### **Notices**

#### Federal Register

Vol. 73, No. 78

Tuesday, April 22, 2008

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

April 16, 2008.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments regarding (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB),

OIRA\_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8958.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to

the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

## Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

*Title:* Scrapie in Sheep and Goats; Interstate Movement Restrictions and Indemnity Program.

OMB Control Number: 0579-0101.

Summary Of Collection: Under the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-71, subtitle E, Animal Health Protection, Section 10401-10418, the Secretary of Agriculture, in order to protect the agriculture, environment, economy, and health and welfare of the people of the United States by preventing, detecting, controlling, and eradicating diseases and pests of animal, is authorized to cooperate with foreign countries, States, and other jurisdictions, or other person, to prevent and eliminate burdens on interstate commerce and foreign commerce, and to regulate effectively interstate commerce and foreign commerce. Scrapie is a progressive, degenerative and eventually fatal disease affecting the central nervous system of sheep and goats. Its control is complicated because the disease has an extremely long incubation period without clinical signs of disease, and there is no test for the disease and or known treatment. The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) restricts the interstate movement of certain sheep and goats to help prevent the spread of scrapie. APHIS has regulations at 9 CFR part 54 for an indemnity program to compensate owners of sheep and goats destroyed because of scrapie.

Need and Use of the Information: APHIS will collect information using cooperative agreements; applications from owners to participate in the Scrapie Flock Certification Program; post-exposure management and monitoring plans; scrapie test records; application for indemnity payments; certificates, permits, and owner statements for the interstate movement of certain sheep and goats; application for premises identification numbers; and applications for APHIS-approved eartags, backtags, or tattoos, etc. Without this information APHIS' efforts to more aggressively prevent the spread of scrapie would be severely hindered.

Description of Respondents: Farms; Business or other for-profit; State, Local, or Tribal Government. Number of Respondents: 131,911.

Frequency of Responses:
Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 670,455.

## Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

*Title:* Customer/Stakeholder Satisfaction Surveys.

OMB Control Number: 0579-NEW. Summary of Collection: Collection and dissemination of animal health data and information is mandated by 7 U.S.C. 391, the Animal Industry Act of 1884, which established the precursor of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Veterinary Services, the Bureau of Animal Industry. The collection, analysis and dissemination of livestock and poultry health information on a national basis are consistent with the APHIS mission of protecting and improving American agriculture's productivity and competitiveness. The National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) program relies heavily on producer and industry support. The NAHMS Program is committed to improving the value of studies for producers and industry, reducing the burden of these studies on respondents, and developing timely information of value to the American public. As part of this commitment, the NAHMS is seeking approval to perform customer/stakeholder satisfaction surveys for participants of NAHMS studies, user of NAHMS information as well as recipients of the U.S. Animal Health Report. Therefore, NAHMS needs to collect this type of feedback from producer and other to enhance future studies and ensure that the informational products are meeting their

Need and Use of the Information: The information collected through the surveys will be analyzed and used for internal program adjustments and to tailor future NAHMS studies and reports. The potential benefit to the industry from these surveys is feedback to improve the program, laboratory services and informational products by gathering relevant and timely information and opinion on the content and method of program or service delivery.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 35,700. Frequency of Responses: Reporting; On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 2,471.

#### Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–8644 Filed 4–21–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-894]

Certain Tissue Paper Products From the People's Republic of China: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order and Extension of Final Determination

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

#### **Preliminary Determination**

We preliminarily determine that certain tissue paper products ("tissue paper") produced by Vietnam Quijiang Paper Co., Ltd. ("Quijiang") are circumventing the antidumping duty order on tissue paper from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), as provided in section 781(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Tissue Paper Products from the People's Republic of China, 70 FR 16223 (March 30, 2005) ("Order").

**DATES:** Effective Date: April 22, 2008. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julia Hancock, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1394.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 19, 2006, the Seaman Paper Company of Massachusetts, Inc. ("Petitioner") requested that the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiate a circumvention inquiry pursuant to section 781(b) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.225(h), to determine whether imports of tissue paper from Vietnam made from PRC-origin jumbo rolls are circumventing the antidumping duty order on tissue paper from the PRC. See Petitioner's Request for a circumvention Inquiry, (July 19, 2006) ("Circumvention Petition");

Order. Petitioner alleged that sending PRC-origin jumbo rolls of tissue paper to Vietnam for completion or assembly into tissue paper products covered by the Order constitutes circumvention pursuant to section 781(b) of the Act.

On July 21, 2006, Petitioner amended the Circumvention Petition to include certain business proprietary information. On August 11, 2006, Quijiang submitted comments regarding Petitioner's July 21, 2006, request for an anti-circumvention inquiry. On August 14, 2006, the Department requested that the Petitioner submit documentation referenced, but not included, in its July 21, 2006, request. On August 18, 2006, Petitioner submitted a response to the Department's August 14, 2006, request. On August 21, 2006, Petitioner submitted comments on Quijiang's August 11, 2006, submission.

On September 5, 2006, the Department initiated a circumvention inquiry on certain imports of tissue paper from Vietnam. See Certain Tissue Paper Products from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Circumvention Inquiry, 71 FR 53662 (September 12, 2006) ("Initiation"). In the Initiation notice, the Department stated that it would focus its analysis on the significance of the production process in Vietnam by Quijiang, the company the Petitioner identified in its

circumvention request.

However, in the Initiation notice, the Department also stated that Quijiang had admitted on the record of the first administrative review of the Order that it received jumbo rolls of tissue paper produced by its PRC parent company, Guilin Qifeng Paper Co., Ltd. ("Guilin Qifeng"). Guilin Qifeng is the sole owner of Quijiang. According to Quijiang, Guilin Qifeng, which is a tissue paper processor and exporter located in Guangxi, PRC, established Quijiang in June 2004. Additionally, Quijiang stated that Guilin Qifeng was the sole supplier of the PRC-origin jumbo rolls, which Quijiang converted to cut-to-length tissue paper that was exported to the United States. See Quijiang's First Questionnaire Response, (December 11, 2006) at 4-8. Accordingly, for purposes of this circumvention inquiry, the Department has focused its analysis on whether PRC-origin jumbo rolls supplied by Guilin Qifeng that were converted to cut-to-length tissue paper products by Quijiang are circumventing the Order, as provided in section 781(b) of the Act.

#### Questionnaires

On September 27, 2006, Petitioner submitted comments concerning the initial questionnaire to be issued to

Quijiang. On October 6, 2006, Cleo Inc. ("Cleo"), a U.S. importer, submitted rebuttal comments to Petitioner's September 27, 2006, submission. On October 26, 2006, Petitioner submitted surrebuttal comments to Cleo's October 6, 2006, submission.

Between November 2, 2006, and December 3, 2007, the Department issued six questionnaires to Quijiang soliciting information regarding Quijiang's tissue paper production and exports to the United States to which Quijiang responded. Between January 8, 2007, and April 3, 2008, Petitioner and Cleo submitted comments on Quijiang's questionnaire responses and whether the Department should suspend liquidation and collect cash deposits on all entries of tissue paper from Quijiang.

#### Surrogate Country Comments

In this case, both the country that produced the jumbo rolls and the country that produced the tissue paper products from the jumbo rolls are considered non-market economy ("NME") countries. Therefore, because the production of jumbo rolls and the cut-to-length tissue paper are performed in NME countries, we used surrogate values to determine whether the value of processing performed in Vietnam represents a small portion of the value of the merchandise sold in the United States. Accordingly, pursuant to section 773(c)(4) of the Act, in valuing the FOPs, the Department shall utilize, to the extent possible, the prices or costs of factors of production ("FOPs") in one or more market-economy countries that are at a level of economic development comparable to that of the NME country and are significant producers of comparable merchandise.

On November 5, 2007, the Department determined that India, Indonesia, Sri Lanka, the Philippines, and Egypt are countries comparable to the PRC and also determined that Bangladesh, Pakistan, India, Sri Lanka, and Indonesia are countries comparable to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with section 771(18)(C)(i) of the Act, any determination that a foreign country is an NME country shall remain in effect until revoked by the administering authority. See Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Coated Free Sheet Paper from the People's Republic of China, 72 FR 30758, 30760 (June 4, 2007), unchanged in Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Coated Free Sheet Paper from the People's Republic of China, 72 FR 60632 (October 25, 2007); Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Second Administrative, 72 FR 13242 (March 21, 2007) ("FFF2 Final Results"). No party has challenged the designation of the PRC or Vietnam as an NME country in this investigation. Therefore, we continue to treat the PRC and Vietnam as NME countries for purposes of the preliminary determination of this circumvention inquiry.