#### **Description of Information Collection**

*Title:* Transfer of Farm Records Between Counties.

OMB Control Number: 0560–0253.

Type of Request: Extension with no revision.

Abstract: Farm owners or operators may elect to transfer farm records between counties when the principal dwelling of the farm operator has changed, a change has occurred in the operation of the land, or a change that would cause the receiving administrative county office to be more accessible such as a new highway and relocation of the county office building site. The transfer of farm records is also required when an FSA county office closes. FSA County Committees from both the transferring and receiving county must approve or disapprove all proposed farm transfers. In some cases, the State Committee and/or the National Office must also approve or disapprove proposed farm transfers.

Estimate of Burden: Average 10 minutes per response.

*Type of Respondents:* Owners and operators.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25,000.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 2,500 hours.

Comment is invited on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information from those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the submission for Office of Management and Budget Approval.

Signed at Washington, DC, on January 2, 2008.

# Glen L. Keyyp,

Acting Administrator, Farm Service Agency. [FR Doc. E8–34 Filed 1–7–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

# Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, OR; Westside Rangeland Analysis

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** The USDA, Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement to authorize livestock grazing within the Westside Rangeland Analysis Area on the Wallowa Valley Ranger District of the Wallowa-Whitman National Forest.

**DATES:** Written comments concerning the proposed action should be received by February 11, 2008. Send written comments and suggestions to Wallowa Valley District Ranger, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, 88401 Highway 82, Box A, Enterprise, OR 97828.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alicia Glassford, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Wallowa Mountains Office, 88401 Hwy 82, Box A, Enterprise, OR, Phone: (541) 426–5689.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Purpose and Need**

The purpose of and need for this action is to allocate forage for commercial livestock grazing. The Wallowa-Whitman National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan allows for livestock forage production where forage is in excess to basic plant and soil needs, wildlife needs, and where other specific resource conditions are to be achieved or maintained. While livestock grazing has been ongoing for many years in the Westside Rangeland Analysis Area, this grazing has been addressed through annual operating instructions which have not been subjected to a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis process. Thus, the NEPA process for this group of allotments is being initiated.

# **Proposed Action**

The Westside Rangeland Analysis
Area (63,507 acres) is located
approximately 20 miles north of
Enterprise, Oregon and is the portion of
National Forest System lands within the
Wallowa Valley Ranger District
generally located west of State Highway
3. The proposal will result in Allotment
Management Plans for the six allotments
within the Westside Rangeland Analysis
Area: Buck Creek Allotment, Day Ridge
Allotment, Mud Creek Allotment, North
Powwatka Allotment, South Powwatka

Allotment, and Tope Creek Allotment. Specific elements of the Proposed Action are as follows:

Authorize a total of 1579 head-months of livestock grazing on the 22,718-acre Buck Creek Allotment between June 1 and October 31.

Authorize a total of 100 head-months of livestock grazing on the 2,765-acre Day Ridge Allotment between May 15 and June 15.

Authorize a total of 3,528 headmonths of livestock grazing on the 11,032-acre Mud Creek Allotment between May 15 and September 15.

Authorize a total of 774 head-months of livestock grazing on the 8074-acre North Powwatka Allotment between April 15 and November 15.

Authorize a total of 1171 head-months of livestock grazing on the 11,455-acre South Powwatka Allotment between June 1 and October 31.

Authorize a total of 429 head-months of livestock grazing on the 7,463-acre Tope Creek Allotment between June 1 and September 30.

# Responsible Official

The Wallowa Valley District Ranger, Barbara C. Van Alstine, will be the responsible official for making the decision and providing direction for the analysis.

## Nature of Decision To Be Made

The responsible official will decide whether or not to authorize commercial livestock grazing within the Westside Rangeland Area. The responsible official will also decide whether or not to select the proposed action as stated or modified, or to select an alternative to it, any mitigation measures needed and any monitoring that may be required.

# **Scoping Process**

This notice of intent begins the scoping process in the development of the environmental impact statement. Public participation is especially important at several points during the development of the EIS. The Forest Service is seeking information, comments, and coordination with the Federal, State, and local agencies and tribal governments and individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed action. The public is asked to provide the responsible official with written comments describing their concerns about this project. The most useful comments to developing or refining the proposed action would be site specific concerns and those that pertain to authorizing livestock grazing within the Westside Rangeland Analysis Area that meets the Purpose of and Need for

Action. See **DATES** above for the mailing address for written comments.

## Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review

The draft environmental impact statement is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available to the public for review by May 2008. EPA will publish a notice of availability of the draft EIS in the Federal Register. The comment period on the draft EIS will extend 45 days from the date the EPA notice appears in the Federal Register. At that time, copies of the draft EIS will be distributed to interested and affected agencies, organizations, and members of the public for their review and comment. It is very important that those interested in the management of the Wallowa-Whitman National Forest participate at that time.

The final EIS is scheduled to be completed in September 2008. In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to substantive comments received during the comment period that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed in the draft EIS and applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered in making the decision regarding authorization of livestock grazing. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points. Substantive comments are defined as "comments within the scope of the proposed action, specific to the proposed action, and have a direct relationship to the proposed action, and include supporting reasons for the Responsible Official to consider (36 CFR 215.2). Submission of substantive comments is a prerequisite for eligibility to appeal under the 36 CFR part 215

regulations.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact

statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: December 20, 2007.

#### Barbara C. Van Alstine,

Wallowa Valley District Ranger. [FR Doc. 08–17 Filed 1–7–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board [Docket 52–2007)]

# Foreign-Trade Zone 202—Los Angeles, California, Area Application for Reorganization/Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles, grantee of FTZ 202, requesting authority to reorganize and expand its zone in the Los Angeles area, adjacent to the Los Angeles-Long Beach Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on December 19, 2007.

FTZ 202 was approved on July 14, 1994 (Board Order 693, 59 FR 37464, 7/ 22/94), expanded on August 26, 1996 (Board Order 842, 61 FR 46763, 9/5/96) and on July 9, 1999 (Board Order 1043, 64 FR 38887, 7/20/99), and expanded/reorganized on April 30, 2004 (Board Order 1331, 69 FR 26065, 5/11/04). The zone project currently consists of 22 sites (5,704 acres) and a temporary site (10 acres) located at port facilities, industrial parks and warehouse facilities in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Kern and Riverside Counties. (An expansion application is currently pending (Doc. 44–2006) to include a site (177 acres, Proposed Site 23) within the Tejon Industrial Complex in Lebec.)

The applicant is now requesting authority to reorganize and expand its zone project as follows. The changes will result in an overall net decrease of 329.25 acres in zone acreage.

Site 4 (Dominguez Technology Center)—Remove 35.4 acres (10 parcels) within the site due to changed circumstances (new site total—353.60 acres):

Site 5 (located at 300 W. Artesia Boulevard, Compton)—Remove the entire site from the zone project due to changed circumstances;

New Proposed Site 5 (8.51 acres, 2 parcels)—two warehouse facilities of 3Plus Logistics located at 20250 South Alameda Street in Rancho Dominguez (6.13 acres) and at 2730 El Presidio Street in Carson (2.38 acres);

Site 8 (located within Watson Industrial Center South, 1031/1035 Watson Center Road, Carson)—Combine Site 8 and Site 10 (Watson Industrial Center South) to become Site 10 (new site total—325 acres);

Site 9 (Harbor Gateway Industrial Area)—Expand the site to include Temporary Site 1 (10 acres) and include an additional 5.61 acres (Parcel A—15.61 acres); expand to include an additional parcel at 1451 Knox Street in Torrance (Parcel C—7.26 acres); and, rename existing Site 9 as Parcel B (7 acres) (new site total—29.87 acres):

Site 11 (Watson Corporate Center)— Expand the site to include an additional 46.79 acres (3 contiguous parcels) located at 2417 East Carson Street in Carson (new site total—153.79 acres);

Site 16 (Artesia Corridor Commerce Park)—Remove 9.8 acres within the site located at 1700 S. Wilmington Avenue, 1401 W. Walnut Street and 1805 S. Wilmington Street in Compton due to changed circumstances (new total—153.20 acres);

Site 19 (Chino South Business Park)— Remove 6.34 acres within the site located at 15982 San Antonio Avenue in Chino due to changed circumstances; and, expand the site to include an additional parcel (18.5 acres) located at