submitted by the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone and Nancy Burton in response to a January 15, 2008 Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing (73 FR 2546, 2549). The Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing challenges the request of Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. to amend the operating license for Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 3 to authorize a stretch power uprate (SPU). The requested SPU would increase the unit's authorized core power level from 3,411 megawatts thermal (MWt) to 3,650 MWt.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges: William J. Froehlich, Chair, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; Dr. Paul B. Abramson, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; Dr. Michael F. Kennedy, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555– 0001.

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule, which the NRC promulgated in August 2007 (72 FR 49,139). *See also* 73 FR 2547–2548.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of March 2008.

E. Roy Hawkens,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. E8–6789 Filed 4–1–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Federal Register Notice

DATES: Weeks of March 31, April 7, 14, 21, 28, May 5, 2008.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland

STATUS: Public and Closed. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

Week of March 31, 2008

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of March 31, 2008.

Week of April 7, 2008—Tentative

Monday, April 7, 2008

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Digital Instrumentation and Control (Public Meeting) (Contact: Steven Arndt, 301 415–6502).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov.*

Wednesday, April 7, 2008

2:30 p.m. Discussion of Management Issues (Closed-Ex. 2).

Tuesday, April 8, 2008

10 a.m. Joint Meeting of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) (Public Meeting). To be held at FERC Headquarters, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC. (Contact: Michelle Schroll, 301 415–1662).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.ferc.gov.*

Week of April 14, 2008—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of April 14, 2008.

Week of April 21, 2008—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of April 21, 2008.

Week of April 28, 2008-Tentative

Monday, April 28, 2008

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Reactor Materials Issues (Public Meeting) (Contact: Ted Sullivan, 301 415–2796).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov.*

Tuesday, April 29, 2008

1:30 p.m. Meeting with Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes (Public Meeting) (Contact: Ashley Tull, 918–488–0552).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov.*

Wednesday, April 30, 2008

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Materials Licensing and Security (Public Meeting) (Contact: Doug Broaddus, 301–415–8124).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov.*

1:30 p.m. Briefing on NRC Combined Infrastructure (Public Meeting) (Contact: Peter Rabideau, 301 415– 7323).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov.*

Week of May 5, 2008

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of May 5, 2008.

The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: Michelle Schroll, (301) 415–1662. The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policymaking/schedule.html.

* * * *

Additional Information

By a vote of 3–0 on March 25, 2008, the Commission determined pursuant to U.S.C. 552b(e) and § 9.107(a) of the Commission's rules that "Affirmation of Motion to Quash OI Subpoena Filed by Daryl Shapiro" be held March 27, 2008, and on less than one week's notice to the public.

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify the NRC's Disability Program Coordinator, Rohn Brown, at 301–492–2279, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by e-mail at *REB3@nrc.gov.* Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to *dkw@nrc.gov*.

Dated: March 27, 2008.

R. Michelle Schroll,

Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. E8–6785 Filed 4–1–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57562; File No. SR–Amex– 2008–26]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Elimination of the Options Marketing Fee Related to P/A Orders That Are Routed Via the Options Linkage for Execution on an Away Options Exchange

March 26, 2008.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 19, 2008, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. Amex has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by Amex under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act 3 and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to eliminate the options marketing fee related to principal acting as agent ("P/A") orders that are routed via the Options Linkage for execution on an away options exchange. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and http://www.amex.com.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for the proposed rule change, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to eliminate the options marketing fee related to P/A Orders that are routed via the Options Linkage for execution on an away options exchange. A P/A Order is an order for the principal account of a specialist reflecting the terms of a related unexecuted public customer order for which the specialist is acting as agent. The Exchange believes that specialists, registered options traders ("ROTs"), and supplemental registered options traders ("SROTs") should not be subject to the options marketing fee for P/A Orders that are executed on an away options exchange.

The options marketing fee is collected on those specialist, ROT, and SROT transactions involving electronically executed customer orders from firms accepting payment for directing their orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes that, accordingly, the purpose of the options marketing fee is to provide incentives for an order flow provider to send customer order flow to the Amex.

The Exchange states that if a specialist, ROT or SROT has negotiated a payment to a firm of less than the marketing fee, the difference between the marketing fee and the actual payment is refunded to the specialist, ROT and SROT. The options marketing fee is also not charged on transactions between and among ROTs and specialists.

The current options marketing fee, on a per contract side basis, is set forth below.

	Specialist and Registered Options Trader (ROT)	Supplemental Registered Options Trader (SROT)
Equity Options Exchange-Traded Fund Share Options (including QQQQ Options and ex- cluding SPY Options*).		
SPY Options Trust Issued Receipt (HOLDR) Options Index Options (including MNX Options and excluding NDX and RUT Op- tions).		\$0.75 or \$0.35*

* The \$0.35 options marketing fee applies to those series of Equity Options, Exchange Traded Fund Share Options, and Trust Issued Receipt Options that quote and trade in one cent increments under the penny pilot program. The \$0.40 options marketing fee applies to those series of Equity Options, Exchange Traded Fund Share Options (including SPY Options), Trust Issued Receipt Options, and NDX and RUT Options that are manually executed customer orders of 1,000 contracts or greater.

The Exchange states that the purpose of this proposal is to eliminate the options marketing fees for specialists, ROTs, and SROTs in those cases where an underlying customer order through a P/A Order is routed to an away exchange as required by the Options Linkage Plan and the related rules of the Exchange. In such an instance, the Exchange believes that the purpose for imposing the options marketing fee does not exist.

The Exchange believes that this proposal to eliminate the options marketing fee for P/A Orders that are routed via the Options Linkage to an away options exchange for execution is necessary so that specialists, ROTs, and SROTs are not paying an options marketing fee for orders that are not executed on the Exchange.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act ⁵ in general, and Section 6(b)(4) of the Act ⁶ in particular, in that it is designed to provide for an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using exchange facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4.

³15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁶15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposed rule change has been designated as a fee change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act⁷ and Rule 19b–4(f)(2)⁸ thereunder, because it establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange. Accordingly, the proposal will take effect upon filing with the Commission. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an e-mail to *rulecomments@sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR–Amex–2008–26 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2008-26. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be

715 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2008-26 and should be submitted on or before April 23, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–6730 Filed 4–1–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57566; File No. SR–BSE– 2008–20]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Implementation of Phase II of the Penny Pilot Program

March 26, 2008.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 25, 2008, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the BSE. The Exchange has designated this proposal as one constituting a stated policy, practice, or interpretation with respect to the meaning, administration, or enforcement of an existing rule under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act 3 and Rule 19b-4(f)(1) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Section 33 (Penny Pilot Program) of Chapter V of the Rules of the Boston Options Exchange ("BOX"). The proposed rule change will allow the Exchange to implement the second phase ("Phase II") of a Commissionapproved expansion of the Penny Pilot Program.⁵ The proposed rule change will also allow the Exchange to remove the current listing of the classes ("Penny Classes") included in the Penny Pilot Program from Section 33, and will permit the Exchange to notify Participants of the classes included in the Penny Pilot Program via Regulatory Circular.

The text of the proposed rule change and the Regulatory Circular are available on the Exchange's Web site (*http://www.bostonstock.com*), at the BSE's principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The BSE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Penny Pilot Program began on January 26, 2007 and allowed for the trading of a limited number of option classes in penny increments.⁶ A

^{8 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

^{9 17} CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(i).

⁴17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(1).

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56566 (September 27, 2007), 72 FR 56400 (October 3, 2007) (SR–BSE–2007–40) ("Approval Order").

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 55155 (January 23, 2007) 72 FR 4741 (February 1, 2007) (SR–BSE–2006–49) ("Original Pilot Approval Order"). The thirteen (13) option classes originally included in the Pilot were: iShares Russell 2000 (IWM), NASDAQ–100 Index Tracking Stock (QQQQ), SemiConductor Holders Trust (SMH), General Electric Company (GE), Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD), Microsoft Corporation (MSFT), Intel Corporation (INTC), Caterpillar, Inc. (CAT), Continued