

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 341,425.

*Status:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: April 10, 2008.

**Lillian L. Deitzer,**

*Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E8-8200 Filed 4-15-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-5187-N-21]

**HUD Loan Sale Bidder Qualification Statement**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

The Bidder Qualifications Statement solicits from prospective bidders the basic qualifications required for bidding including but not limited to, purchaser information (name of purchaser, corporation entity, address, tax ID),

business type, net worth and equity size. By executing the Qualification Statement, the purchaser certifies, represents and warrants to HUD that each of the statements included are true and correct as to the purchaser and thereby qualifies them to bid.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date: May 16, 2008.*

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Approval Number (2502-NEW) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-6974.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lillian Deitzer, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Lillian Deitzer at *Lillian\_L\_Deitzer@HUD.gov* or telephone (202) 402-8048. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Deitzer.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the Information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is

necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*This notice also lists the following information:*

*Title of Proposal:* HUD Loan Sale Bidder Qualification Statement.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2502-NEW.

*Form Numbers:* HUD-90092.

*Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use:* The Bidder Qualifications Statement solicits from prospective bidders the basic qualifications required for bidding including but not limited to, purchaser information (name of purchaser, corporation entity, address, tax ID), business type, net worth and equity size. By executing the Qualification Statement, the purchaser certifies, represents and warrants to HUD that each of the statements included are true and correct as to the purchaser and thereby qualifies them to bid.

*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion.

	Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting Burden .....	22,900	0.022		0.75		390

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 390.

*Status:* New Collection.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: April 10, 2008.

**Lillian L. Deitzer,**

*Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E8-8190 Filed 4-15-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**[FWS-R4-ES-2008-N0043; 40120-1113-0000; ABC Code: C4]**

**Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 5-Year Status Review of 18 Southeastern Species**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) is initiating 5-year status reviews of the Key Largo cotton mouse (*Peromyscus gossypinus allapaticola*), Audubon's crested caracara (*Polyborus plancus audubonii*), Gulf sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus*

*desotoi*), Stock Island tree snail (*Orthalicus reses* (not incl. *nesodryas*)), four-petal pawpaw (*Asimina tetramera*), Florida golden aster (*Chrysopsis floridana*), Apalachicola rosemary (*Conradina glabra*), Okeechobee gourd (*Cucurbita okeechobeensis* ssp. *okeechobeensis*), beautiful pawpaw (*Deeringothamnus pulchellus*), Garrett's mint (*Dicerandra christmanii*), scrub mint (*Dicerandra frutescens*), Harper's beauty (*Harperocallis flava*), white birds in a nest (*Macbridea alba*), Godfrey's butterwort (*Pinguicula ionantha*), scrub plum (*Prunus geniculata*), Florida skullcap (*Scutellaria floridana*), gentian pinkroot (*Spigelia gentianoides*), and Florida ziziphus (*Ziziphus celata*), under section 4(c)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The purpose of reviews conducted

under this section of the Act is to ensure that the classification of species as threatened or endangered on the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (50 CFR 17.11 and 17.12) is accurate. A 5-year review is an assessment of the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review.

**DATES:** To allow us adequate time to conduct this review, information submitted for our consideration must be received on or before June 16, 2008. However, we will continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

**ADDRESSES:** Information submitted on the Florida golden aster and scrub plum should be sent to Sandy MacPherson, Jacksonville Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 6620 Southpoint Drive South, Suite 310, Jacksonville, Florida 32216, fax 904-232-2404. Information on the Key Largo cotton mouse, Audubon's crested caracara, Stock Island tree snail, four-petal pawpaw, Okeechobee gourd, Garrett's mint, scrub mint, beautiful pawpaw, and Florida ziziphus should be sent to Cindy Schulz, South Florida Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1339 20th Street, Vero Beach, Florida 32960, fax 772-562-4288. Information on the Gulf sturgeon, Apalachicola rosemary, Harper's beauty, white birds in a nest, Godfrey's butterwort, Florida skullcap, and gentian pinkroot should be sent to Janet Mizzi, Panama City Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1601 Balboa Avenue, Panama City, Florida 32405, fax 850-763-2177. Information received in response to this notice of review will be available for public inspection by appointment, during regular business hours, at the same addresses.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sandy MacPherson at the Jacksonville, Florida, address above for the Florida golden aster and scrub plum (telephone, 904/232-2580, ext. 110, e-mail [sandy\\_macpherson@fws.gov](mailto:sandy_macpherson@fws.gov)); Cindy Schulz at the Vero Beach, Florida, address above for the Key Largo cotton mouse, Audubon's crested caracara, Stock Island tree snail, four-petal pawpaw, Okeechobee gourd, Garrett's mint, scrub mint, beautiful pawpaw, and Florida ziziphus (telephone, 772/562-3909, ext. 305, e-mail [cindy\\_schulz@fws.gov](mailto:cindy_schulz@fws.gov)); and Janet Mizzi at the Panama City, Florida, address above for the Gulf sturgeon, Apalachicola rosemary, Harper's beauty, white birds in a nest, Godfrey's butterwort, Florida skullcap, and gentian pinkroot (telephone, 850/769-

0552, ext. 247, e-mail [janet\\_mizzi@fws.gov](mailto:janet_mizzi@fws.gov)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), the Service maintains a list of endangered and threatened wildlife and plant species at 50 CFR 17.11 (for wildlife) and 17.12 (for plants) (collectively referred to as the List). Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires that we conduct a review of listed species at least once every 5 years. Then, on the basis of such reviews, under section 4(c)(2)(B), we determine whether or not any species should be removed from the List (delisted), or reclassified from endangered to threatened or from threatened to endangered. Delisting a species must be supported by the best scientific and commercial data available and only considered if such data substantiate that the species is neither endangered nor threatened for one or more of the following reasons: (1) The species is considered extinct; (2) the species is considered to be recovered; and/or (3) the original data available when the species was listed, or the interpretation of such data, were in error. Any change in Federal classification would require a separate rulemaking process. Amendments to the List through final rules are published in the **Federal Register**.

The regulations at 50 CFR 424.21 require that we publish a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing those species currently under active review. This notice announces our active review of the following species that are currently listed as endangered: Key Largo cotton mouse, four-petal pawpaw, Florida golden aster, Apalachicola rosemary, Okeechobee gourd, beautiful pawpaw, Garrett's mint, Scrub mint, Harper's beauty, gentian pinkroot, scrub plum, and Florida ziziphus. The other 6 species in this notice are currently listed as threatened. The List is also available on our internet site at <http://endangered.fws.gov/wildlife.html#Species>.

#### What Information Is Considered in the Review?

A 5-year review considers the best scientific and commercial data that have become available since the current listing determination or most recent status review of each species, such as:

A. Species biology, including but not limited to population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics;

B. Habitat conditions, including but not limited to amount, distribution, and suitability;

C. Conservation measures that have been implemented to benefit the species;

D. Threat status and trends (see five factors under heading "How do we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened?"); and

E. Other new information, data, or corrections, including but not limited to taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the List, and improved analytical methods.

#### Definitions Related to This Notice

We provide the following definitions to assist individuals submitting information regarding the species being reviewed:

A. *Species* includes any species or subspecies of fish, wildlife, or plant, and any distinct population segment of any species of vertebrate which interbreeds when mature.

B. *Endangered* means any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

C. *Threatened* means any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

#### How Do We Determine Whether a Species Is Endangered Or Threatened?

Section 4(a)(1) of the Act establishes that we determine whether a species is endangered or threatened based on one or more of the following five factors:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range;

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;

C. Disease or predation;

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or

E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

#### What Could Happen as a Result of This Review?

If we find that there is new information concerning any of these 18 species indicating that a change in classification may be warranted, we may propose a new rule that could do one of the following: (a) Reclassify the species from endangered to threatened (downlist); (b) reclassify the species from threatened to endangered (uplist); or (c) delist the species. If we determine that a change in classification is not warranted, then the species will remain on the List under their current status.

#### Public Solicitation of New Information

We request any new information concerning the status of any of these 18

species. See "What information is considered in the review?" heading for specific criteria. Information submitted should be supported by documentation such as maps, bibliographic references, methods used to gather and analyze the data, and/or copies of any pertinent publications, reports, or letters by knowledgeable sources. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home addresses, etc., but if you wish us to withhold this information, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional, documental circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

**Authority:** This document is published under the authority of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: February 19, 2008.

**Cynthia K. Dohner,**

*Acting Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. E8-8124 Filed 4-15-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R4-R-2008-N0009; 40136-1265-0000-S3]

#### Grand Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Jackson County, MS, and Mobile County, AL

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability: draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the Fish and Wildlife Service, announce the availability of a draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment (Draft CCP/EA) for Grand Bay National Wildlife Refuge for public review and comment. In this Draft CCP/EA, we

describe the alternative we propose to use to manage this refuge for the 15 years following approval of the Final CCP.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, we must receive comments by May 16, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for copies of the Draft CCP/EA should be addressed to: Grand Bay National Wildlife Refuge, 6005 Bayou Heron Road, Moss Point, MS 39562; Telephone: 601/475-0765. The Draft CCP/EA may also be accessed and downloaded from the Service's Internet Web site <http://southeast.fws.gov/planning>. Comments on the Draft CCP/EA may be submitted to the above address or via electronic mail to: [mike\\_dawson@fws.gov](mailto:mike_dawson@fws.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mike Dawson, Refuge Planner, Jackson, MS; Telephone: 601/965-4903, Ext. 20.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Introduction

With this notice, we continue the CCP process for Grand Bay National Wildlife Refuge. We started the process through a notice in the **Federal Register** on December 29, 2005 (70 FR 77176).

##### Background

###### *The CCP Process*

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year plan for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and our policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation. We will review and update the CCP at least every 15 years in accordance with the Improvement Act and NEPA.

##### CCP Alternatives, Including Our Proposed Alternative

We developed four alternatives for managing the refuge and chose Alternative C as the proposed action. Each alternative would pursue the same four broad refuge goals. These goals are

(1) Wildlife; (2) habitat; (3) public use; and (4) refuge administration.

##### Alternatives

A full description of each alternative is in the Draft CCP/EA. We summarize each alternative below.

##### Alternative A: Current Management (No Action)

Alternative A would maintain the current management direction, that is, the refuge's habitats and wildlife populations would continue to be managed as they have in recent years. Public use patterns would remain relatively unchanged from those that exist at present.

We would support national and regional plans to promote management actions that would provide for viable populations of native fish and wildlife species and habitats, with special emphasis on wet pine savanna.

There would be no active, direct management of waterfowl or other migratory bird populations. All sightings and the presence of threatened and endangered species would be documented on the refuge. However, no active efforts would be undertaken to inventory other wildlife.

We would maintain approximately 1,000 acres of pine savanna, which is the existing acreage. No active management would be undertaken to improve the habitat condition of forested wetlands. We would continue to utilize prescribed fire to manage habitats and reduce hazardous fuels on approximately 1,000 acres; furthermore, we would attempt to set prescribed fires on a 2- to 3-year rotation and to suppress wildfires. In partnership with the National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR), we would annually control 20-30 acres of cogon grass and Chinese tallow.

We would identify and protect natural and cultural resources of the refuge. We would seek to acquire 90 percent of all lands within the approved acquisition boundary within 15 years of CCP approval. Through a partnership with NERR, we would protect shell middens on the refuge. In order to pursue these and other objectives, we would provide one full-time law enforcement officer.

We would provide opportunities for quality, wildlife-dependent public uses, leading to greater understanding and enjoyment of fish, wildlife, and the Gulf Coast ecosystems contained within the refuge.

We would continue to serve the public without a Visitor Services' Plan. In partnership with NERR, we would operate a joint research, office, and education facility/visitor center to