are accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and

 Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606–8358, FAX (202) 418–3251 or e-mail to *mbtoomey@opm.gov*. Please be sure to include a mailing address with your request.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to: Marie L'Etoile, Group Manager, Work/Life Group, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20415.

Office of Personnel Management.

## Howard C. Weizmann,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. E8–5863 Filed 3–21–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Release No. 34–57512; File No. SR-CBOE-2008-19]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, Relating to Customer-to-Customer Immediate Crosses

March 17, 2008.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on March 4, 2008, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared substantially by CBOE. On March 14, 2008, CBOE submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. CBOE filed the proposed rule change as a "non-controversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act 3 and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,4 which renders it effective

upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

CBOE proposes to amend its Automated Improvement Mechanism ("AIM") Rule to permit customer-to-customer orders to be entered paired and to be crossed without any AIM auction exposure period. The text of the proposed rule change is available at CBOE, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and http://www.cboe.com.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

## 1. Purpose

CBOE proposes to amend Rule 6.74A to permit customer-to-customer orders to be entered paired and to be crossed without any AIM auction exposure period. Currently, CBOE Rules provide for a minimum exposure time of three seconds for crossing orders on the Hybrid Trading System ("Hybrid") when an order entry firm (i) executes as principal against orders it represents as agent, or (ii) executes orders it represents as agent against orders solicited from members and nonmember broker-dealers to transact with such orders.<sup>5</sup> However, the three second exposure period is not applicable when crossing two orders that are both for the accounts of non-broker-dealer customers. Thus, two non-broker-dealer customer orders may be entered separately into Hybrid by the same order entry firm to trade against each other without waiting three seconds. To enhance and automate order entry firms' ability to submit two contra-side customer orders, the Exchange is proposing to introduce and to codify a new feature in its AIM Rule <sup>6</sup> that the Exchange refers to as a "customer-to-customer immediate cross."

When using the AIM customer-tocustomer immediate cross feature, the proposed rule will provide that an order entry firm ("Initiating Member") may enter an agency order for the account of a non-broker-dealer customer in AIM, paired with a solicited order for the account of a non-broker-dealer customer. Under the rule proposal, those paired orders will be automatically executed without an exposure period so long as the execution price: (i) Is in the applicable standard increment (i.e., \$0.10 for series quoted at or above \$3, \$0.05 for series quotes below \$3, \$0.01 for series participating in the Penny Pilot Program, and the applicable standard or \$0.01 increment for complex orders as designated pursuant to Rule 6.53C); (ii) will not trade at the same price as any resting customer order; and (iii) subject to certain exceptions, is not at a price that trades through the national best bid or offer ("NBBO"). If the Exchange determines on a class-by-class basis to (i) designate complex orders as eligible for AIM customer-to-customer immediate crosses or (ii) permit orders of 500 or more contracts and that have a premium value of at least \$150,000 to be executed without considering prices that might be available on other options exchanges, the NBBO condition shall not apply to such orders and instead the execution price will not trade through CBOE's best bid or offer ("BBO").7 In addition, the execution price must be in the applicable standard increment and will not trade at the same price as any resting customer order. In the case of a complex order, this means that the execution price will not trade at the same price as any customer complex order resting in the CBOE's electronic complex order book. To be eligible to use the customer-to-customer immediate cross feature, the proposed rule will also provide that the agency

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4 17</sup> CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

 $<sup>^5\,</sup>See$  CBOE Rule 6.45A, Priority and Allocation of Equity Option Trades on the CBOE Hybrid System, Interpretations and Policies .01 and .02, and Rule 6.45B, Priority and Allocation of Trades in Index Options and Options on ETFs on the CBOE Hybrid System.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> AIM is an automated auction mechanism through which a member that represents agency orders may electronically execute an order it represents as agent ("agency order") against principal or solicited interest. When the Exchange receives an agency order properly designated for an AIM auction, a request for responses ("RFR") is initiated and, subject to certain exceptions delineated in Rule 6.74A, the RFR lasts for a random time determined by the system between three and five seconds. Once the AIM auction concludes, the agency order is allocated at the best prices pursuant to allocation procedures in the Rule. See CBOE Rule 6.74A.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  See proposed paragraph .09(b) to CBOE Rule 6.74A

order must be in a class designated by the Exchange as eligible for the feature and within the designated order eligibility size parameters, as such parameters are determined by the Exchange.

Lastly, the proposed rule will contain a cross-reference to Interpretation and Policy .01 to CBOE Rules 6.45A and 6.45B. Specifically, the proposed rule will note that Interpretation and Policy .01 to CBOE Rules 6.45A and 6.45B prevent an order entry firm from executing agency orders to increase its economic gain from trading against the order without first giving other trading interests on the Exchange an opportunity to either trade with the agency order or to trade at the execution price when the member was already bidding or offering on the book. However, as the proposed rule will also note, the Exchange recognizes that it may be possible for a firm to establish a relationship with a customer or other person to deny agency orders the opportunity to interact on the Exchange and to realize similar economic benefits as it would achieve by executing agency orders as principal. Therefore, the proposed rule will provide that it would be a violation of Interpretation and Policy .01 to Rule 6.45A or 6.45B, as applicable, for a firm to circumvent Interpretation and Policy .01 to Rule 6.45A or 6.45B, as applicable, by providing an opportunity for (i) a customer affiliated with the firm, or (ii) a customer with whom the firm has an arrangement that allows the firm to realize similar economic benefits from the transaction as the firm would achieve by executing agency orders as principal, to regularly execute against agency orders handled by the firm immediately upon their entry as AIM customer-to-customer immediate crosses. The Exchange believes that this provision should help prevent a firm from doing indirectly what it is prohibited from doing directly as principal. This provision of CBOE's proposed rule is substantially similar to a provision in ISE's Rules.8

### 2. Statutory Basis

The basis under the Act for this proposed rule change is the requirement under Section 6(b)(5) of the Act 9 that an exchange have rules that are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in

general, to protect investors and the public interest. In particular, the proposed rule change will provide members with a more efficient means of executing their customer option orders subject to the Exchange's existing requirements limiting principal transactions, and will allow CBOE to effectively compete with ISE.

# B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

CBOE neither solicited nor received comments on the proposal.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act <sup>10</sup> and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>11</sup>

A proposed rule change filed under 19b-4(f)(6) normally may not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing.<sup>12</sup> However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) 13 permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it will allow customers to benefit from the proposed rule change without delay. 14 The Commission

hereby grants the Exchange's request and designates the proposal as operative upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File No. SR–CBOE–2008–19 on the subject line

### Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2008-19. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of CBOE. All comments received will be posted without change; the

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  See Supplemental Material .01 to ISE Rule 717.  $^9$  15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>11 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>12 17</sup> CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. CBOE has complied with this requirement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on

efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CBOE–2008–19 and should be submitted on or before April 14, 2008.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{15}$ 

#### Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-5795 Filed 3-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57509; File No. SR-CHX-2007-09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval to a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Exchange's Institutional Broker Rules To Add Provisions Relating to the Handling of Stop and Stop-Limit Orders

March 17, 2008.

On March 21, 2007, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend its rules to add new provisions relating to the handling of stop and stop-limit orders by institutional brokers. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on October 19. 2007.3 The Commission received no comment letters on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

After careful review of the proposal, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> and the rules and regulations thereunder.<sup>5</sup>

The Commission finds specifically that the proposal is consistent with

Section 6(b)(5) of the Act <sup>6</sup> because the rules it would establish regarding stop and stop-limit orders are similar to requirements set forth in the rules of other self-regulatory organizations.<sup>7</sup>

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>8</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR–CHX–2007–09), be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^9$ 

#### Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–5794 Filed 3–21–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–57513; File No. SR-DTC-2007-10]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing of Amended Proposed Rule Change To Implement the New Issue Information Dissemination Service for Municipal Securities

March 17, 2008.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") $^1$  and Rule 19b–4 thereunder $^2$ notice is hereby given that on August 16, 2007, The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") and on September 12, 2007, and March 3, 2008, amended the proposed rule change described in Items I. II. and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by DTC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested parties.

# I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change seeks approval to implement the New Issue Information Dissemination System ("NIIDS") for municipal securities. NIIDS is an automated system developed by DTC at the request of the Securities Industry and Financial

Markets Association ("SIFMA")<sup>3</sup> in order to improve the mechanism for disseminating new issue information regarding municipal securities.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, DTC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. DTC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.<sup>4</sup>

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

Currently, Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB") Rule G-14 generally requires municipal securities dealers to report municipal securities transactions to the MSRB within 15 minutes of the time of the trade.<sup>5</sup> Interdealer trades eligible for comparison by a clearing agency are required to be submitted through NSCC's Real Time Trade Matching System ("RTTM") within the time frame in Rule G-14. These trades are subsequently reported to the MSRB by NSCC. NSCC requires certain securities information in order to process and report transactions involving those securities. Therefore, it is necessary that dealers trading newly issued municipal securities have the securities information needed for trade submission by the time the trade reporting is required.

Pursuant to current practice in the municipal securities market, each information vendor works separately to obtain information from offering documents and underwriters. Each information vendor's success depends in large part upon the voluntary cooperation of the underwriters. It is not unusual for information vendors to have inconsistent information or for some information vendors to receive information before others. Consequently, critical new issue information may be missing or inaccurate in the automated trade processing systems used by dealers to report the initial trades in new issues.

<sup>15 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56657 (October 12, 2007), 72 FR 59316.

<sup>4 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>6 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Rules of New York Stock Exchange LLC, Rule 13; and Rules of Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (f/k/a National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.), Rule 5120(h).

<sup>8 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>9 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The request originated from The Bond Market Association ("BMA"), which has since merged with the Securities Industry Association to form SIFMA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by DTC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MSRB Rule G-14 RTRS Procedures (a)(ii).