulatory Findings**

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866, (2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979), and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

You can find our regulatory evaluation and the estimated costs of compliance in the AD Docket.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# **Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## §39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

**2008–09–14 Boeing:** Amendment 39–15495. Docket No. FAA–2007–28355; Directorate Identifier 2007–NM–062–AD.

#### Effective Date

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) is effective June 6, 2008.

# Affected ADs

(b) None.

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Boeing Model 737– 600, -700, -700C, -800 and -900 series airplanes, certificated in any category, as identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–28–1257, Revision 1, dated November 28, 2007.

#### **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD results from a report of random flashes of the six fuel pump low pressure lights and intermittent operation of the fuel boost pumps. We are issuing this AD to prevent the simultaneous malfunction of all six fuel boost pumps, which could cause the engines to operate on suction feed and potentially flame out.

# Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### Inspection

(f) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD: Do a general visual inspection of ground blocks GD261 and GD264 for corrosion, measure the electrical bond resistance, and separate the ground wires for the fuel boost pump circuit between ground blocks GD261 and GD264. Do these actions in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–28– 1257, Revision 1, dated November 28, 2007. Do applicable corrective actions before further flight in accordance with the service bulletin.

## **Credit for Actions in Accordance With Previous Service Information**

(g) Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–28–1257, dated February 26, 2007, are acceptable for compliance with the corresponding requirements of this AD.

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

### Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) You must use Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–28–1257, Revision 1, dated November 28, 2007, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

(3) You may review copies of the service information incorporated by reference at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http:// www.archives.gov/federal\_register/ code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ ibr\_locations.html. Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 18, 2008.

# Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E8–9310 Filed 5–1–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-0046; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-173-AD; Amendment 39-15496; AD 2008-09-15]

### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Boeing Model 737-100, -200, -200C, –300, –400, and –500 series airplanes. This AD requires repetitive inspections for any cracking of or damage to the left side and right side flight deck No. 2, No. 4, and No. 5 windows and corrective actions if necessary. This AD results from reports of in-flight departure and separation of the flight deck windows. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracking in the vinyl interlayer or damage to the structural inner glass panes of the flight deck No. 2, No. 4, and No. 5 windows, which could result in loss of a window and rapid loss of cabin pressure. Loss of cabin pressure could cause crew communication difficulties or crew incapacitation.

DATES: This AD is effective June 6, 2008.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this AD as of June 6, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

# Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http:// www.regulations.gov*; or in person at the

Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (telephone 800–647–5527) is the Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Lockett, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917–6447; fax (425) 917–6590.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to all Boeing Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 series airplanes. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on October 17, 2007 (72 FR 58766). That NPRM proposed to require repetitive inspections for any cracking of or damage to the left side and right side flight deck No. 2, No. 4, and No. 5 windows and corrective actions if necessary.

# **Changes Made to This AD**

We have deleted paragraph (h)(4) of the NPRM and added a new paragraph (h) to this AD specifying that installation of metallic window blanks at cockpit eyebrow windows No. 4 and No. 5 in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) ST01630SE terminates the initial and repetitive inspections for the flight deck No. 4 and No. 5 windows required by paragraph (f) of this AD. Incorporation of STC ST01630SE is considered a terminating action, not an alternative method of compliance (AMOC), since an AMOC can only be issued after an AD has been issued. We have also reidentified the AMOC paragraph of the NPRM as paragraph (i) in this AD.

#### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We considered the comments received from the three commenters.

### Support for the NPRM

Boeing and Continental Airlines support the NPRM.

## **Request to Expand Applicability**

North Star Aerospace states that the affected window panels are also installed on Boeing Model 707 and 727 airplanes and Model 737–600, –700, –800, and –900 series airplanes, and that it has witnessed failure of the windows on these airplanes. North Star Aerospace believes the inspections should be extended to include all airplanes equipped with window panels having part numbers (P/Ns) 5–89355– (), 5–89357–(), and 5–89358–().

We infer the commenter requests that we revise the applicability to add Model 707 and 727 airplanes and Model 737-600, -700, -800, and -900 series airplanes. Since the affected windows are interchangeable, we agree that the windows might be installed on all Model 707, 727, and 737 airplanes. However, we do not agree to expand the applicability of this AD, since we have issued separate rulemaking actions to address the unsafe condition on Model 707 and 727 airplanes and Model 737-600, -700, -800, and -900 series airplanes. Please refer to Docket Nos. FAA-2007-0264, FAA-2007-0265, and FAA-2007-0263, respectively, at http://www.regulations.gov. No change to this AD is necessary in this regard.

# Request To Revise the Compliance Time

Continental Airlines requests that we revise the compliance time for the initial inspection of the flight deck No. 2 window to within 36 months or 7,500 flight hours, whichever occurs first, after the window installation. Continental Airlines states that the NPRM, which proposes to require the initial inspection within 24 months after the effective date of this AD regardless of the age or flight time of the window, unnecessarily penalizes operators who proactively inspect and replace the No. 2 window before the AD is issued. Continental Airlines also states that, according to the wording in the NPRM, a window replaced one day before the effective date of the AD would need to be re-inspected within 24 months, but a window inspected and replaced one day after the effective date of the AD would not need to be re-inspected until 36 months or 7.500 window flight hours. whichever is first.

We do not agree to revise the compliance time for the initial inspection of the flight deck No. 2 window. According to paragraph (e) of this AD, an operator is responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done. If the initial inspection of the No. 2 window was done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-56A1023, dated May 24, 2007, then the initial inspection does not need to be accomplished again; only the repetitive inspections would need to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin at the applicable