

Filed Date: 03/10/2008.

Accession Number: 20080310–5089.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, March 31, 2008.

Take notice that the Commission received the following PURPA 210(m)(3) filings:

Docket Numbers: QM08–4–000.

Applicants: Virginia Electric and Power Company.

Description: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for relief from the Mandatory Purchase Obligation of Section 292.303(a).

Filed Date: 03/10/2008.

Accession Number: 20080311–5013.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, April 07, 2008.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at <http://www.ferc.gov>. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling

link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission’s eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission’s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC.

There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–5374 Filed 3–17–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–R01–OAR–2008–0107; A–1–FRL–8543–3]

Adequacy Status of the Massachusetts 8-Hour Ozone Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes; Massachusetts

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: EPA is notifying the public that EPA has found that the 2008 and 2009 motor vehicle emissions budgets in the January 31, 2008 Massachusetts 8-hour ozone State Implementation Plan revision are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The submittal includes 2008 and 2009 motor vehicle

emission budgets for the Boston-Lawrence-Worcester (Eastern Massachusetts) and Springfield (Western Massachusetts) 8-hour ozone nonattainment areas. As a result of our finding, Massachusetts must use these motor vehicle emission budgets for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective April 2, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald O. Cooke, Environmental Scientist, Air Quality Planning Unit, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CAQ), Boston, MA 02114–2023, (617) 918–1668, cooke.donald@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Today’s action is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA New England sent a letter to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection on March 7, 2008, stating that the 2008 and 2009 motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Boston-Lawrence-Worcester (Eastern Massachusetts) and Springfield (Western Massachusetts) 8-hour ozone nonattainment areas are adequate. Massachusetts submitted the budgets on January 31, 2008, as part of the 8-hour ozone attainment demonstration and reasonable further progress plan for Eastern and Western Massachusetts. This submittal was announced on EPA’s conformity website, and received no comments. (See <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>. Once there, click on “What SIP submissions are currently under EPA adequacy review?”)

The 2008 and 2009 MVEBs, in tons per summer day (tpsd), for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) for Eastern and Western Massachusetts, are as follows:

ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS

	Boston-Lawrence-Worcester (Eastern Massachusetts) Area		Springfield (Western Massachusetts) Area	
	VOC (tpsd)	NO _x (tpsd)	VOC (tpsd)	NO _x (tpsd)
Year 2008	68.30	191.30	11.80	31.30
Year 2009	63.50	174.96	10.73	27.73

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and

projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do.

Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay

timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: March 10, 2008.

Robert W. Varney,

Regional Administrator, EPA New England.

[FR Doc. E8–5399 Filed 3–17–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–8543–2]

Proposed Administrative cost Recovery Settlement under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h), Chemcentral Midwest Corporation, Kansas City, MO

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act, as amended (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement with Chemcentral Midwest Corporation, Kansas City, Missouri, for recovery of past response costs concerning the Chemcentral Midwest corporation facility, located at 910 North Prospect, Kansas City, Missouri. The settlement requires Chemcentral Midwest Corporation to pay to the Hazardous Substance Superfund for costs incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, in response to the fire at the Chemcentral facility on February 7, 2007. The settlement requires Chemcentral to pay \$150,713, to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The settlement includes a

covenant not to sue the settling party pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the settlement. EPA will consider all comments and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the EPA Region 7 office located at 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before April 17, 2008.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement is available for public inspection at the EPA Region 7 office, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 7 a.m. through 5 p.m. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from the Regional Hearing Clerk, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas, (913) 551–7567. Requests should reference the Chemcentral Midwest Corporation, EPA Docket No. CERCLA–07–2008–0008. Comments should be addressed to: Julie M. Van Horn, Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie M. Van Horn, at telephone: (913) 551–7889; fax number: (913) 551–7925/Attn: Julie M. Van Horn; E-mail address: vanhorn.julie@epa.gov.

Dated: March 5, 2008.

Cecilia Tapia,

Director, Superfund Division, Region 7.

[FR Doc. 08–1040 Filed 3–17–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

March 12, 2008.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), the Federal Communications Commission invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the following information collection(s). Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid OMB control number.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before May 19, 2008. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments by e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov. Include in the e-mail the OMB control number of the collection or, if there is no OMB control number, the Title shown in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. If you are unable to submit your comments by e-mail contact the person listed below to make alternate arrangements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection(s) or to obtain a copy of the collection send an e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov and include the collection's OMB control number as shown in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below (or the title of the collection if there is no OMB control number), or call Leslie F. Smith at (202) 418–0217.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0734.

Title: Sections 53.209, 53.211 and 53.213—Accounting Safeguards; Sections 271–276 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 45 respondents; 240 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 72–19,200 hours.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain a benefit. See Section 272(f)(1) Sunset of the BOC Separate