San Isabel National Forests land management plan (Forests Plan), and the Cimarron and Comanche National Grasslands land management plan (Grasslands Plan) as directed by the National Forest Management Act (NFMA). Preparation of these two plans, which will replace the single 1984 land and resource management plan (as amended) (1984 Plan) for all four units, was halted when the 2005 Planning Rule was enjoined. A new planning rule (36 CFR 219) was implemented on April 21, 2008, allowing the planning processes to be resumed.

DATES: Resumption is effective upon publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barb Masinton, 719–553–1475.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Responsible Official (Forest Supervisor) for the Pike and San Isabel National Forests and the Cimarron and Comanche National Grasslands will resume the previously-initiated land management plan (plan) revisions under the requirements of the 2008 Planning Rule. The plan revisions will be conducted in accordance with all Forest Service directives applicable to the 2008 Planning Rule.

All four units (the Pike and the San Isabel National Forests, and the Cimarron and the Comanche National Grasslands) fall under the 1984 Plan. As part of the revision process, the Responsible Official is preparing two separate plans. The revision of the 1984 Plan was initiated iii 1999 under the 1982 Planning Rule, a process that was transitioned to the 2005 Planning Rule on May 26, 2005 (Federal Register Notice Vol. 70(101), p. 30411). The first of the two plans to be released is the Grasslands Plan. Several public meetings were conducted to provide opportunities for interested parties to collaborate on the development of the Grasslands Plan. A proposed (draft) plan was available for a 90-day public comment period from December 28, 2005 through April 3, 2006, and a pre decisional version was available in March 2007. An objection period was underway when the 2005 Planning Rule was enjoined. The planning process for the second of the two plans, the Forests Plan, was also underway when the 2005 Planning Rule was enjoined; a proposed plan was not yet available for public review.

On March 30, 2007, the federal district court for the Northern District of California enjoined the Forest Service from implementing and using the 2005 Planning Rule until the agency provided notice of the rulemaking and a comment

period and conducted an assessment of the rule's effects on the environment and completed consultation under the Endangered Species Act. Plan revisions of both the Forests Plan and the Grasslands Plan were suspended in response to the injunction. On April 21, 2008, the Forest Service adopted a new planning rule-the 2008 Planning Rule (36 CFR 219 (2008)). The 2008 Planning Rule explicitly allows the resumption of plan revisions that followed the requirements of the 2005 Planning Rule (36 CFR part 219 (2005)) based on a finding that the revision process conforms to the 2008 Planning Rule (36 CFR 219. 14(b)(3)(ii)).

Based on the discussions above, I find that the planning actions taken before April 21, 2008 conform to the planning requirements of the 2008 Planning Rule and the plan revision processes undertaken to date for each plan may resume.

The public will continue to be invited to collaborate during the resumed development of each revised plan. For information about the revision of these plans, documents associated with both revision efforts, including schedules, see: http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/psicc/projects/forest_revisionlindex.shtml

Dated: April 23, 2008.

Robert J. Leaverton,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. E8–9311 Filed 4–28–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Rosemont Copper Project, Coronado National Forest, Pima County, AZ

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Revised notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: On March 13, 2008, the USDA Forest Service, Coronado National Forest, published a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Rosemont Copper Project (73 Federal Register 13527). This revised NOI advises the public of a change in the duration of the period during which the Forest Service will accept comments on the scope of the Rosemont Copper Project EIS. It also provides the locations for three public hearings at which oral testimony will be taken, along with written comments. All other information given in the original NOT will remain the same until further notice is given.

Public hearing dates and locations are as follows:

- May 12, 2008 in Elgin, Arizona.
- June 7, 2008 in Sahuarita, Arizona.
- June 30, 2008 in Tucson, Arizona.

DATES: The NOI published on March 13, 2008, advises the public that written and oral comments concerning the scope of the ETS analysis must be received by the Forest Service within 30 days following the date of publication of the NOI in the Federal Register. This duration of the scoping period has been extended by the Forest Service from 30 days to 120 days. Thus, the scoping period for the EIS will conclude on July 14, 2008. All written and oral public comments must be received by that date to be given full consideration during the EIS analysis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information about this notice, please contact Ms. Beverley A. Everson, Team Leader, at (520) 388–8300.

Dated: April 23, 2008.

Jeanine A. Derby,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. E8–9307 Filed 4–28–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

Designation for the Maryland, New Jersey, and New York Areas

AGENCY: Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: We are announcing designation of the following organizations to provide official services under the United States Grain Standards Act, as amended (USGSA): Maryland Department of Agriculture (Maryland); and D. R. Schaal Agency, Inc. (Schaal). **DATES:** Effective June 1, 2008.

ADDRESSES: USDA, GIPSA, Karen Guagliardo, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, STOP 3604, Room 1647–S, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250–3604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Guagliardo at 202–720–7312, e-mail Karen.W.Guagliardo@usda.gov.

Read Applications: All applications will be available for public inspection at the office above during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the December 5, 2007, **Federal Register** (72 FR 68555), we requested applications for designation to provide official services in the unassigned geographic

area of Maryland, New Jersey, and New York. Applications were due by January 4, 2008.

There were four applicants for designation to provide official services:

- Maryland Department of Agriculture (Maryland) applied for Maryland. Maryland is not currently designated.
- D. R. Schaal Agency, Inc. (Schaal) applied for New Jersey and New York.
- Kankakee Grain Inspection, Inc. (Kankakee) applied for Maryland, New Jersey, and New York.
- Mid-Iowa Grain Inspection, Inc. (Mid-Iowa) applied for Maryland, New Jersey and New York.

In the February 15, 2008, Federal Register (73 FR 8851), we requested comments on the applications for designation to provide official services in Maryland, New Jersey, and New York. Comments were due by March 17, 2008. GIPSA received no comments.

We evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in section 7(f)(l) of USGSA (7 U.S.C. 79 (f)) and determined that Maryland and Schaal are best able to provide official services in the geographic areas specified in the December 5, 2007, Federal Register, for which they applied.

Maryland is designated for the entire State of Maryland, except those export port locations served by GIPSA, effective June 1, 2008, and terminating June 30, 2010.

Effective June 1, 2008, Schaal's present geographic area is amended to include the entire States of New Jersey and New York, except those export port locations served by GIPSA. Schaal's current designation to provide official services terminates September 30, 2010.

Interested persons may obtain official services by calling the telephone numbers listed below.

Official agency	Headquarters location and telephone	Designation start-end
Maryland Schaal	Annapolis, MD 410–841–5769	6/1/2008–6/30/2010 6/1/2008–9/30/2010

Section 7(f)(1) of the USGSA, authorizes GIPSA's Administrator to designate a qualified applicant to provide official services in a specified area after determining that the applicant is better able than any other applicant to provide such official services (7 U.S.C. 79(f)(1)).

Section 7(g)(1) of USGSA provides that designations of official agencies will terminate not later than three years and may be renewed according to the criteria and procedures prescribed in section 7(f) of USGSA.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 71–87k.

James E. Link,

 $Administrator, Grain\ Inspection, Packers\ and\ Stockyards\ Administration.$

[FR Doc. E8–9324 Filed 4–28–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-KD-P

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting—May 13, 2008—6:30 p.m.

In connection with its investigation into the cause of a November 22, 2006, explosion and fire at the CAI/Arnel manufacturing facility in Danvers, Massachusetts, the United States Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB) announces that it will convene a public meeting on May 13, 2008, starting at 6:30 p.m. in the North Shore ballroom at the Sheraton Ferncroft Resort, 50 Ferncroft Road, Danvers, MA 01923. At the meeting CSB staff will present to the Board the results of their investigation into this incident. After the presentation by the CSB investigators there will be

presentations by witnesses discussing changes in local and state safety oversight that have been proposed since the November 22, 2006, accident at CAI/Arnel. This will be followed by a public comment period prior to a Board vote on the report.

On November 22, 2006, at about 2:45 a.m., a violent explosion at the CAI/Arnel manufacturing facility rocked the town of Danvers, MA. The explosion and subsequent fire destroyed the facility, heavily damaged dozens of nearby homes and businesses, and shattered windows as far away as one mile. At least 10 residents required hospital treatment for cuts and bruises. More than 16 homes and three businesses were damaged beyond repair. Dozens of boats at the nearby marina were heavily damaged by blast overpressure and debris strikes.

Local authorities ordered the evacuation of more than 300 residents within a half-mile of the facility. Many residents could not return for many months while they waited for their houses to be rebuilt or repaired. Seventeen months after the explosion, six homes had yet to be reoccupied as repairs were not completed.

Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Board will consider whether to approve the final report and recommendations. All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the issues and factors involved in this case. No factual analyses, conclusions or findings presented by staff should be considered final. Only after the Board has considered the final staff presentation, listened to the witnesses and the public

comments, and approved the staff report will there be an approved final record of this incident.

The meeting will be open to the public. Please notify CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed, at least 5 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board at (202) 261–7600, or visit our Web site at: http://www.csb.gov.

Christopher W. Warner,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 08–1200 Filed 4–25–08; 3:33pm] BILLING CODE 6350–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-840]

Certain Orange Juice from Brazil: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 29, 2008.

SUMMARY: Tropicana Products, Inc.
(Tropicana) has requested that the
Department initiate a changed
circumstances review to consider
partially revoking the order on certain
orange juice from Brazil to exclude ultra
low pulp orange juice (ULPOJ) pursuant
to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of
1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR
351.216(b) and 351.222(g)(1)(i). In
response to this request, the Department
of Commerce (the Department) is