AD to prevent chafing of the forward boost pump wiring that could lead to arcing to the inside of the 45-degree angle fitting, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in a fuel tank explosion and consequent loss of the airplane.

## Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### Modification

(f) Within 78 months after the effective date of this AD, modify the conduit for the forward fuel boost pump of the center fuel tank, by accomplishing all of the actions specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 717–28–0007, Revision 1, dated September 23, 2003.

### Credit for Actions Done According to Previous Issue of Service Bulletin

(g) Actions done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 717–28–0007, dated August 22, 2002, are acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (f) of this AD provided that a leak check of the conduit is accomplished in accordance with Boeing 717 Airplane Maintenance Manual (AMM) Task 28–22–28–700–801, "Leak Test of the Fuel Pump Electrical Conduit."

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h)(1) The Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

# Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) You must use Boeing Service Bulletin 717–28–0007, Revision 1, dated September 23, 2003, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1–L5A (D800–0024).

(3) You may review copies of the service information incorporated by reference at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal\_register/code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ibr\_locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 14, 2008.

### Stephen P. Boyd,

Assistant Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E8–971 Filed 1–23–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-28375; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-015-AD; Amendment 39-15346; AD 2008-02-16]

### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 767–200 and 767–300 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Boeing Model 767-200 and 767-300 series airplanes. This AD requires reworking certain duct assemblies in the environmental control system (ECS). This AD results from reports of duct assemblies in the ECS with burned Boeing Material Specification (BMS) 8-39 polyurethane foam insulation. This AD also results from a report from the airplane manufacturer that airplanes were assembled with duct assemblies in the ECS wrapped with BMS 8-39 polyurethane foam insulation, a material of which the fire retardant properties deteriorate with age. We are issuing this AD to prevent a potential electrical arc from igniting the BMS 8-39 polyurethane foam insulation on the duct assemblies of the ECS, which could propagate a small fire and lead to a larger fire that might spread throughout the airplane through the ECS.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective February 28, 2008.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the AD as of February 28, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

# **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (telephone 800–647–5527) is the Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue McCormick, Aerospace Engineer, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM–150S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (303) 342–1082; fax (425) 917–6590.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Discussion

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to certain Boeing Model 767–200 and 767–300 series airplanes. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on June 19, 2007 (72 FR 33701). That NPRM proposed to require reworking certain duct assemblies in the environmental control system (ECS).

## Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comments received.

## Support for the Proposed AD

Boeing concurs with the requirements of this AD.

# Request To Remove Airplane From the Proposed Applicability

Hawaiian Airlines requests that we revise the proposed AD to remove one of its airplanes from the proposed applicability. Hawaiian states that the airplane came to them with two ducts installed in the affected area that do not have insulation installed on them. Each of these ducts has a part number not listed in Boeing Service Bulletin 767-21A0167, Revision 1, dated December 19, 2006. We referred to Boeing Service Bulletin 767-21A0167, Revision 1, as the appropriate source of service information for doing the actions specified in the proposed AD. Hawaiian quotes text from a Boeing message, in which Boeing confirms that the two subject duct assemblies do not need rework in accordance with the service bulletin because neither of the ducts assemblies are wrapped with Boeing Material Specification (BMS) 8-39 polyurethane foam insulation.

We agree. We have verified that the subject airplane should not be subject to this AD for the reasons stated above. Therefore, we have revised the applicability of this final rule to remove the subject airplane from the applicability of this AD. We have also revised the Costs of Compliance section of this final rule to remove the cost for this airplane.

# Request To Clarify Acceptable Compliance

Hawaiian Airlines also requests that we revise the proposed AD to add

language to clarify whether or not BMS 8–300 insulation must be installed on an affected duct. Hawaiian reiterates that it has one airplane with two ducts installed, which do not have any insulation installed.

We do not agree that it is necessary to make the requested clarification. As stated previously, we have determined that the subject airplane is not subject to this AD. Therefore, we have made no change to the final rule in this regard.

#### Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data, considered the comments received, and

determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the change described previously. We also determined that this change will not increase the economic burden on any operator or increase the scope of the AD.

# **Costs of Compliance**

There are about 129 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this AD.

# **ESTIMATED COSTS**

Action	Work hours	Average labor rate per hour	Parts cost per airplane	Average cost per airplane	Number of U.Sregistered airplanes	Average fleet cost
Duct assembly rework	7, per duct (average 50 ducts per airplane).	\$80	\$4,955	\$32,955	95	\$3,130,725

# **Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

# **Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

(3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# **Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

# § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

**2008–02–16 Boeing:** Amendment 39–15346. Docket No. FAA–2007–28375; Directorate Identifier 2007–NM–015–AD.

# **Effective Date**

(a) This AD becomes effective February 28, 2008.

## Affected ADs

(b) None.

## **Applicability**

(c) This AD applies to Model 767–200 and 767–300 series airplanes, certificated in any category; as identified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–21A0167, Revision 1, dated December 19, 2006; excluding variable number VK031.

# **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD results from reports of duct assemblies in the environmental control system (ECS) with burned Boeing Material Specification (BMS) 8–39 polyurethane foam insulation. This AD also results from a report from the airplane manufacturer that airplanes were assembled with duct assemblies in the ECS wrapped with BMS 8-39 polyurethane foam insulation, a material of which the fire retardant properties deteriorate with age. We are issuing this AD to prevent a potential electrical arc from igniting the BMS 8-39 polyurethane foam insulation on the duct assemblies or the ECS, which could propagate a small fire and lead to a larger fire that might spread throughout the airplane through the ECS.

### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

# **ECS Duct Assembly Rework**

(f) Except as provided by paragraph (g) of this AD, within 72 months after the effective date of this AD, rework the duct assemblies in the ECS for the air distribution system at sections 41, 45, and 46; the Gasper air system at sections 41, 43, 45, and 46; the forward electronic and electrical (E/E) compartment air supply; and the instrument panel cooling supply; in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions and Appendices A and B of Boeing Service Bulletin 767–21A0167, Revision 1, dated December 19, 2006.

### **Optional Part Installed**

(g) If an affected duct assembly having a part number other than part number 217T2109–12, or a part number other than any part number specified in the applicable figure of Boeing Service Bulletin 767–21A0167, Revision 1, dated December 19, 2006, is found installed, and that part number is listed as an optional part number in the table in paragraph 3.B.2., "Optional Part Table," of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin: No rework is required for that duct assembly only.

### **Parts Installation**

(h) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install on any airplane an air distribution system, Gasper air system, forward E/E compartment air supply, or instrument panel cooling supply duct assembly with BMS 8–39 polyurethane foam insulation.

# Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

- (i)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.
- (2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

### Material Incorporated by Reference

- (j) You must use Boeing Service Bulletin 767–21A0167, Revision 1, dated December 19, 2006, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.
- (1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.
- (3) You may review copies of the service information incorporated by reference at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal\_register/code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ibr\_locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 14, 2008.

## Stephen P. Boyd,

Assistant Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E8–972 Filed 1–23–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2007-29170; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-075-AD; Amendment 39-15345; AD 2008-02-15]

### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A319 and A320 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

Some taperlocks used in the wing-tofuselage junction at rib 1 were found to be non-compliant with the applicable specification, resulting in a loss of pretension in the fasteners. In such conditions, the structural integrity of the aircraft could be affected.

We are issuing this AD to require actions to correct the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective February 28, 2008.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this AD as of February 28, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov or in person at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Dulin, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-2141; fax (425) 227-1149.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on September 13, 2007 (72 FR 52309). That NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

Some taperlocks used in the wing-tofuselage junction at rib 1 were found to be non-compliant with the applicable specification, resulting in a loss of pretension in the fasteners. In such conditions, the structural integrity of the aircraft could be affected.

This Airworthiness Directive mandates a repetitive internal inspection of the lower stiffeners, and a repetitive external inspection of the lower panels in center and outer wing box at level of rib 1 junction.

The corrective action includes contacting Airbus for repair instructions and repair if any crack is found. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

#### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We considered the comments received.

# Request To Refer to Revised Service Information

The Air Transport Association (ATA), on behalf of one of its members, United Airlines, and Airbus ask that we refer to Airbus Service Bulletins A320–57–1129 and A320–57–1130, both Revision 02, both dated July 17, 2007, for accomplishing the specified actions.

We agree with the requests to refer to Revision 02 of Airbus Service Bulletins A320-57-1129 and A320-57-1130. In the NPRM, we referred to Airbus Service Bulletins A320-57-1129, and A320-57-1130, both Revision 01, both dated July 28, 2006, as the appropriate sources of service information for accomplishing the required actions. Revision 02 of the service bulletins updates the operator and aircraft effectivity to show the latest information. No additional work is required by these revisions of the service bulletins. We have changed paragraphs (f)(1) and (f)(2) of this AD to refer to Revision 02 of the service bulletins. We have also changed paragraphs (f)(1) and (f)(2) to give credit to operators that have done the actions previously in accordance with Revision 01 of the service bulletins. We have also revised the sentence giving credit for an earlier service bulletin in paragraph (f)(2) of this AD for clarity.

# Request To Allow Installation of a Pin and Sleeve Fastener

ATA, on behalf of one of its members, Northwest Airlines (NWA), states that installation of a pin-and-sleeve fastener, instead of the taperlok fastener, should be allowed due to the practical difficulties in accomplishing the NPRM