

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.022, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: February 8, 2008.

David I. Maurstad,

Federal Insurance Administrator of the National Flood Insurance Program, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. E8-3366 Filed 2-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-D-7808]

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the table to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of July 25, 2007. This correction clarifies the table

representing the flooding source(s), location of referenced elevation, the effective and modified elevation in feet and the communities affected for Henderson County, North Carolina, and Incorporated Areas; specifically, for flooding source "Boylston Creek," than was previously published.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William R. Blanton, Jr., Engineering Management Branch, Mitigation Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-2903.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) publishes proposed determinations of Base (1-percent-annual-chance) Flood Elevations (BFEs) and modified BFEs for communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed BFEs and modified BFEs, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any

existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed BFEs are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. 07-3615, beginning on page 40791 in the issue of July 25, 2007, make the following corrections, in the table published under the authority of 44 CFR 67.4. On page 40791, in § 67.4, in the table with center heading Henderson County, North Carolina, and Incorporated Areas, the flooding source, location of referenced elevation, the effective and modified elevation in feet and the communities affected for flooding source "Boylston Creek", needs to be corrected to read as follows:

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation**	* Elevation in feet (NGVD) + Elevation in feet (NAVD) # Depth in feet above ground		Communities affected
		Effective	Modified	
*	*	*	*	*
Henderson County, North Carolina, and Incorporated Areas				
Boylston Creek	Approximately 50 feet downstream of Banner Farm Road.	+2,173	+2,072	Town of Mills River.
	Approximately 230 feet upstream of Turkey Pen Gap Road.	None	+2,190	
*	*	*	*	*

Dated: February 12, 2008.

David I. Maurstad,

Federal Insurance Administrator of the National Flood Insurance Program, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. E8-3368 Filed 2-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

[FWS-R2-ES-2008-0031; 92220-1113-0000-C3]

RIN 1018-AU68

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Establishment of a Nonessential Experimental Population of Rio Grande Silvery Minnow in the Big Bend Reach of the Rio Grande in Texas

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of availability of draft environmental assessment; reopening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the reopening of the public comment period on the proposed rule and draft environmental assessment regarding our proposal to establish a nonessential experimental population of Rio Grande silvery minnow (*Hybognathus amarus*), a Federally listed endangered fish, into its historic habitat in the Big Bend reach of the Rio Grande in Presidio, Brewster, and Terrell counties, Texas.

Comments previously submitted on the proposed rule or draft EA need not be resubmitted as they have been incorporated into the public record and will be fully considered in preparation of the final rule and final environmental assessment.

DATES: We will accept public comments received or postmarked on or before March 10, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *U.S. mail or hand-delivery:* Public Comments Processing, Attn: RIN 1018-AU68; Division of Policy and Directives Management; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 222; Arlington, VA 22203.

We will not accept e-mail or faxes. We will post all comments on [http://](http://www.regulations.gov)

www.regulations.gov. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see the Public Comments Solicited section below for more information).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Adam Zerrenner, Field Supervisor, Austin Ecological Services Field Office, 10711 Burnet Road, Suite 200, Austin, TX 78758; telephone 512/490-0057; facsimile 512/490-0974. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800-877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background and Additional Information

We propose to reestablish the Rio Grande silvery minnow under section 10(j) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), and to classify it as a nonessential experimental population (NEP) (72 FR 50918). On the Rio Grande, the geographic boundaries of the NEP would extend from Little Box Canyon downstream of Fort Quitman, Hudspeth County, Texas, through Big Bend National Park and the Rio Grande Wild and Scenic River, to Amistad Dam and the nearby railroad bridge (Big Bend reach of the Rio Grande). On the Pecos River, the geographic boundaries of the NEP would extend from the river's confluence with Independence Creek to its confluence with the Rio Grande. This proposed reestablishment is part of the recovery actions that the Service, Federal and State agencies, and other partners are conducting throughout the species' historic range. The proposed rule provides a plan for establishing the NEP and provides for limited allowable legal taking of Rio Grande silvery minnows within the defined NEP area. A draft environmental assessment (EA) has been prepared on this proposed action and is available for comment (see **ADDRESSES** section below).

For background and other information on the proposed rule and draft EA, refer to our notice published in the **Federal Register** on September 5, 2007 (72 FR 50918).

Public Comments Solicited

On September 5, 2007, we published a proposed rule, notice of availability of a draft environmental assessment, and notice of a public hearing regarding our proposal to establish a nonessential experimental population of the Rio Grande silvery minnow in the Big Bend reach of the Rio Grande in Texas (72 FR 50918). We accepted public comments regarding the proposed rule and draft environmental assessment for 60 days,

ending November 5, 2007. During the comment period, we held a public hearing on October 10, 2007, in Alpine, Texas. In response to requests from interested parties, we are reopening the comment period for an additional 15 days (see **DATES**) to offer all interested parties an opportunity to submit data and information to be considered in developing a final rule and final EA.

We want the final rule to be as effective as possible and the final EA on the proposed action to evaluate all potential issues associated with this action. Therefore, we invite the public, Tribal and government agencies, the scientific community, industry, and other interested parties to submit comments or recommendations not previously submitted concerning any aspect of the proposed rule and the draft EA. Comments should be as specific as possible.

To issue a final rule to implement this proposed action and to determine whether to prepare a finding of no significant impact or an environmental impact statement, we will take into consideration all comments and any additional information we receive. Such communications may lead to a final rule that differs from this proposal.

You may submit your comments and materials concerning the proposed rule and draft EA by one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. We will not accept comments sent by e-mail or fax or to an address not listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. We will not accept anonymous comments; your comments must include your first and last name, city, State, country, and postal (zip) code. Finally, we will not consider hand-delivered comments that we do not receive, or mailed comments that are not postmarked by the date specified in the **DATES** section. Comments must be submitted to

<http://www.regulations.gov> before midnight (Eastern Standard Time) on the date specified in the **DATES** section.

We will post your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—on <http://www.regulations.gov>. If you provide personal identifying information in addition to the required items specified on the previous paragraph, such as your street address, phone number, or e-mail address, you may request at the top of your document we withhold this information from public review. However, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Comments and materials we receive, as well as supporting documentation we used in preparing this proposed rule, will be available for public inspection on <http://www.regulations.gov>, or by