

Feili

- We revised the calculation of the market–economy purchase price for rivets to exclude the total quantity and value of powder coating from the calculations.⁶
- We revised the calculation of normal value (“NV”) to eliminate fiberboard as a packing material.⁷
- We revised the calculation of NV to exclude packing labor from the cost of manufacturing and include it in the calculation of packing.⁸
- We revised the sample interspersed check to exclude from the margin analysis program only those transactions that had not been previously made in commercial quantities to the same customer.⁹

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Weighted–Average Margin Percentage
Feili*	0.02
New–Tec	1.50

*This rate is *de minimis*.

Assessment Rates

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of administrative review.

Cash–Deposit Requirements

The following cash–deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”): (1) for subject

Surrogate Value Memorandum”), at 1, and Attachment XIII.

⁶ See Comment 7 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum and Memorandum to the File “Analysis for the Final Results of the 2005–2006 Administrative Review of Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People’s Republic of China: Feili Furniture Development Limited Quanzhou City, Feili Furniture Development Co., Ltd., Feili Group (Fujian) Co., Ltd., Feili (Fujian) Co., Ltd. (collectively, “Feili”)” (December 7, 2007) (“Feili Final Analysis Memorandum”), at 2, and Attachments I and II.

⁷ See Comment 8 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum, and Feili Final Analysis Memorandum, at 2, and Attachments I and IV.

⁸ See Comment 9 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum, and Feili Final Analysis Memorandum, at 3, and Attachments III and IV.

⁹ See Comment 11 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum, and Feili Final Analysis Memorandum, at 3, and Attachments IV and V.

merchandise exported by Feili, the final weighted–average margin is below *de minimis*; therefore, no cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will be required. However, for subject merchandise exported by New–Tec, the cash–deposit rate will be that established in the final results of review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash–deposit rate will continue to be the exporter–specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise, which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash–deposit rate will be the PRC–wide rate of 70.71 percent; and (4) for all non–PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not received their own rate, the cash–deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non–PRC exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3), failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO as explained in the administrative protective order itself. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice of the final results of this administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 7, 2007.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Comments and Issues in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Surrogate Financial Statements

Comment 2: Potential Calculation Adjustments to Infiniti’s Financial Statements

Comment 3: Allocation of Direct Labor Hours for Feili

Comment 4: Allocation of Electricity for Feili

Comment 5: Suspension of Liquidation of Tables with Legs Connected by a Cross–Bar

Comment 6: Revocation of the Order
Comment 7: Market–Economy Price for Rivets

Comment 8: Fiberboard Consumption

Comment 9: Packing Labor

Comment 10: Zero–Priced Transactions

Comment 11: Zero–Priced Transactions not Previously Sold in Commercial Quantities

Comment 12: Shipping Costs for Zero–Priced Transactions

Comment 13: Negative Values for Importer–Specific Assessment Rates

Comment 14: The Treatment of Origin Receiving Charges (“ORC”) and

automated–manifest–system charges (“AMS”)

Comment 15: Adjustments for Materials

That Were Provided Free–of–Charge

Comment 16: Offsetting Dumped Sales

with “Non–Dumped” Sales (“Zeroing”)

[FR Doc. E7–24366 Filed 12–14–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A–485–806]

Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Romania: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 9, 2007, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot–rolled carbon steel flat products from Romania. This review covers sales of subject merchandise made by Mittal Steel Galati S.A. The period of review is November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006. Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made a change to our calculations; this change did not result in a change to the margin for Mittal Steel Galati S.A. Therefore, these final results are identical to our preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: (December 17, 2007.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Dirstine or Richard Rimlinger, AD/

CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4033 and (202) 482-4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 9, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Romania (*Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Romania: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 44821 (August 9, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*)). The review covers one manufacturer, Mittal Steel Galati S.A. (MS Galati).

We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. MS Galati and one domestic interested party, United States Steel Corporation, filed case briefs on September 12, 2007. MS Galati and two domestic interested parties, United States Steel Corporation and Nucor Corporation, filed rebuttal briefs on September 19, 2007.

On October 31, 2007, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the International Trade Commission determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Romania would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. See *Hot Rolled Steel Products from Argentina, China, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Romania, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine*, 72 FR 61676 (October 31, 2007), and USITC Publication 3956 (October 2007), entitled *Hot Rolled Steel Products from Argentina, China, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Romania, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, and Ukraine: Investigation Nos. 701 TA 404 408 and 731 TA 898 902 and 904- 908* (Review). As a result of this determination, the Department revoked the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Romania, effective as of November 29, 2006. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina, Kazakhstan, Romania, and South Africa: Revocation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 72 FR 65293 (November 20, 2007).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products of a rectangular shape, of a

width of 0.5 inch or greater, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal and whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers), regardless of thickness, and in straight length, of a thickness of less than 4.75 mm and of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness.

Universal mill plate (*i.e.*, flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm, but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a thickness of not less than 4.0 mm, not in coils and without patterns in relief) of a thickness not less than 4.0 mm is not included within the scope of this order.

Specifically included within the scope of this order are vacuum degassed, fully stabilized (commonly referred to as interstitial-free (IF)) steels, high strength low alloy (HSLA) steels, and the substrate for motor lamination steels. IF steels are recognized as low carbon steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as titanium or niobium (also commonly referred to as columbium), or both, added to stabilize carbon and nitrogen elements. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, vanadium, and molybdenum. The substrate for motor lamination steels contains micro-alloying levels of elements such as silicon and aluminum.

Steel products to be included in the scope of this order, regardless of definitions in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), are products in which: (i) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; (ii) the carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and (iii) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated: 1.80 percent of manganese, 2.25 percent of silicon, 1.00 percent of copper, 0.50 percent of aluminum, 1.25 percent of chromium, 0.30 percent of cobalt, 0.40 percent of lead, 1.25 percent of nickel, 0.30 percent of tungsten, 0.10 percent of molybdenum, 0.10 percent of niobium, 0.15 percent of vanadium or 0.15 percent of zirconium.

All products that meet the physical and chemical description provided above are within the scope of this order unless otherwise excluded. The following products, by way of example, are outside or specifically excluded from the scope of this order: Alloy hot-rolled steel products in which at least one of the chemical elements exceeds those listed above (including, *e.g.*, American Society for Testing and

Materials (ASTM) specifications A543, A387, A514, A517, A506); Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE)/American Iron & Steel Institute (AISI) grades of series 2300 and higher; ball bearing steels, as defined in the HTSUS; tool steels, as defined in the HTSUS; silicomanganese (as defined in the HTSUS) or silicon electrical steel with a silicon level exceeding 2.25 percent; ASTM specifications A710 and A736; USS abrasion-resistant steels (USS AR 400, USS AR 500); all products (proprietary or otherwise) based on an alloy ASTM specification (sample specifications: ASTM A506, A507); non-rectangular shapes, not in coils, which are the result of having been processed by cutting or stamping and which have assumed the character of articles or products classified outside chapter 72 of the HTSUS.

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the HTSUS at the following subheadings: 7208.10.15.00, 7208.10.30.00, 7208.10.60.00, 7208.25.30.00, 7208.25.60.00, 7208.26.00.30, 7208.26.00.60, 7208.27.00.30, 7208.27.00.60, 7208.36.00.30, 7208.36.00.60, 7208.37.00.30, 7208.37.00.60, 7208.38.00.15, 7208.38.00.30, 7208.38.00.90, 7208.39.00.15, 7208.39.00.30, 7208.39.00.90, 7208.40.60.30, 7208.40.60.60, 7208.53.00.00, 7208.54.00.00, 7208.90.00.00, 7211.14.00.90, 7211.19.15.00, 7211.19.20.00, 7211.19.30.00, 7211.19.45.00, 7211.19.60.00, 7211.19.75.30, 7211.19.75.60, and 7211.19.75.90. Certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products covered by this order, including vacuum degassed fully stabilized, high strength low alloy, and the substrate for motor lamination steel, may also enter under the following tariff numbers: 7225.11.00.00, 7225.19.00.00, 7225.30.30.50, 7225.30.70.00, 7225.40.70.00, 7225.99.00.90, 7226.11.10.00, 7226.11.90.30, 7226.11.90.60, 7226.19.10.00, 7226.19.90.00, 7226.91.50.00, 7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and 7226.99.00.00. Subject merchandise may also enter under 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.90.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, and 7212.50.00.00.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this proceeding is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the "Issues and

Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 7, 2007, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which the parties have raised and to which we have responded is attached to this notice as an appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in Import Administration's Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum is available on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made a methodological change to our calculations as reflected in our *Preliminary Results* (see Comment 1 of the Decision Memorandum).

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period November 1, 2005, through October 31, 2006:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Mittal Steel Galati S.A.	11.02

Assessment Rate

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We intend to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results of review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate by dividing the total dumping duties due by the entered value of sales we analyzed. We will direct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries at this rate. See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by the company included in these final results of review for which the reviewed company did not know its merchandise was destined

for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash-Deposit Requirements

Because the Department has revoked the order as discussed in the Background section, there will be no cash-deposit requirements for entries of this merchandise on or after November 29, 2006.

Notification

This notice also serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 7, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Comment 1: Date of Sale

Comment 2: Offsetting of Negative Margins

[FR Doc. E7-24279 Filed 12-14-07;

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-401-806]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 17, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Smith or Gemal Brangman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C., 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-3773, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Sweden, covering the period September 1, 2005, through August 31, 2006. See *Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 51411 (September 7, 2007). The current deadline for the final results in this review is January 5, 2008.

Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results of the administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published in the **Federal Register**. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the final results of the administrative review of stainless steel wire rod from Sweden within the current time frame because the Department requires more time to fully analyze the arguments and comments received from the parties participating in this review with respect to the