

the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act.

The request for reconsideration alleged that the subject workers not only supported the production but also produced chainsaw chains, bars, and sprockets at the subject facility. The subject facility ceased to operate in March 2007.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department received information confirming that the subject facility is a warehouse and that the subject workers produced neither chainsaw chains, bars, nor sprockets.

New information obtained during the investigation revealed that the subject facility supported production at domestic, affiliated facilities whose production declined during 2006 (compared to 2005 levels) and during 2007 (compared to 2006 levels), and that the subject firm's reliance on foreign-produced chainsaw chains, bars, and sprockets increased during the period of decreased domestic production.

In accordance with section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA.

The Department has determined in this case that the group eligibility requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the information obtained in the reconsideration investigation, I determine that the subject workers' separations were caused by the decreased need of their services due to increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at an affiliated domestic facility that the workers supported.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Oregon Cutting Systems Group, a wholly owned subsidiary of Blount, Inc., Warehouse, Clackamas, Oregon, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 19, 2006, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of February 2008.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-3217 Filed 2-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,759]

Inverness Corporation, Fairlawn, NJ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

In accordance with section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on January 29, 2008 in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers of Inverness Corporation, Fairlawn, New Jersey.

The petition regarding the investigation was signed by only one worker and therefore has been deemed invalid. Consequently, this investigation has been terminated.

Another valid petition has been received for the same worker group. That petition was instituted February 13, 2008 under TA-W-62,839.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 13th day of February, 2008.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E8-3214 Filed 2-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2007-0083]

Applied Research Laboratories, Inc.; Revocation of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's decision to revoke the recognition of Applied Research Laboratories, Inc., (ARL) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory under 29 CFR 1910.7.

DATES: The revocation was effective on January 28, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

MaryAnn Garrahan, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational

Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-3655, Washington, DC 20210, or phone (202) 693-2110.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Notice of Final Decision

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is giving notice of the revocation of recognition of Applied Research Laboratories, Inc., (ARL) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL). OSHA has taken this action following the requirements under Subsection II.E of Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7 ("Subsection E").

OSHA recognition of an NRTL signifies that the organization has met the legal requirements in section 1910.7 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR 1910.7), OSHA's NRTL Program regulations. Recognition is an acknowledgment that the organization can perform independent safety testing and certification of the specific products covered within its scope of recognition and is not a delegation or grant of government authority. As a result of recognition, employers may use products in the workplace that are properly approved by the NRTL to meet OSHA standards that require testing and certification.

Subsection E describes the process that OSHA must use in revoking the recognition of an NRTL. This subsection sets forth three potential causes of revocation and, in the event any cause applies, provides the NRTL with opportunities to correct the deficiencies leading to the proposed revocation. It also provides the NRTL an opportunity to request a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge on the revocation action. (ARL did not request such a hearing.)

OSHA followed the process set forth in Subsection E and is revoking ARL's recognition as an NRTL. OSHA identified deficiencies in ARL's testing and certification operations that were not adequately corrected. OSHA has determined that, as a result of these deficiencies, ARL has failed to substantially satisfy the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.7 and Appendix A, a cause for revocation under OSHA's NRTL Program regulations. OSHA has already notified ARL of the revocation decision, and this decision is final. The effective date of revocation is shown in the DATES section, above. Consequently, the Agency no longer accepts product certifications done by ARL on or after this effective date.

Docket No. OSHA-2007-0083 (formerly NRTL1-97) contains all public