

area of Maryland, New Jersey, and New York. Applications were due by January 4, 2008.

There were four applicants for designation to provide official services:

- Maryland Department of Agriculture (Maryland) applied for Maryland. Maryland is not currently designated.
- D. R. Schaal Agency, Inc. (Schaal) applied for New Jersey and New York.
- Kankakee Grain Inspection, Inc. (Kankakee) applied for Maryland, New Jersey, and New York.
- Mid-Iowa Grain Inspection, Inc. (Mid-Iowa) applied for Maryland, New Jersey and New York.

In the February 15, 2008, **Federal Register** (73 FR 8851), we requested comments on the applications for designation to provide official services in Maryland, New Jersey, and New York. Comments were due by March 17, 2008. GIPSA received no comments.

We evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in section 7(f)(1) of USGSA (7 U.S.C. 79 (f)) and determined that Maryland and Schaal are best able to provide official services in the geographic areas specified in the December 5, 2007, **Federal Register**, for which they applied.

Maryland is designated for the entire State of Maryland, except those export port locations served by GIPSA, effective June 1, 2008, and terminating June 30, 2010.

Effective June 1, 2008, Schaal's present geographic area is amended to include the entire States of New Jersey and New York, except those export port locations served by GIPSA. Schaal's current designation to provide official services terminates September 30, 2010.

Interested persons may obtain official services by calling the telephone numbers listed below.

Official agency	Headquarters location and telephone	Designation start-end
Maryland	Annapolis, MD 410-841-5769	6/1/2008-6/30/2010
Schaal	Belmond, IA 641-444-3122	6/1/2008-9/30/2010
	Additional Location: Albert Lea, MN.	

Section 7(f)(1) of the USGSA, authorizes GIPSA's Administrator to designate a qualified applicant to provide official services in a specified area after determining that the applicant is better able than any other applicant to provide such official services (7 U.S.C. 79(f)(1)).

Section 7(g)(1) of USGSA provides that designations of official agencies will terminate not later than three years and may be renewed according to the criteria and procedures prescribed in section 7(f) of USGSA.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 71-87k.

James E. Link,

Administrator, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-9324 Filed 4-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-KD-P

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting—May 13, 2008—6:30 p.m.

In connection with its investigation into the cause of a November 22, 2006, explosion and fire at the CAI/Arnel manufacturing facility in Danvers, Massachusetts, the United States Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB) announces that it will convene a public meeting on May 13, 2008, starting at 6:30 p.m. in the North Shore ballroom at the Sheraton Ferncroft Resort, 50 Ferncroft Road, Danvers, MA 01923. At the meeting CSB staff will present to the Board the results of their investigation into this incident. After the presentation by the CSB investigators there will be

presentations by witnesses discussing changes in local and state safety oversight that have been proposed since the November 22, 2006, accident at CAI/Arnel. This will be followed by a public comment period prior to a Board vote on the report.

On November 22, 2006, at about 2:45 a.m., a violent explosion at the CAI/Arnel manufacturing facility rocked the town of Danvers, MA. The explosion and subsequent fire destroyed the facility, heavily damaged dozens of nearby homes and businesses, and shattered windows as far away as one mile. At least 10 residents required hospital treatment for cuts and bruises. More than 16 homes and three businesses were damaged beyond repair. Dozens of boats at the nearby marina were heavily damaged by blast overpressure and debris strikes.

Local authorities ordered the evacuation of more than 300 residents within a half-mile of the facility. Many residents could not return for many months while they waited for their houses to be rebuilt or repaired. Seventeen months after the explosion, six homes had yet to be reoccupied as repairs were not completed.

Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Board will consider whether to approve the final report and recommendations. All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the issues and factors involved in this case. No factual analyses, conclusions or findings presented by staff should be considered final. Only after the Board has considered the final staff presentation, listened to the witnesses and the public

comments, and approved the staff report will there be an approved final record of this incident.

The meeting will be open to the public. Please notify CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed, at least 5 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board at (202) 261-7600, or visit our Web site at: <http://www.csb.gov>.

Christopher W. Warner,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 08-1200 Filed 4-25-08; 3:33pm]

BILLING CODE 6350-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-840]

Certain Orange Juice from Brazil: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 29, 2008.

SUMMARY: Tropicana Products, Inc. (Tropicana) has requested that the Department initiate a changed circumstances review to consider partially revoking the order on certain orange juice from Brazil to exclude ultra low pulp orange juice (ULPOJ) pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216(b) and 351.222(g)(1)(i). In response to this request, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is

initiating a changed circumstances review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Eastwood or Henry Almond; AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3874 or (202) 482-0049, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 9, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on certain orange juice from Brazil. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Orange Juice from Brazil*, 72 FR 12183 (Mar. 9, 2006).

On June 14, 2007, Tropicana requested that the Department initiate a changed circumstances review to consider partially revoking the antidumping duty order on certain orange juice from Brazil to exclude ULPOJ. According to Tropicana, producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have no interest in maintaining the order on ULPOJ.

On July 24, 2007, we requested documentation from Tropicana regarding its industry support assertions and the documentation to support the pulp content of ULPOJ. On January 31, 2008, Tropicana responded to the Department's request for information, providing: 1) letters of support from processors either supporting or not opposing Tropicana's request to exclude ULPOJ from the order; 2) a calculation of the level of industry support; and 3) documentation regarding the pulp content of ULPOJ.

On February 29, 2008, we received comments from Florida Citrus Mutual, A. Duda & Sons, Inc. (doing business as Citrus Belle), and Citrus World, Inc. (collectively, "the petitioners"), regarding Tropicana's request. The petitioners contend that the Department must consider the position of the entire domestic industry (*i.e.*, both processors and growers) when determining the level of industry support, as was done for purposes of the initiation of this proceeding. According to the petitioners, when the growers are considered, there will be an insufficient level of industry support necessary for the Department to partially revoke the order under 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i). In addition, the petitioners note that, contrary to Tropicana's assertion, the U.S. domestic industry is capable of producing ULPOJ. Therefore, the

petitioners urge the Department to reject Tropicana's request and not initiate this changed circumstances review.

On March 6, 2008, we requested additional information from Tropicana regarding an incomplete letter contained in its January 31 response. On March 10, 2008, Tropicana submitted the requested information.

Scope of the Order

The scope of this order includes certain orange juice for transport and/or further manufacturing, produced in two different forms: (1) frozen orange juice in a highly concentrated form, sometimes referred to as frozen concentrated orange juice for manufacture (FCOJM); and (2) pasteurized single-strength orange juice which has not been concentrated, referred to as not-from-concentrate (NFC). At the time of the filing of the petition, there was an existing antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) from Brazil. See *Antidumping Duty Order; Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil*, 52 FR 16426 (May 5, 1987). Therefore, the scope of this order with regard to FCOJM covers only FCOJM produced and/or exported by those companies which were excluded or revoked from the pre-existing antidumping order on FCOJ from Brazil as of December 27, 2004. Those companies are Cargill Citrus Limitada, Coinbra-Frutesp S.A., Sucocitrico Cutrale, S.A., Fischer S/A - Agroindustria, and Montecitrus Trading S.A.

Excluded from the scope of the order are reconstituted orange juice and frozen concentrated orange juice for retail (FCOJR). Reconstituted orange juice is produced through further manufacture of FCOJM, by adding water, oils and essences to the orange juice concentrate. FCOJR is concentrated orange juice, typically at 42 Brix, in a frozen state, packed in retail-sized containers ready for sale to consumers. FCOJR, a finished consumer product, is produced through further manufacture of FCOJM, a bulk manufacturer's product.

The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheadings 2009.11.00, 2009.12.25, 2009.12.45, and 2009.19.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). These HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes only and are not dispositive. Rather, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review upon receipt of information concerning, or a request from an interested party for a review of, an antidumping duty order which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(d), the Department finds there is sufficient information to warrant initiating a changed circumstances review. Therefore, pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(d), we are initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether the Department should partially revoke the order on certain orange juice from Brazil to exclude ULPOJ.

While Tropicana contends that it has sufficient industry support under 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i) for the Department to partially revoke the order to exclude ULPOJ, we note that the petitioners have questioned Tropicana's exclusion of orange growers from the calculation of industry support. We will address the level of industry support for Tropicana's request in the context of this proceeding.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the Department's preliminary factual and legal conclusions. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(ii), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. The Department will issue its final results of review in accordance with the time limits set forth in 19 CFR 351.216(e).

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 23, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-9337 Filed 4-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904; Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Consent Motion To Terminate Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade