

e-mail Lillian Deitzer at [Lillian\\_L\\_Deitzer@HUD.gov](mailto:Lillian_L_Deitzer@HUD.gov) or telephone (202) 402-8048. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Deitzer.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the Information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have

practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*This notice also lists the following information:*

*Title of Proposal:* Application for Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control Grant Programs and Quality Assurance Plans.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2539-0015.

*Form Numbers:* SF-424, SF-424-Suppl., HUD-424-CBW, 27061, 27300, 2880, 2990, 2991, 2993, 2994, 96008, 96010, 96011, 96012, 96013, 96014, 96015, SF-LLL.

*Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use:* This information collection is required in conjunction with the issuance of Notice of Funding Availability for Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control Programs that are authorized under Title X of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992, Public Law 102-550, Section 1011, and other legislation. The quality Assurance Plan is obtained after the award of grants.

*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion, Other One time.

	Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting Burden .....	250	1.32	....	65.93	....	21,760

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 21,760.

*Status:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: February 26, 2008.

**Lillian L. Deitzer,**

*Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E8-4057 Filed 3-3-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan for Arrowwood National Wildlife Refuge, ND**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announce that our Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan (Plan) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI) for Arrowwood national wildlife refuge (Refuge) is available. This Final Plan describes how the Service intends to manage the refuge for the next 15 years.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the Plan may be obtained by writing to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Refuge Planning, P.O. Box 25486, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225;

or by download from <http://mountain-prairie.fws.gov/planning>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Spratt, 303-236-4366 (phone); 303-236-4792 (fax); or [Michael\\_Spratt@fws.gov](mailto:Michael_Spratt@fws.gov) (e-mail).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** President Franklin D. Roosevelt signed Executive Order 7168 on September 4, 1935, "establishing Arrowwood Migratory Waterfowl Refuge." Now known as Arrowwood National Wildlife Refuge, the 15,973-acre Refuge is in east-central North Dakota. The Refuge covers 14 miles of the James River Valley in Foster and Stutsman counties, approximately 30 miles north of Jamestown. The purposes of the Refuge are for use by migratory birds with emphasis on waterfowl and other water birds; the conservation of fish and wildlife resources; use as an inviolate sanctuary; or for any other management purposes, for migratory birds; and a Refuge and breeding ground for migratory birds and other wildlife.

The Refuge lies on the Central Flyway migratory corridor and is an important stopover for many birds. The prairie grassland and wetland complex habitats provide nesting and feeding habitat for waterfowl in the spring and summer. Hundreds of thousands of waterfowl migrate through the area and use the wetlands in the spring and fall for feeding and resting. The Refuge contains approximately 6,000 acres of native prairie; 5,340 acres of seed grasses; 3,850 acres of wetlands; 660 acres of wooded ravines and riparian woodlands; and 125 acres of planted

trees including shelterbelts. It is important to note that 3,430 acres of wetlands are managed impoundments and pools. Public use and recreation at the Refuge includes the six priority wildlife-dependent uses: Hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, interpretation, and environmental education.

The draft Plan and environmental assessment (EA) was made available to the public for review and comment following the announcement in the **Federal Register** on March 22, 2007 (72 FR 13508-13509). The draft Plan and EA identified and evaluated three alternatives for managing the Refuge for the next 15 years. Under Alternative A, the No Action alternative, the Service would manage habitats, wildlife, programs, and facilities at current levels as time, staff, and funds allow. There would be an emphasis on waterfowl migration and reproduction habitat. The Service would not develop any new management, restoration, or education programs at the Refuge. Target elevations of each wetland impoundment would be managed independently to achieve optimal habitat conditions.

Alternative B would maximize the biological potential of the Refuge for both wetland and upland habitats, and support a well-balanced and diverse flora and fauna representative of the Prairie Pothole Region. A scientific-based monitoring program would be developed as part of the habitat management plan (HMP). Public use opportunities would be expanded with the construction of additional facilities

and development of educational programs.

Alternative C, the Proposed Action, would include those features described in Alternative B, as well as including a plan to improve the water quality entering the Refuge, and reducing peak flows in the upper James River watershed during spring runoff and summer rainfall events. This watershed management component would include working with private landowners through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Partners for Fish and Wildlife program and other federal, state, and private conservation programs. The focus would be to protect and restore wetlands and grasslands, and reduce the impact on water quality from cropland and livestock operations. Improving the health of the upper James River watershed would not only benefit wildlife habitat in the watershed and at the Refuge, it would also benefit the Jamestown Reservoir and all downstream users.

The Service is furnishing this notice to advise other agencies and the public of the availability of the final Plan, to provide information on the desired conditions for the refuges, and to detail how the Service will implement management strategies. Based on the review and evaluation of the information contained in the EA, the Regional Director has determined that implementation of the Final Plan does not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the meaning of Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared.

Dated: February 26, 2008.

**Gary G. Mowad,**

*Acting Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. E8-4087 Filed 3-3-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

#### Indian Gaming

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Approved Tribal—State Class III Gaming Amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This notice publishes an approval of Amendment II of the Amended and Restated Class III Gaming Compact between the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation and the State of Oregon.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 4, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** George T. Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary—Policy and Economic Development, Washington, DC 20240, (202) 219-4066.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under Section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (IGRA) Public Law 100-497, 25 U.S.C. 2710, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the **Federal Register** notice of approved Tribal—State compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. This Amendment revises the video lottery terminal definition, removes some check cashing restrictions, and addresses the proposed new Oregon State Police billing plan.

Dated: February 25, 2008.

**Carl J. Artman,**

*Assistant Secretary, Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. E8-4059 Filed 3-3-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-4N-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

#### Notice of Intent To Prepare the Caliente Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for the Bakersfield Field Office, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management's (BLM's) Bakersfield Field Office intends to revise the Resource Management Plan (RMP) for public lands and mineral estate within the Bakersfield Field Office, and prepare an associated Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The RMP revision will update the existing Caliente Resource Management Plan. This notice initiates the public scoping process and provides information regarding public scoping meetings.

**DATES:** The public scoping process is initiated upon the date of publication of this notice. Formal scoping will end 60 days after publication of this notice; however, collaboration with the public will continue throughout the planning process. The BLM will hold public scoping meetings to identify relevant issues, and will announce these meetings at least 15 days in advance of the meetings through local news media, newsletters, and the BLM Web site: <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/fo/bakersfield.html>. Formal opportunities for public participation will also be

provided upon publication of the Draft RMP/EIS.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- Web Site: <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/fo/bakersfield.html>.
- E-mail: [cacalrmp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cacalrmp@ca.blm.gov).
- Fax: (661) 391-6041.
- Mail: Caliente RMP, Bureau of Land Management, 3801 Pegasus Drive, Bakersfield, CA 93308.

Documents pertinent to this proposal may be examined at the Bakersfield Field Office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information and/or to have your name added to our mailing list, contact Steve Larson: Telephone (661) 391-6022; e-mail [cacalrmp@ca.blm.gov](mailto:cacalrmp@ca.blm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document provides notice that the BLM office in Bakersfield, California, intends to revise an RMP and prepare an associated EIS for the BLM managed public lands and interests within the Bakersfield Field Office—exclusive of the California Coastal National Monument and the Carrizo Plain National Monument. This document also announces public scoping meetings.

The planning area is located in Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Tulare, and Ventura Counties in California. This planning area encompasses approximately 400,000 acres of public land and an additional 450,000 acres of federal mineral estate. The plan will fulfill the needs and obligations set forth by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), and BLM management policies. The BLM will work collaboratively with interested parties to identify the management decisions that are best suited to local, regional, and national needs and concerns.

The purpose of the public scoping process is to determine relevant issues that will influence the scope of the environmental analysis and EIS alternatives. These issues also guide the planning process. You may submit comments on issues and planning criteria in writing to the BLM at any public scoping meeting, or you may submit them to the BLM using one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above. To be most helpful, you should submit formal scoping comments within 30 days after the last public meeting. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire