Public Law 108–430 was passed by Congress and signed by the President in December 2004. This Act expanded Petrified Forest National Park boundaries by approximately 125,000 acres, and directed the NPS to prepare a management plan for the new park lands within three years. Planning for the new lands is the focus of this GMP amendment and associated EIS.

The GMP amendment will establish the overall direction for park addition lands, setting broad management goals for the area for the next 15 to 20 years. Among the topics that will be addressed are protection of natural and cultural resources, protection of riparian resources, appropriate range of visitor uses, impacts of visitor uses, adequacy of park infrastructure, visitor access to the park additions area, education and interpretive efforts, and external pressures on the park. Management zones that were established in the current GMP will be applied to addition lands. These zones outline the kinds of resource management activities, visitor activities, and developments that would be appropriate in the addition lands.

A range of reasonable alternatives for managing the park, including a noaction alternative and a preferred alternative, will be developed through the planning process and included in the EIS. The EIS will evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the alternatives.

As the first phase of the planning and EIS process, the National Park Service is beginning to scope the issues to be addressed in the GMP amendment. All interested persons, organizations, and agencies are encouraged to submit comments and suggestions regarding the issues or concerns the GMP amendment should address, including a suitable range of alternatives and appropriate mitigating measures, and the nature and extent of potential environmental impacts.

**DATES:** Written comments on the scope of the GMP amendment/EIS will be accepted for 60 days beyond the publication of this Notice of Intent. In addition, a public scoping session will be held in Holbrook, Arizona in the Spring of 2008. The location, date, and time of this meeting will be provided in local and regional newspapers, and on the Internet at <a href="http://parkplanning/nps.gov/pefo">http://parkplanning/nps.gov/pefo</a>.

ADDRESSES: Written comments or requests to be added to the project mailing list should be directed to: Cliff Spencer, Superintendent, Petrified Forest National Park, P.O. Box 2217, Petrified Forest, AZ 86028; telephone

(928) 524–6228; e-mail: http://parkplanning/nps.gov/pefo.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Cliff Spencer, Superintendent, Petrified Forest National Park, P.O. Box 2217, Petrified Forest, AZ 86028; telephone (928) 524–6228. General information about Petrified Forest National Park is available on the Internet at http://www.nps.gov/pefo.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Please submit Internet comments as a text file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: March 21, 2008.

#### Michael D. Snyder,

Regional Director, Intermountain Region, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. E8-7409 Filed 4-8-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-7V-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-448 and 731-TA-1117 (Final)]

#### Certain Off-the-Road Tires From China

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Revised schedule for the subject investigations.

DATES: Effective Date: April 3, 2008.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Haines (202-205-3200), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Effective February 20, 2008, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the final phase of the subject investigations (73 FR 11437, March 3, 2008). One party to these investigations has identified a substantial conflict with respect to its ability to participate in the hearing. Accordingly, at the request of that party and after consideration of the positions of the other parties to the investigations, the Commission is revising its schedule.

The Commission's new schedule for the investigations is as follows: requests to appear at the hearing must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission not later than June 27, 2008; the prehearing conference will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building at 9:30 a.m. on July 3, 2008; the prehearing staff report will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 20, 2008; the deadline for filing prehearing briefs is June 27, 2008; the hearing will be held at the U.S. **International Trade Commission** Building at 9:30 a.m. on July 8, 2008; the deadline for filing posthearing briefs is July 15, 2008; the Commission will make its final release of information on August 5, 2008; and final party comments are due on August 7, 2008.

For further information concerning these investigations see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Dated: April 3, 2008.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E8–7426 Filed 4–8–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Freeway Land Co.*, Civ. No. 07–1819–JO (D. Or.) was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Oregon on March 27, 2008.

This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the

United States against Freeway Land Company pursuant to Sections 301(a) and 309 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and 1319, to obtain injunctive relief from and to impose civil penalties against the Defendant for violating the Clean Water Act by discharging dredged or fill material into waters of the United States without a Clean Water Act Section 404 permit. The proposed Consent Decree resolves these allegations by requiring Defendant to pay a civil penalty. Additionally, the Corps is considering issuing an afterthe-fact Clean Water Act Section 404 permit that would allow the dredged or fill material to remain in place, but would require wetland creation as mitigation. If the Corps denies the permit application, the proposed Decree requires Defendant to remove the dredged or fill material and restore the impacted area.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Please address comments to Michael B. Schon, United States Department of Justice, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, DC 20026–3986, and refer to *United States* v. *Freeway Land Co.*, DJ No. 90–5–1–1–18205.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Oregon, 740 Mark 0. Hatfield United States Courthouse, 1000 SW., Third Avenue, Portland, OR 97204–2802. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be viewed at <a href="http://www.usdoi.gov/enrd/Consent\_Decrees.html">http://www.usdoi.gov/enrd/Consent\_Decrees.html</a>.

#### Russell M. Young,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice. [FR Doc. E8–7270 Filed 4–8–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

# United States v. Altivity Packaging LLC and Graphic Packaging International, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, Asset Preservation Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States* v. Altivity Packaging LLC and Graphic Packaging

International, Inc., Civ. Action No. 08-00400. On March 5, 2008, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed merger between Altivity Packaging LLČ ("Altivity") and Graphic Packaging International, Inc. would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The Complaint alleges that the acquisition would substantially reduce competition for the production, distribution, and sale of coated recycled boxboard ("CRB") in the United States. Specifically, the Complaint alleges that the merger would enhance the merged firm's ability and incentive to reduce their combined CRB output and anticompetitively raise CRB prices in the United States. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, requires the parties to divest two Altivity CRB mills in Wasbash, Indiana and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. If divestiture of the Philadelphia mill is not accomplished, the proposed settlement requires the sale of Altivity's Santa Clara, California CRB mill in the alternative. A Competitive Impact Statement filed by the United States describes the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, and the remedies available to private litigants who may have been injured by the alleged violation.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, Asset Preservation Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 325 7th Street, NW., Room 215, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202-514-2481), on the Internet at http:// www.usdoj.gov/atr, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within sixty (60) days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Joshua Soven, Chief, Litigation I Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 4000, Washington, DC 20530 (202–307–0001).

#### J. Robert Kramer II,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

## The United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Altivity Packaging LLC, 1500 Nicholas Blvd., Elk Grove Village, IL 60007, and Graphic Packaging International, Inc., 814 Livingston Court, Marietta, GA 30067, Defendants.

Case: I:08-cv-00400. Assigned to: Sullivan, Emmet G. Assign. Date: 3/5/2008. Description: Antitrust.

#### Complaint

The United States of America, acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this civil action to enjoin the proposed merger of Graphic Packaging International, Inc. ("Graphic") and Altivity Packaging, LLC ("Altivity"). The United States alleges as follows:

#### I. Nature of the Action

1. On July 10, 2007, Altivity and Graphic announced plans to combine their businesses in a transaction valued at \$1.75 billion. Altivity and Graphic are respectively the first and fourth largest producers of coated recycled boxboard ("CRB") in the United States and Canada (hereinafter, "North America"). CRB is a type of paperboard used to make folding cartons used in consumer and commercial packaging, such as cereal boxes. Both companies are also major integrated producers of folding cartons made from CRB (hereinafter, "CRB folding cartons"). The total annual volume of CRB supplied to the packaging industry in North America is valued at approximately \$1.6 billion.

2. The proposed merger of Graphic and Altivity would create a single firm in control of approximately 42 percent of the total supply of CRB in North America and would likely result in increased prices of CRB. The resulting increases in CRB prices would have the further effect of increasing the prices of CRB folding cartons.

3. Unless the transaction is enjoined, the proposed merger of Graphic and Altivity would likely substantially lessen competition in the supply of CRB in North America, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18.

#### II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. The United States brings this action under Section 15 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 25, to prevent and restrain Defendants from violating Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 15 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 25 and 28 U.S.C. 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.
- 5. Graphic and Altivity produce and sell CRB and CRB folding cartons in the flow of interstate commerce, and their production and sale of CRB and CRB