**Note:** Approved lives in the table are in flight cycles

(2) Record the mandatory maximum approved life in the applicable lifing documentation. It is mandatory to use the values given in the two tables in step (e)(1) of this AD.

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(f) The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

#### **Related Information**

(g) Refer to EASA Emergency Airworthiness Directive 2007–0152–E, dated June 1, 2007, for related information.

(h) Contact Jason Yang, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; e-mail: *jason.yang@faa.gov*; telephone (781) 238–7747; fax (781) 238–7199, for more information about this AD.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on March 19, 2008.

#### Ann C. Mollica,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E8–6866 Filed 4–2–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket FAA No. FAA–2008–0180; Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–6]

## Proposed Revocation of Area Navigation Jet Routes J–888R and J–996R; Alaska

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action corrects a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published in the **Federal Register** March 12, 2008 (73 FR 13159), Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–6, FAA Docket No. FAA– 2008–0111. In that rule, the FAA docket number is incorrect. The correct FAA docket number should state FAA–2008– 0180, instead of FAA–2008–0111. In addition, a typographical error to one Jet Route was made in the title. The title of the NPRM should reference Jet Route J– 888R, instead of J–889R. This action corrects those errors.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* 0901 UTC, April 3, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ken McElroy, Airspace and Rules Group, Office of System Operations Airspace and AIM, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### History

On March 12, 2008, an NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** for Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–6, FAA Docket No. FAA–2008–0111 (73 FR 13159), revoking Area Navigation Jet Routes J–889R and J–996R in Alaska. Subsequent to publication, it was found that the FAA docket number was incorrect; the correct number is FAA– 2008–0180. In addition, the reference to one Area Navigation Jet Route was incorrectly stated in the title of the NPRM. The correct Jet Route should have stated J–888R, instead of J–889R. This action corrects those errors.

## **Correction to Final Rule**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the FAA docket number and the title of the NPRM as published in the **Federal Register** on March 12, 2008 (73 FR 13159), Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–6, FAA Docket No. FAA–2008–0111, and incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1, is corrected as follows:

### §71.1 [Amended]

On page 13159, correct the FAA docket number and the title to read as follows:

Docket No. FAA-2008-0180

Proposed Revocation of Area Navigation Jet Routes J–888R and J–996R; Alaska

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 27, 2008.

#### Stephen L. Rohring,

Acting Manager, Airspace and Rules Group. [FR Doc. E8–6935 Filed 4–2–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

# **Coast Guard**

# 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2008-0162]

RIN 1625-AA00

# Safety Zone; Red Bull Air Race; San Diego Bay, San Diego, CA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes establishing a temporary safety zone on

the navigable waters of San Diego Bay, CA in support of the Red Bull Air Race. The safety zone would be necessary to provide for the safety of the crew, spectators, participants in the event, participating vessels and other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels would be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before April 11, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by Coast Guard docket number USCG–2008–0162 to the Docket Management Facility at the U.S. Department of Transportation. To avoid duplication, please use only one of the following methods:

(1) Online: http:// www.regulations.gov.

(2) *Mail:* Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590– 0001.

(3) *Hand delivery:* Room W12–140 on the Ground Floor of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

(4) Fax: 202-493-2251.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call Petty Officer Adam Proctor, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Diego, CA, at telephone (619) 278–7277. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted, without change, to *http:// www.regulations.gov* and will include any personal information you have provided. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation (DOT) to use the Docket Management Facility. Please see DOT's "Privacy Act" paragraph below.

#### **Submitting Comments**

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this

rulemaking (USCG-2008-0162), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an e-mail address, or a phone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission. You may submit your comments and material by electronic means, mail, fax, or delivery to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES; but please submit your comments and material by only one means. If you submit them by mail or delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 81/2 by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

## **Viewing Comments and Documents**

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to *http://www.regulations.gov* at any time, click on "Search for Dockets," and enter the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG–2008–0162) in the Docket ID box, and click enter. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the DOT West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

## Privacy Act

Anyone can search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Department of Transportation's Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477), or you may visit http:// DocketsInfo.dot.gov.

# **Public Meeting**

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

## **Background and Purpose**

The Coast Guard would be establishing a safety zone on the navigable waters of San Diego Bay in support of the Red Bull Air Races. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crews, spectators, and participants of the race and is also necessary to protect other vessels and users of the waterway.

#### **Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The Coast Guard proposes to establish a safety zone that would be enforced from 8 a.m. through 7 p.m. from April 29th, 2008 through May 4th, 2008. This safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crews, spectators, and participants of the Red Bull Air Race and to protect other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels will be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, or his designated representative. The limits of this temporary safety zone include all areas within a box that extends to the following coordinates: 32°42'41.00" N, 117°10'33.06" W; 32°42'26.40" N, 117°10'55.69" W; 32°41'57.22" N, 117° 9'33.05" W; and 32°41'45.04" N, 117° 9′54.28″ W. Coast Guard personnel will enforce this safety zone. The Coast Guard may be assisted by other Federal, State, or local agencies, including the Coast Guard Auxiliary. Section 165.23 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, prohibits any unauthorized person or vessel from entering or remaining in a safety zone. Vessels or persons violating this section will be subject to both criminal and civil penalties.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary.

The safety zone is of a limited duration, only eleven hours per day for a period of four days, and is limited to a relatively small geographic area.

#### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered

whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

(1) The propsed rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in a portion of the safety zone in San Diego Bay, San Diego, CA, from 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. on April 29th, 2008 through May 4th, 2008.

(2) This safety zone would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This rule only encompasses only a portion of the waterway, there will be chances for boating traffic to pass through the safety zone and the Captain of the Port may authorize entry into the zone, if necessary.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

#### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Petty Officer Adam Proctor, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Diego at telephone (619) 278-7277. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

### **Collection of Information**

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

## **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

# **Taking of Private Property**

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

## **Civil Justice Reform**

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

## **Indian Tribal Governments**

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

# **Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

### Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is not likely to have a significant effect on the human environment. A preliminary "Environmental Analysis Check List" supporting this preliminary determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR Part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Public Law 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1

2. Add new §165.T11–015 to read as follows:

# § 165.T11–015 Safety Zone; Red Bull Air Race, San Diego Bay, San Diego, CA

(a) Location. The limits of this temporary safety zone would include all areas within a box that extends to the following coordinates:  $32^{\circ}42'41.00''$  N,  $117^{\circ}10'33.06''$  W;  $32^{\circ}42'26.40''$  N,  $117^{\circ}10'55.69''$  W;  $32^{\circ}41'57.22''$  N,  $117^{\circ}9'33.05''$  W; and  $32^{\circ}41'45.04''$  N,  $117^{\circ}9'54.28''$  W.

(b) *Effective Period*. This section would be effective from 8 a.m. through 7 p.m. from April 29th, 2008 through May 5th, 2008. If the need for the safety zone ends before the scheduled termination time, the Captain of the Port will cease enforcement of this safety zone.

(c) *Regulations*. In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this zone by all vessels would be prohibited, unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative. Mariners requesting permission to transit through the safety zone may request authorization to do so from the Patrol Commander (PATCOM). The Patrol Commander may be contacted on VHF– FM Channel 16.

Enforcement. All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the designated on-scene patrol personnel. Patrol personnel can be comprised of commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard onboard Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, local, state, and federal law enforcement vessels. Upon being hailed by the U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed. The Coast Guard may be assisted by other federal, state, or local agencies.

Dated: March 5, 2008.

#### D.L. LeBlanc,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, San Diego. [FR Doc. E8–6892 Filed 4–2–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P