

Awards for the BRAVO! Awards Program, Internal Revenue Service Business Operations Offices, 333 Market Street, San Francisco, CA.
 NPA: Bobby Dodd Institute, Inc., Atlanta, GA.

Contracting Activity: Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, San Francisco, CA.

Deletions

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. If approved, the action may result in additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities.

2. If approved, the action may result in authorizing small entities to furnish the product and services to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the product and services proposed for deletion from the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

End of Certification

The following product and services are proposed for deletion from the Procurement List:

Product

Cover, Map

NSN: 8460-00-287-2137.

NSN: 8460-00-287-2140.

NPA: Goodwill Industries of the Valleys, Inc., Roanoke, VA.

Contracting Activity: Defense Supply Center Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA.

Services

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/

Custodial Federal Aviation

Administration Facilities, Albany County Airport, Albany, NY.

NPA: Albany County Chapter, NYSARC, Inc., Albany, NY.

Contracting Activity: Federal Aviation Administration, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, NY.

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/ Mechanical Maintenance,

U.S. Federal Building, U.S. Post Office, 403 West Lewis Street, Pasco, WA.

NPA: Columbia Industries, Kennewick, WA.

Contracting Activity: General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service, Region 10.

Kimberly M. Zeich,

Director, Program Operations.

[FR Doc. E8-345 Filed 1-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-802]

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Mexico: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed-Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 1, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of changed-circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Mexico. See *Final Results of Antidumping Duty Change-Circumstances Review: Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Mexico*, 72 FR 61863 (November 1, 2007) (*Changed-Circumstances Review Final Results*). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Holcim Apasco, S.A. de C.V., and Cementos Apasco, S.A. de C.V. (collectively Apasco). The changed-circumstances review covers exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the period October 1, 2006, through December 31, 2006. On November 6, 2007, Apasco filed a request for NAFTA panel review of the *Changed-Circumstances Review Final Results*. On December 19, 2007, the Department and Apasco settled the changed-circumstances review. On December 31, 2007, the NAFTA Secretariat terminated the litigation in accordance with the parties' consent.

Assessment of Duties

Having a final and conclusive resolution of the contested changed-circumstances review, we are now

amending the final results of the changed-circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on Mexican cement to reflect the terms of the settlement agreement. Consequently, we determine that the per-unit amount to be assessed on all entries of Mexican cement during the period of the contested changed-circumstances review which were produced by Apasco is \$3.00 per metric ton. Accordingly, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess appropriate antidumping duties on the affected entries of the subject merchandise during the contested review period. The Department will issue assessment instructions to CBP within 41 days of publication of this notice.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

As provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and as stipulated in the settlement agreement, the cash-deposit rate for all shipments of Mexican cement produced or exported by Apasco entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption after the effective date of this notice shall be at the rate of three U.S. dollars (\$3.00) per metric ton. The deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: January 7, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-334 Filed 1-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-918]

Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 11, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik or Julia Hancock, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;

telephone: (202) 482-6905 or (202) 482-1394, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On September 10, 2007, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) initiated an antidumping duty investigation of steel wire garment hangers from the People’s Republic of China. *See Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 72 FR 52855 (September 17, 2007) (“*Initiation Notice*”). The *Initiation Notice* stated that we would issue our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of initiation. Currently, the preliminary determination in this investigation is due on January 28, 2007.¹

On December 31, 2007, M&B Metal Products, the petitioner, made a timely request, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e), for a 50-day postponement of the preliminary determination in the investigation, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). The petitioner stated that a postponement of the preliminary determination is necessary because of the complexities of the investigation, and because the Department is still involved in gathering initial data from the respondents.

Under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, if the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension of the period within which the preliminary determination must be made under subsection (b)(1), then the Department may postpone making the preliminary determination under subsection (b)(1) until not later than the 190th day after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation. Therefore, for the reasons identified by the petitioner and because there are no compelling reasons to deny their request, the Department is postponing the preliminary determination in this investigation until March 18, 2008, which is 190 days from the date on which the Department initiated these investigations.² The deadline for the

¹ 140 days from the initiation is actually January 27, 2008. However, Department practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. *See Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

² 190 days from the initiation date is actually March 17, 2008. However, Department practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. *See id.*

final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless extended.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: January 7, 2008.

David A. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-333 Filed 1-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Utah, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 07-068.

Applicant: University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.

Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Nova NanoSEM 430.

Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic.

Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 71360, December 17, 2007.

Docket Number: 07-069.

Applicant: The Children’s Hospital, Denver CO 80218.

Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model H-7650.

Manufacturer: Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Japan.

Intended Use: See notice at 72 FR 71360, December 17, 2007.

Comments: None received.

Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered.

Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: January 8, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-332 Filed 1-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN: 0648-XE93

Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of a public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Fishery Management Council’s (Council) Groundfish Management Team (GMT) will hold a working meeting, which is open to the public.

DATES: The GMT meeting will be held Monday, January 28, 2008 from 1 p.m. until business for the day is completed. The GMT meeting will reconvene Tuesday, January 29 through Friday, February 1, from 8:30 a.m. until business for each day is completed.

ADDRESSES: The GMT meeting will be held at the Pacific Fishery Management Council office, Large Conference Room, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, OR 97220-1384.

Council address: Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, OR 97220-1384.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John DeVore, Groundfish Management Coordinator; telephone: (503) 820-2280.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the GMT working meeting is to (1) develop models and recommendations for analyzing harvest specifications and management measures for 2009-2010 West Coast groundfish fisheries; (2) develop a new bycatch model for analyzing potential impacts in 2008 Pacific whiting fisheries; (3) provide guidance on analyzing intersector allocation alternatives for groundfish species and complexes; and (4) develop recommendations for analyzing a range of alternatives for a contemplated limited entry system for open access groundfish fisheries. The GMT may also address other assignments relating to groundfish management. No management actions will be decided by the GMT. The GMT’s role will be