

reorganization of Corporation that qualified under section 368(a) with respect to which no gain or loss was recognized for income tax purposes under section 354 or 355. Pursuant to the reorganization, D's estate opted to exchange its stock for stock subject to transfer restrictions. Although the value of the stock did not change during the alternate valuation period, discounts for lack of marketability and lack of control (totaling \$20X) were applied in determining the value of the stock held by D's estate on the AVD, and D's estate reported the value of the stock on the AVD as \$30X. Because the claimed reduction in value is not attributable to market conditions, the discounts may not be taken into account in determining the value of the stock on the AVD. Accordingly, the value on the AVD is \$50X.

*Example 2.* The facts are the same as in *Example 1* except that the value of the stock declined from \$50X to \$40X during the alternate valuation period because of changes in market conditions during that period. D's estate may report the value of the stock as \$40X on the AVD. As in *Example 1*, however, no discounts resulting from the reorganization are allowed in computing the value on the AVD.

*Example 3.* At D's death, D owned property valued at \$100X. Two months after D's death, the executor of D's estate and other family members formed four limited partnerships. The estate contributed the estate's property to the partnerships in exchange for a 25% interest in each partnership. Discounts for lack of marketability and lack of control (totaling \$25X) were applied in determining the value of the estate's partnership interests, and the estate reported \$75X as the total value of the estate's partnership interests on the AVD. Because the reduction in value is not attributable to market conditions, the discounts for lack of marketability and control may not be taken into account in determining the value of the partnership interests on the AVD. The result would be the same if the limited partnerships were formed prior to D's death, and the estate transferred property into the partnerships after D's death but prior to the AVD.

*Example 4.* At D's death, D owned 100% of the units of a limited liability company (LLC). The executor elected the alternative valuation method. During the 6 months following D's death and in accordance with D's will, the executor made 6 distributions, each to a different residuary legatee on a different date and each of a 10% interest in the LLC. Pursuant to section 2032(a)(1), each distribution is valued on the distribution date. On the AVD, the estate held 40% of the units in the LLC. Pursuant to section 2032(a)(2), the 40% is valued on the AVD. In valuing the 10% interests distributed and the 40% interest held on the AVD, discounts for lack of control and lack of marketability were applied. The reduction in value of the units is not attributable to market conditions. Accordingly, the discounts for lack of marketability and control may not be taken into account in determining the value of the units distributed or held by the estate. The value of each 10% distribution is determined by taking 10% of the value on the distribution date of the units (100%) owned

by the estate at D's death. The value of the units held by the estate on the AVD is determined by taking 40% of the value on the AVD of all of the units (100%) owned by the estate at D's death. If because of market conditions, the units had declined in value as of each distribution date or as of the AVD, D's estate would take such reduction in value into account.

*Example 5.* D died owning 100% of Blackacre. D's will directs that Blackacre be divided between two trusts, 70% to Trust A for the benefit of S, D's surviving spouse, and 30% to Trust B for the benefit of C, D's surviving child. The executor of D's estate distributed a 70% interest in Blackacre to Trust A three months after D's death, and distributed a 30% interest in Blackacre to Trust B four months after D's death. On the estate tax return, the executor elected to value the estate's property under the alternate valuation method under section 2032. There was no change in the value of Blackacre during the four-month period following D's death. The 70% interest in Blackacre is to be valued as of the distribution date to Trust A, and that value is determined by taking 70% of the value of all (100%) of Blackacre as of the distribution date. The 30% interest in Blackacre is to be valued as of the distribution date to Trust B, and that value is determined by taking 30% of the value of all (100%) of Blackacre as of the distribution date. If, however, because of market conditions such as a decline in the real estate market, Blackacre's value had declined by 10% between D's date of death and the distribution date of the 30% interest, the value of the 30% interest would be determined by ascertaining 30% of the value of all (100%) of Blackacre as of the distribution date, which would equal 30% of 90% of the date of death value of Blackacre.

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) *Effective/applicability date.* \* \* \*  
The fourth sentence of paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this section is applicable to decedents dying after May 1, 1999, subject to transition rules for certain incapacitated individuals. The fifth sentence of paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this section is applicable to decedents dying after November 30, 1983, subject to transition rules for certain incapacitated individuals. The first, second, and third sentences of paragraph (f)(2)(i), paragraph (f)(2)(ii), and all but the last sentence in paragraph (f)(2) of this section are applicable to decedents dying after August 16, 1954. When adopted as final regulations, the rules contained in paragraphs (f)(1), (f)(3), and the last sentence of paragraph (f)(2) of this section, will be made applicable to estates of decedents dying on or after April 25, 2008.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Linda E. Stiff,**  
*Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.*  
[FR Doc. E8-9025 Filed 4-24-08; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 100

[USCG-2008-0220]

RIN 1625-AA08

#### Regattas and Marine Parades; Great Lakes Annual Marine Events

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to amend special local regulations for annual regattas and marine parades in the Captain of the Port Detroit zone. This proposed rule is intended to ensure safety of life on the navigable waters immediately prior to, during, and immediately after regattas or marine parades. This proposed rule will establish restrictions upon, and control movement of, vessels in a specified area immediately prior to, during, and immediately after regattas or marine parades.

**DATES:** Comments and related materials must reach the Coast Guard on or before May 27, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by Coast Guard docket number USCG-2008-0220 to the Docket Management Facility at the U.S. Department of Transportation. To avoid duplication, please use only one of the following methods:

- (1) *Online:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.
- (2) *Mail:* Docket Management Facility (M-30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590-0001.
- (3) *Hand delivery:* Room W12-140 on the Ground Floor of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202-366-9329.
- (4) *Fax:* 202-493-2251.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** LT Jeff Ahlgren, Waterways Management, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Detroit, 110 Mount Elliot Ave., Detroit, MI 48207; (313) 568-9580.

#### I. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and will include any personal information you have provided. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation (DOT) to use the Docket Management Facility. Please see DOT's "Privacy Act" paragraph below.

#### A. Submitting Comments

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG-2008-0220), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. We recommend that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your document to ensure that you can be identified as the submitter. This also allows us to contact you in the event further information is needed or if there are questions. For example, if we cannot read your submission due to technical difficulties and you cannot be contacted; your submission may not be considered. You may submit your comments and material by electronic means, mail, fax, or delivery to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES**; but please submit your comments and material by only one means. If you submit them by mail or delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

#### B. Viewing Comments and Documents

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time, click on "Search for Dockets," Enter the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG-2008-0220) in the Search box, and click "Go>>". You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the DOT West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### C. Privacy Act

Anyone can search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on

behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Department of Transportation's Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477), or you may visit <http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to Commander, Coast Guard Sector Detroit at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

##### Background and Purpose

This proposed rule will remove the specific entries from table 1 found in 33 CFR 100.901, Great Lakes annual marine events that apply to regattas and marine parades in the Captain of the Port Detroit zone and list each regatta or marine parade as a subpart. This proposed rule will also add several regattas and marine parades not previously listed in 33 CFR part 100 and remove several events that no longer occur annually or are not regattas or marine parades.

##### Discussion of Proposed Rule

This proposed rule is intended to ensure safety of life on the navigable waters immediately prior to, during, and immediately after regattas or marine parades. This proposed rule will establish restrictions upon and control the movement of vessels through a specified area immediately prior to, during, and immediately after regattas or marine parades.

The Captain of the Port Detroit will cause notice of enforcement of the special local regulations established by this section to be made by all appropriate means to the affected segments of the public. Such means of notification will include, but is not limited to, Broadcast Notice to Mariners and Local Notice to Mariners. The Captain of the Port Detroit will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners notifying the public when enforcement of the special local regulations is terminated.

##### Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office

of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary.

The Coast Guard's use of these special local regulations will be periodic in nature, of short duration, and designed to minimize the impact on navigable waters. These special local regulations will only be enforced immediately before and during the time the marine events are occurring. Furthermore, these special local regulations have been designed to allow vessels to transit unrestricted to portions of the waterways not affected by the special local regulations. The Coast Guard expects insignificant adverse impact to mariners from the activation of these special local regulations.

##### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This proposed rule would affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: The owners of operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in the areas designated as special local regulations in paragraphs (4) through (13) during the dates and times the special local regulations are being enforced.

These special local regulations would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. The special local regulations in this proposed rule would be in effect for short periods of time, and only once per year. The special local regulations have been designed to allow traffic to pass safely around the zone whenever possible and vessels will be allowed to pass through the zones with the permission of the Captain of the Port.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see

**ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this proposed rule would economically affect it.

#### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the proposed rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact LT Jeff Ahlgren, Waterways Management, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Detroit, 110 Mount Elliot Ave., Detroit, MI 48207; (313) 568–9580. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### Collection of Information

This proposed rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule will not result in such expenditure, we nevertheless discuss its effects elsewhere in this preamble.

#### Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule will not affect the taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### Indian Tribal Governments

The Coast Guard recognizes the treaty rights of Native American Tribes. Moreover, the Coast Guard is committed to working with Tribal Governments to implement local policies and to mitigate tribal concerns. We have determined that these safety zones and fishing rights protection need not be incompatible. We have also determined that this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. Nevertheless, Indian Tribes that have questions concerning the provisions of this proposed rule or options for compliance are encouraged to contact the point of contact listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

#### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15

U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (*e.g.*, specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

#### Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is not likely to have a significant effect on the human environment. A preliminary “Environmental Analysis Check List” supporting this preliminary determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

#### **PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS**

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows: 33 U.S.C. 1233.

#### **§ 100.901 [Amended]**

2. Amend § 100.901 Table 1 as follows:

a. Under the heading “Group Detroit, MI” remove the entries: Bay City Fireworks Display, Detroit APBA Gold Cup Race, Buick Watersports Weekend, Cleveland National Air Show, International Bay City River Roar, International Freedom Festival Fireworks, International Freedom Festival Tug Across the River, Parade of

Lights, Port Clinton Offshore Grand Prix, Port Huron to Mackinac Island Race, Thunder on the River Hydroplane Race, Toledo 4th of July Fireworks, and Toledo Labor Day Fireworks;

b. Remove the heading "Group Detroit, MI".

**§ 100.902 [Removed and Reserved]**

3. Remove and reserve § 100.902.

4. Add § 100.911 to read as follows:

**§ 100.911 Bay City Airshow, Bay City, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the Saginaw River bound on the south by a line extending from a point of land on the western shore at position 43°32.2' N; 083°53.3' W, east to a point of land on the eastern shore located at position 43°32.2' N; 083°53.2' W, and bounded on the north by a line extending from a point of land on the western shore at position 43°33.4' N; 083°54.5' W, east to a point of land on the eastern shore located at position 43°33.4' N; 083°54.3' W. (NAD 83). This area is south of Middle Ground Island near Clements Municipal Airport.

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective date:* Two days during the second week in August. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

5. Add § 100.912 to read as follows:

**§ 100.912 Detroit Belle Isle Grand Prix, Detroit, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the Detroit River near Belle Isle, bounded by a line extending from a point of land on the southern shore of Belle Isle located at position 42°20'00" N; 082°59'45" W, to 50 yards offshore at position 42°19'57"; 082°59'43", and continuing at a distance of 50 yards around the western end of Belle Isle to the Belle Isle Bridge, maintaining a constant distance of 50 yards from the shoreline and terminating at position 42°20'28"; 082°59'43"; on the northern side of Belle Isle, adjacent to a point on land at position 42°20'24" N; 082°59'48" W (NAD 83). This area wraps around the downstream end of Belle Isle.

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The last weekend in August. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

6. Add § 100.913 to read as follows:

**§ 100.913 ACORA Garwood Classic Offshore Race, Algonac, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of St. Clair River's North Channel, Algonac, Michigan, bounded by a north/south line beginning at a point of land adjacent to Allen Boats, Algonac, MI (position 42°37'05" N, 082°33'34" W) extending to a point of land on Harsens Island (position 42°36'49" N, 082°33'34" W) extending east along the shoreline of Harsens Island to north/south line beginning at position 42°37'16" N, 082°31'11" W (approx. 500 ft west of the Russell Island buoy) extending north to a point at position 42°37' 28" N, 082°31' 11" W (approx. 300 ft offshore from the Russell Boat Club), then west along the shoreline of Algonac, MI stopping at the point of origin. (NAD 83).

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The first weekend in August. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

7. Add § 100.914 to read as follows:

**§ 100.914 Trenton Rotary Roar on the River, Trenton, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the Detroit River, Trenton, Michigan, bounded by an east/west line beginning at a point of land at the northern end of Elizabeth Park in Trenton, MI, located at position 42°8.2' N; 083°10.6' W, extending east to a point near the center of the Trenton Channel located at position 42°8.2' N; 083°10.4' W, extending south along a north/south line to a point at the Grosse Ile Parkway Bridge located at position 42°7.7' N; 083°10.5' W, extending west along a line bordering the Grosse Ile Parkway Bridge to a point on land located at position 42°7.7' N; 083°10.7' W, and along the shoreline to the point of origin. (NAD 83). This area is in the Trenton Channel between Trenton and Grosse Isle, MI.

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The third week in July. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

8. Add § 100.915 to read as follows:

**§ 100.915 St. Clair River Classic Offshore Race, St. Clair, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the St. Clair River, St. Clair, Michigan, bounded by latitude 42°52'00" N to the north; latitude 42°49'00" N to the south; the shoreline of the St. Clair River on the west; and the international boundary line on the east (NAD 83).

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The last week in July. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

9. Add § 100.916 to read as follows:

**§ 100.916 Chris Craft Silver Cup Races, Algonac, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the St. Clair River, North Channel, Algonac, Michigan, bounded on the north by a line starting at the northern end of Russel Island at position 42°37.0' N; 082°31.4' W extending across the channel to Algonac to a point at position 42°37.4' N; 082°31.5' W, and bounded on the south by a line starting north of Grande Point Cut on Russel Island at position 42°36.3' N; 082°32.5' W extending across the channel to Algonac to a point at position 42°36.5' N; 082°32.6' W. (NAD 83).

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The third week in August. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

10. Add § 100.917 to read as follows:

**§ 100.917 The Old Club Cannonade, Harsens Island, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of Lake St. Clair in an area bound by the coordinates starting at the cannon firing position located at 42°32.5' N; 082°40.1' W extending west to the Old Channel Light located at position 42°32.5' N; 082°41.6' W angling northeast to position 42°33.5' N; 082°40.6' W then angling southeast to the point of origin creating a triangle shaped safety zone. (NAD 83). This area is near the southern end of Harsens Island in Muscamoot Bay.

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area

without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The third week in October. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

11. Add § 100.918 to read as follows:

**§ 100.918 Detroit APBA Gold Cup, Detroit, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the Detroit River, Belle Isle, Michigan, bound on the west by the Belle Isle Bridge (position 42°20'20" N, 083°00'00" W to 42°20'24" N, 083°59'45" W), and on the east by a north-south line drawn through Waterworks Intake Crib Light (Light List Number 8350; position 42°21'06" N, 082°58'00" W) (NAD 83).

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The first or second week in June. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

12. Add § 100.919 to read as follows:

**§ 100.919 International Bay City River Roar, Bay City, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the Saginaw River bounded on the north by the Liberty Bridge, located at 43°36.3' N, 083°53.4' W, and bounded on the south by the Veterans Memorial Bridge, located at 43°35.8' N, 083°53.6' W. (NAD 83).

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The third or fourth week in June. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

13. Add § 100.920 to read as follows:

**§ 100.920 Tug Across the River, Detroit, MI.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* A regulated area is established to include all waters of the Detroit River, Detroit, Michigan, bounded on the south by the International boundary, on the west by 083°03' W, on the east by 083°02' W, and on the north by the U.S. shoreline (DATUM: NAD 83). This position is located on the Detroit River in front of Hart Plaza, Detroit, MI.

(b) *Special Local Regulations.* The regulations of § 100.901 apply. No vessel may enter, transit through, or anchor within the regulated area

without the permission of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.

(c) *Effective Date.* The third or fourth week in June. The exact dates and times for this event will be determined annually.

Dated: April 4, 2008.

**John E. Crowley, Jr.,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. E8-8864 Filed 4-24-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Parts 52 and 81**

[EPA-R09-OAR-2008-0306; FRL-8558-7]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of California; PM-10; Revision of Designation; Redesignation of the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin PM-10 Nonattainment Area to Attainment; Approval of PM-10 Maintenance Plan for the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin; Approval of Commitments for the East Kern PM-10 Nonattainment Area**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve the State of California's request to revise the designation for the San Joaquin Valley (SJV) serious nonattainment area for particulate matter of ten microns or less (PM-10) (SJV nonattainment area) by splitting the area into two separate nonattainment areas: The San Joaquin Valley Air Basin serious PM-10 nonattainment area and the East Kern serious PM-10 nonattainment area. EPA is also proposing to redesignate the SJVAB nonattainment area to attainment for the PM-10 national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) and proposing to approve the PM-10 maintenance plan, motor vehicle emissions budgets and conformity trading mechanism for the area. EPA is also proposing to exclude from use in determining that the area has attained the standard two exceedances that EPA has concluded were caused by exceptional events that occurred on July 4, 2007 and January 4, 2008. Finally, EPA is proposing to approve enforceable commitments by the Kern County Air Pollution Control District and the California Air Resources Board to install a PM-10 monitor in the East Kern nonattainment area and to address

Clean Air Act requirements under section 189(d) as necessary for the area.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by May 27, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2008-0306, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions.

2. *E-mail:* [lo.doris@epa.gov](mailto:lo.doris@epa.gov).

3. *Mail or deliver:* Doris Lo (Air-2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

*Instructions:* All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail. <http://www.regulations.gov> is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

*Docket:* The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Doris Lo, EPA Region IX, (415) 972-3959, [lo.doris@epa.gov](mailto:lo.doris@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, "we" "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Background
- II. Summary of EPA's Proposed Actions
- III. Proposed Revised Boundary Redesignation