Impact Statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Affected F households.
Frequency (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202) 395–38 (202)

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section

Dated: February 14, 2008.

Robert G. MacWhorter,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. E8–3194 Filed 2–20–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Office of the Secretary, Office of Civil Rights.

Title: Complaint of Employment Discrimination Based on Sexual Orientation against the Department of Commerce.

OMB Control Number: None. Form Number(s): CD-545. Type of Request: Regular submission. Burden Hours: 10.

Number of Respondents: 20. Average Hours Per Response: 30 minutes.

Needs and Use: Pursuant to Executive Order 11478 and Department of Commerce Administrative Order (DAO) 215-11, an employee or applicant for employment with the Department of Commerce who alleges that he or she has been subjected to discriminatory treatment based on sexual orientation by the Department of Commerce or one of its sub-agencies, must submit a signed statement that is sufficiently precise to identify the actions or practices that form the basis of the complaint. Through use of this standardized form, the Office of Civil Rights proposes to collect the information required by the Executive Order and DAO in a uniform manner that will increase the efficiency of complaint processing and trend analyses of complaint activity.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker
(202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via e-mail at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, fax number (202) 395–7258 or via e-mail at David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: February 14, 2008.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–3158 Filed 2–20–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–BP–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Field Representatives/Enumerators Exit Questionnaire

AGENCY: U.S. Census Bureau,

Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: To ensure consideration, written comments must be submitted on or before April 21, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Darlene Moul, Census Bureau/Field Division, Room 5H051, Washington, DC 20233, or 301–763–1935, or via the Internet at darlene.a.moul@census.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

In a continuous effort to devise policies and practices aimed at reducing turnover among interviewers, the Census Bureau collects data on the reasons interviewers voluntarily quit their jobs with the Census Bureau. The exit questionnaires, BC-1294 and BC-1294(D), are the instruments used to collect this data from a sample of former current survey interviewers (field representatives) and decennial census interviewers (enumerators/listers), respectively. Both forms ask questions about the factors that affected an interviewer's decision to voluntarily leave Census Bureau employment. Since the nature of census enumerator work differs from current survey interviewing, we created two questionnaires that are tailored to the operational differences. While the forms cover the same topics, the questions and response choices on the BC-1294 and BC-1294(D) reflect the differences in the current survey and decennial interviewing operations.

Because of both the monetary cost associated with turnover and the potential impact on data quality, the retention of trained field interviewing staff is a major concern for the Census Bureau. Consequently the goal or purpose of the exit questionnaires is to identify the reasons for interviewer turnover and determine what the Census Bureau might have done, or can do, to influence interviewers not to leave. Therefore, the exit questionnaire seeks reasons interviewers quit, inquires about motivational factors that would have kept the interviewers from leaving. identifies training program strengths and areas for improvement, and explores the impact of automation and the influence of pay and other working conditions on turnover. The information provided by respondents to the exit questionnaire provides insight on the measures the Census Bureau might take to decrease turnover, and is useful in helping to determine if the reasons for interviewer turnover appear to be systemic or localized.

To accomplish the goal of reducing interviewer turnover, Census Bureau planners and decision makers must fully understand the relative importance and interaction of possible contributory factors. From both the BC–1294 and BC–1294(D), we have learned that the causes of interviewer turnover are often