

L. 89-651; as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW., Room 2104, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Docket Number: 08-003. *Applicant:* Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005. *Instrument:* Variable Temperature High Magnetic Field Nanometer-Precision Probe Station. *Manufacturer:* Attocube Systems AG, Germany.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to allow multiterminal electronic measurement of novel materials, particularly those difficult to wire up in traditional geometries. This instrument will enable additional analytical physics and chemistry research involving nanomaterials. This instrument can supply a cryostate and magnet system with four independently nanopositionable probes. This variable temperature probe system is unique and is essential to enable a variety of physics and chemistry research efforts involving nanomaterials. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: January 31, 2008.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 08-984 Filed 3-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Washington, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce,

14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 07-072. *Applicant:* University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G2 F20 Twin. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 73 FR 7250, February 7, 2008.

Docket Number: 08-002. *Applicant:* University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78721. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 600 FEG. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 73 FR 7250, February 7, 2008.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-4532 Filed 3-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-817]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dena Crossland or Stephen Bailey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3362 or (202) 482-0193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products ("hot-rolled steel") from Thailand, covering the period November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission*, 72 FR 69187 (December 7, 2007) ("Preliminary Results"). The final results of this review are currently due no later than April 5, 2008.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall make a final determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 120-day period to 180 days after the preliminary results, if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the final results of the administrative review of hot-rolled steel from Thailand within the 120-day period due to the complexity of two issues which were briefed by petitioner, respondent, and domestic interested party. First, the Department applied facts otherwise available to G Steel Public Company Limited ("G Steel") in the *Preliminary Results* because we were unable to verify G Steel's yield strength data in both the home market and U.S. market. Second, in the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that G Steel and Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Company Limited ("NSM"), another respondent in this administrative review, became affiliated at the end of the POR, but that the requirements had not been met to collapse the two companies. We need additional time to analyze parties' comments regarding both of these issues.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the final results of this review by 60 days to 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results were published. Accordingly, the final results are now due no later than June 4, 2008.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-4547 Filed 3-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent to Revoke Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

SUMMARY: In response to a request for a changed circumstances review from the Thai Food Processors(Association (TFPA), and pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3), the Department of Commerce is initiating a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit (CPF) from Thailand. The domestic interested party for this proceeding is Maui Pineapple Company Ltd. (petitioner).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Kirby, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3782.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand on July 18, 1995. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand*, 60 FR 36775 (July 18, 1995) (*Antidumping Duty Order*). On January 23, 2008, the Department received a request for a changed circumstances review from the TFPA. The TFPA requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order because Maui Pineapple Company Ltd. (petitioner) ceased

production of CPF on October 31, 2007. On January 25, 2008, we received a letter from petitioner indicating that petitioner had no objection to the changed circumstances review and the revocation of the antidumping duty order.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is CPF, defined as pineapple processed and/or prepared into various product forms, including rings, pieces, chunks, tidbits, and crushed pineapple, that is packed and cooked in metal cans with either pineapple juice or sugar syrup added. CPF is currently classifiable under subheadings 2008.20.0010 and 2008.20.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ((HTSUS)). HTSUS 2008.20.0010 covers CPF packed in a sugar-based syrup; HTSUS 2008.20.0090 covers CPF packed without added sugar (i.e., juice-packed). Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive. There have been no scope rulings for the subject order.

Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review, Preliminary Results, and Intent to Revoke Antidumping Duty Order

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department may revoke an antidumping order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(g), the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216 and may revoke an order (in whole or in part) if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or if changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant revocation. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

The TFPA claims in its January 23, 2008 letter that it has satisfied the criteria to warrant a changed circumstances review. *See* 19 CFR 351.216(d). Specifically, TFPA claims that Maui Pineapple Company (Maui), the sole domestic producer of CPF, has

ceased the production of canning solid-pack pineapple fruit. Therefore the TFPA alleges that the antidumping duty order can no longer protect a domestic industry in the United States from material injury as required under the statute for the maintenance of an antidumping duty order. The TFPA provided with its January 23, 2008 letter newspaper articles¹ which announced that Maui would cease canning solid-pack pineapple fruit in Kahaului, Hawaii, on June 30, 2007. In addition, the TFPA also included this announcement with a Form 8-K filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) which also states that Maui would cease canning solid-pack pineapple products effective June 30, 2007. Furthermore, the TFPA provided evidence that demonstrates that Maui auctioned off its canning equipment on October 31, 2007 (e.g., *The Maui News*, October 31, 2007, "Last Pineapple cannery in the U.S. is gone"). To conclude, the TFPA requests that the review be expedited based on the evidence submitted by the TFPA that Maui has ceased production of CPF.

In this case, the Department finds that the information submitted provides sufficient evidence of changed circumstances to warrant a review. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(d)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222(g), based on the information provided by TFPA, we are initiating this changed circumstances review. Furthermore, since the information on record indicates there is no longer any U.S. production of the domestic like product, we determine that expedited action is warranted and we preliminarily determine that the continued relief provided by the order with respect to CPF from Thailand is no longer of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to imports of CPF from Thailand, effective October 31, 2007. If we make a final determination to revoke, we intend to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected, for all entries of CPF from Thailand, made on or after October 31, 2007, the final date

¹ (e.g., Honolulu Advertiser, April 30, 2007, (ML&P to end canned pineapple operations June 30); Business Wire, April 30, 2007, (Maui Pineapple Company to Consolidate Fresh Pineapple Operation))