

TA-W-63,009; *RSA, The Security Division of EMC, A Subsidiary of EMC, Bedford, MA.*

TA-W-63,094; *J J's Mae, Inc., dba Rainbeau, San Francisco, CA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met. *None.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-62,908; *U.S. Timber Company, Baker City, OR.*

TA-W-62,995; *RSDC of Michigan, LLC, Holt, MI.*

TA-W-63,030; *Daisy Outdoor Products, Neosho, MO.*

TA-W-63,010; *Rotor Coaters International, leased workers of Trillium Staffing and Poch Staffing, Saginaw, MI.*

TA-W-63,101; *Modern Textile, Inc., Oakville, CT.*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-62,706; *TJD Fabrications, Inc., Plattsburgh, NY.*

TA-W-62,989; *Rexel, Inc., Branch 3210/ Division Office, Rocky Mountain Division, Denver, CO.*

TA-W-63,054; *Skynet Satellite Corporation, Formerly known as Loral Skynet Corp., A Subsidiary of Telesat, Hawley, PA.*

TA-W-63,061; *Springs Global, US, Inc., Spring Direct Division, Lancaster, SC.*

TA-W-63,075; *Rusound, Also know as Folded Metal Products, Inc., Newmarket, NH.*

TA-W-63,095; *Western Union Financial Services, Inc., Bridgeton, MO.*

TA-W-63,103; *HD Supply, Inc., Plumbing and HVAC Division, Columbus, GA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria of section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA. *None.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *April 7 through April 11, 2008*. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be

mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: April 17, 2008.

**Erin Fitzgerald,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E8-8775 Filed 4-22-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,752]

#### **Dynamerica Manufacturing, LLC, Muncie, IN; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration**

On April 1, 2008, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 2008 (73 FR 19896).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that criteria I.B. and II.B. have not been met. There were no plant sales or production declines nor was there as shift in production from the subject firm abroad.

In the request for reconsideration the petitioner stated that he did not have exact knowledge of the subject firm's sales or production, but alleged that "DynAmerica was and still is struggling financially" and provided a history of the declining employment at the subject firm.

On reconsideration, the Department contacted a company official to address these allegations. The official confirmed the accuracy of the statements released by the subject firm in the initial investigation regarding sales and production at Dynamerica Manufacturing LLC, Muncie, Indiana. Furthermore, the company official provided additional financial documentation supporting the facts that sales and production at the subject firm increased from 2006 to 2007.

The petitioner further stated that the subject firm acquired a facility in Mexico and alleged that production has been shifted from Muncie facility to Mexico. In particular, the petitioner alleged, that the subject firm "shipped presses and multislides to Mexico and the dies to make parts that they were running at DynAmerica."

The company official stated that Dynamerica Manufacturing LLC manufactures metal stampings in the

plant in Mexico. The official emphasized that metal stampings manufactured in Mexico are not like or directly competitive with automotive safety components manufactured at Muncie plant.

Furthermore, the company official stated that there was no shift in production of automotive safety components from Dynamerica Manufacturing LLC, Muncie, Indiana to Mexico in 2006 and 2007. The machinery mentioned by the petitioner in the request for reconsideration were purchased by Dynamerica specifically for its Mexican facility. The documentation shows that this machinery was shipped to Muncie plant for maintenance, safety and fit of tooling prior to being shipped to Mexico. "Once the tool was debugged in the press, the tool and press was shipped Mexico." The official stated that the reason for the workers separations is related to a domestic shift in plant production.

The investigation on reconsideration revealed that sales and production and the subject firm increased from 2006 to 2007 and that there was no shift in production abroad of articles like or directly competitive with the products manufactured by workers of the subject firm.

### Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Dynamerica Manufacturing, LLC, Muncie, Indiana.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of April, 2008.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E8-8780 Filed 4-22-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,613]

#### **Longview Fibre Paper and Packaging, Inc., Longview Mill, Formerly Fibre Company, Longview, WA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration**

By application dated March 28, 2008, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for

Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination signed on March 18, 2008, was based on the finding that imports of kraft paper did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject plant and there was no shift in production of kraft paper from the subject firm abroad. The denial notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding the subject firm's customers and also requested the Department of Labor conduct further analysis of imports that are like or directly competitive with kraft paper.

The Department further reviewed responses of a sample customer survey conducted during the initial investigation. On further analysis, it has been determined that a significant number of customers increased their imports of kraft paper while decreasing their purchases from the subject firm from 2006 to 2007.

In accordance with Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of Section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with kraft paper produced at Longview Fibre Paper and Packaging, Inc., Longview Mill, Longview, Washington, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Longview Fibre Paper and Packaging, Inc., Longview Mill, formerly Longview Fibre Company, Longview, Washington, engaged in production of kraft

paper, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 27, 2006, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC., this 15th day of April, 2008.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E8-8778 Filed 4-22-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,608]

#### Precision Magnetics, Division of Arnold Magnetics Technology, Wayne, NJ; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On March 3, 2008, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application on Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 11, 2008 (73 FR 13013).

The previous investigation initiated on December 27, 2007, resulted in a negative determination issued on February 4, 2008, was based on the finding that, during the relevant period, the subject firm did not shift production of magnetic components and assemblies to a foreign country and did not import magnetic components and assemblies. The determination also stated that the workers' separations were attributed to a domestic shift of production. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 22, 2008 (73 FR 9836).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding production at the subject firm, imports and customers.

Upon further investigation the Department requested a list of customers from the subject firm. New information revealed that Precision Magnetics, Division of Arnold Magnetic Technologies, Wayne, New Jersey supplies component parts for machined housings and covers for gearboxes and generators produced by the primary firm, and at least 20 percent of its production or sales is supplied to a manufacturer whose workers were certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

In accordance with section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers of Precision Magnetics, Division of Arnold Magnetic Technologies, Wayne, New Jersey qualify as adversely affected secondary workers under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Precision Magnetics, Division of Arnold Magnetic Technologies, Wayne, New Jersey, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 26, 2006, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 17th day of April 2008.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E8-8777 Filed 4-22-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-63,078]

#### Mavrick Metal Stamping Incorporated, Mancelona, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 27, 2008 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Mavrick Metal Stamping Incorporated, Mancelona, Michigan.