Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of April 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–8776 Filed 4–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,619; TA-W-62,619A]

OEM/Erie, Inc., Including On-Site Leased Workers From Career Concepts Staffing Services Erie, PA; Including an Employee in Support of OEM/Erie, Inc., Erie, PA Operating Out of Madision Heights, MI: Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on March 3, 2008, applicable to workers of OEM/ Erie, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Career Concepts Staffing Services, Erie, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 21, 2008 (73 FR 15217).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

New information shows that a worker separation has occurred involving an employee in support of the Erie, Pennsylvania facility of OEM/Erie, Inc. operating out of Madison Heights, Michigan. Mr. Edward Conger provided engineering functions supporting the production of plastic trim automotive parts that was produced at the Erie, Pennsylvania facility of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include an employee in support of the Erie, Pennsylvania facility operating out of Madison Heights, Michigan.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of OEM/Erie, Inc., Erie, Pennsylvania who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–62,619 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of OEM/Erie, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Career Concepts Staffing Services, Erie, Pennsylvania (TA–W– 62,619) including an employee in support of OEM/Erie, Inc., Erie, Pennsylvania operating out of Madison Heights, Michigan (TA–W– 62,619A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 13, 2006, through March 3, 2010, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of April 2008.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–8779 Filed 4–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA–W) number issued during the period of *April 7 through April 11, 2008.*

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either—

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met. *None.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-63,133; Mitch Murch's Maintenance Management, Working on-site at Briggs & Stratton Corp., Rolla, MO: January 25, 2007.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-62,755; G4 Products, LLC, Formerly known as Geiger Manufactured Products Group, Lewiston, ME: January 17, 2007.

- TA-W-62,933; A.H. Schreiber Company, Inc., Gordon Garment Div., Atwork & Bright Services, Bristol, VA: March 28, 2008.
- TA–W–63,100; Chillicothe Paper, Inc., A Subsidiary of Newpage Corporation, Chillicothe, OH: April 1, 2007.
- TA-W-63,112; Wolverine Finance, LLC, Wolverine Tube, including workers residing in Alabama, Ardmore, TN: March 31, 2007.
- TA–W–63,122; Chromcraft Revington, Inc., Formerly known as Peters Revington Furniture, Delphi, IN: April 2, 2007.
- TA–Ŵ–62,936; Bradford Dyeing Association, Inc., Bradford, RI: February 28, 2007.
- TA-W-63,089; Garment Technology, Inc., Signum, LLC, Gaffney, SC: March 27, 2007.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

- TA-W-62,956; General Mills, Inc., Old El Paso Meals Division, Poplar, WI: March 3, 2007.
- TA–W–62,960; Russell Corporation, Spalding/Huff Sports Division, Sussex, WI: April 22, 2008.
- TA–W–62,984; Saint-Gobain Sekurit, on-site leased workers of HCS Resource, Shelby Township, MI: March 5, 2007.
- TA–W–62,996; Vanity Fair Brands, LP, New York Office, New York, NY: March 10, 2007.
- TA–W–62,996A; Vanity Fair Brands, LP, Alpharetta, GA: March 10, 2007.
- TA-W-63,043; Grammer Industries, Inc., A Subsidiary of Grammer AG, Automotive Division, on-site leased workers of Corestaff Services, Piedmont, SC: March 20, 2007.
- TA-W-63,043A; Grammer Industries, Inc., A Subsidiary of Grammer AG, Sales and Engineering Office, onsite leased workers of Westaff, Troy, MI: March 20, 2007.
- TA–W–63,086; K-Industries, USA, LLC, Riviera Beach, FL: March 27, 2007.
- TA-W-63,114; Colgate-Palmolive, onsite workers of Adecco, Jeffersonville, IN: March 24, 2008.
- TA-W-63,118; ARC Automotive, Inc., A Subsidiary of Sequa Corporation, Knoxville, TN: April 1, 2007.
- TA–W–63,006; Air Products and Chemicals, Polyurethane Chemicals Division, Paulsboro, NJ: March 17, 2007.
- TA–W–63,028; Fujifilm Manufacturing U.S.A., Inc., on-site leased workers of Staffsource, Inc., Greenwood, SC: February 19, 2007.
- TA–W–63,135; Leica Geosystems HDS, LLC, Leica Geosystems, Inc., Bus.

Unit, Aerotek, San Ramon, CA: April 2, 2007.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

- TA–W–62,915; Furniture Makers Supply Company, Lexington, NC: February 21, 2007.
- TA–W–62,916; Lexington Abrasive Belts, Subsidiary of Furniture Makers Supply Co., Lexington, NC: February 21, 2007.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) and section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met. *None.*

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. The firm does not have a significant number of workers 50 years of age or older.

TA-W-63,133; Mitch Murch's Maintenance Management, Working on-site at Briggs & Stratton Corp., Rolla, MO:

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable. *None.*

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse. *None.*

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

- TA–W–63,009; RSA, The Security Division of EMC, A Subsidiary of EMC, Bedford, MA.
- TA-W-63,094; J J's Mae, Inc., dba Rainbeau, San Francisco, CA. The investigation revealed that

criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

None. The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

- TA–W–62,908; U.S. Timber Company, Baker City, OR.
- TA-W-62,995; RSDC of Michigan, LLC, Holt, MI.
- TA–W–63,030; Daisy Outdoor Products, Neosho, MO.
- TA-W-63,010; Rotor Coaters International, leased workers of Trillium Staffing and Poch Staffing, Saginaw, MI.
- TA–W–63,101; Modern Textile, Inc., Oakville, CT.

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- TA–W–62,706; TJD Fabrications, Inc., Plattsburgh, NY.
- TA–W–62,989; Rexel, Inc., Branch 3210/ Division Office, Rocky Mountain Division, Denver, CO.
- TA-W-63,054; Skynet Satellite Corporation, Formerly known as Loral Skynet Corp., A Subsidiary of Telesat, Hawley, PA.
- TA–W–63,061; Springs Global, US, Inc., Spring Direct Division, Lancaster, SC.
- TA–W–63,075; Russound, Also know as Folded Metal Products, Inc., Newmarket, NH.
- TA–W–63,095; Western Union Financial Services, Inc., Bridgeton, MO.
- TA–W–63,103; HD Supply, Inc., Plumbing and HVAC Division, Columbus, GA.

The investigation revealed that criteria of section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA. *None.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *April 7 through April 11, 2008.* Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: April 17, 2008.

Erin Fitzgerald, Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–8775 Filed 4–22–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,752]

Dynamerica Manufacturing, LLC, Muncie, IN; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On April 1, 2008, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 2008 (73 FR 19896).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that criteria I.B. and II.B. have not been met. There were no plant sales or production declines nor was there as shift in production from the subject firm abroad.

In the request for reconsideration the petitioner stated that he did not have exact knowledge of the subject firm's sales or production, but alleged that "DynAmerica was and still is struggling financially" and provided a history of the declining employment at the subject firm.

On reconsideration, the Department contacted a company official to address these allegations. The official confirmed the accuracy of the statements released by the subject firm in the initial investigation regarding sales and production at Dynamerica Manufacturing LLC, Muncie, Indiana. Furthermore, the company official provided additional financial documentation supporting the facts that sales and production at the subject firm increased from 2006 to 2007.

The petitioner further stated that the subject firm acquired a facility in Mexico and alleged that production has been shifted from Muncie facility to Mexico. In particular, the petitioner alleged, that the subject firm "shipped presses and multislides to Mexico and the dies to make parts that they were running at DynAmerica."

The company official stated that Dynamerica Manufacturing LLC manufactures metal stampings in the plant in Mexico. The official emphasized that metal stampings manufactured in Mexico are not like or directly competitive with automotive safety components manufactured at Muncie plant.

Furthermore, the company official stated that there was no shift in production of automotive safety components from Dynamerica Manufacturing LLC, Muncie, Indiana to Mexico in 2006 and 2007. The machinery mentioned by the petitioner in the request for reconsideration were purchased by Dynamerica specifically for its Mexican facility. The documentation shows that this machinery was shipped to Muncie plant for maintenance, safety and fit of tooling prior to being shipped to Mexico. "Once the tool was debugged in the press, the tool and press was shipped Mexico." The official stated that the reason for the workers separations is related to a domestic shift in plant production.

The investigation on reconsideration revealed that sales and production and the subject firm increased from 2006 to 2007 and that there was no shift in production abroad of articles like or directly competitive with the products manufactured by workers of the subject firm.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Dynamerica Manufacturing, LLC, Muncie, Indiana.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of April, 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E8–8780 Filed 4–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,613]

Longview Fibre Paper and Packaging, Inc., Longview Mill, Formerly Fibre Company, Longview, WA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application dated March 28, 2008, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for