### What Should I Consider When I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

 Explain your views as clearly as possible and provide specific examples.
Describe any assumptions that you used.

3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.

4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.

5. Offer alternative ways to improve the collection activity.

6. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline identified under **DATES**.

7. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

## What Information Collection Activity or ICR Does This Apply to?

[Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-1138]

*Affected entities:* Entities potentially affected by this action are importers into the United States of nonroad engines and vehicles.

*Title:* Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements for Importation of Nonroad Engines and Recreational Vehicles.

*ICR numbers:* EPA ICR No. 1723.05, OMB Control No. 2060–0320.

ICR status: This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on May 31, 2008. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR. after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPĂ regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

*Abstract:* This ICR covers the burden associated with EPA Form 3520–21, a declaration form for importers of nonroad vehicles or engines into the United States, which identifies the regulated category of engine or vehicle and the regulatory provisions under which the importation is taking place. In addition, this ICR covers the possible burden of EPA Form 3520–8 if it comes

to be used to request final importation clearance for Independent Commercial Importers of nonroad Compression Ignition engines, who would have to bring the engines into compliance and provide test results, comparable to the use of Form 3520-8 for on-road vehicles and engines as covered by OMB 2060-0095. The information is used by Agency enforcement personnel to verify that all nonroad vehicles and engines subject to Federal emission requirements have been declared upon entry or that the category of exclusion or exemption from emissions requirements has been identified in the declaration. The information is also used to identify and prosecute violators of the regulations and to monitor the program in achieving the objectives of the regulations. The Forms are required before making customs entry; see 19 CFR 12.73 and 12.74.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.81 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

*Estimated total number of potential respondents:* 4,801.

*Frequency of response:* Once per entry. (One form per shipment may be used.)

Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 2.5.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 9749.

*Estimated total annual costs:* \$520,787. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$484,785 and an estimated cost of \$36,002 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

## What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: December 7, 2007.

#### Karl J. Simon,

Director, Compliance and Innovative Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. E7–24229 Filed 12–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### [ER-FRL-6694-1]

#### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

#### Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070386, ERP No. D–NRC– E06024–GA, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Site, Issuance of an Early Site Permit (ESP) for Construction and Operation of a New Nuclear Power Generating Facility, NUREG–1872, Burke County, GA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about impacts to surface water under level 4 drought conditions and impacts to drinking water sources. EPA also requested radiological monitoring of all plant effluents, appropriate storage and disposition of radioactive waste and compliance with the NPDES Permit. Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20070400, ERP No. D–FRC– K05065–CA, Upper American River Hydroelectric FERC NO. 2101–084, El Dorado and Sacramento Counties, CA and Chili Bar Hydroelectric FERC No. 2155–024, El Dorado County, CA, Issuance of a New License for the Existing and Proposed Hydropower Projects.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the proposed project. Rating LO.

- EIS No. 20070412, ERP No. D–TVA– E08022–TN, Ruthford-Williamson-Davidson Power Supply Improvement Project, Proposes to Construct and Operate a New 500–kilovolt (kV) Ruthford Substation, a New 27-mile 500–kV Transmission Line and Two New 9- and 15-mile 161-kV Transmission Lines, Ruthford, Williamson Counties, TN.
- Summary: EPA expressed concern about impacts to water quality, wetlands, forested wetlands, and riparian vegetation. Rating EC2.
- EIS No. 20070425, ERP No. D–COE– E39071–00, Wolf Dam/Lake Cumberland Project, Emergency Measures in Response to Seepage, Mississippi River, South Central Kentucky and Central Tennessee.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts related to water quantity and water quality in the reservoir and project dam releases, and recommends that specific mitigation measures and monitoring efforts be implemented. Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20070431, ERP No. D–NOA– E91020–00, Snapper Grouper Fishery Amendment 15A, Proposes Management Reference Points and Rebuilding Plans for Snowy Grouper, Black Sea Bass and Red Porgy, South Atlantic Region.

Summary: While EPA has no objections to the proposed action, we suggested that shorter recovery schedules are considered. Rating LO.

#### **Final EISs**

EIS No. 20070395, ERP No. F–USA– D15001–MD, Fort George G. Meade Base Realignment and Closure 2005 and Enhanced Use Lease (EUL) Actions, Implementation, Anne Arundel, Howard, Montgomery, Prince George's Counties, MD.

Summary: EPA continues to express concern about natural resource impacts, and recommends additional avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures be implemented.

EIS No. 20070397, ERP No. F–AFS– L65537–WA, Tripod Fire Salvage Project, Proposal to Salvage Harvest Dead Trees and Fire-Injured Trees Expected to Die Within One Year, Methow Valley and Tonasket Ranger Districts, Okanogan and Wenatchee National Forests, Okanogan County, WA.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the action as proposed.

EIS No. 20070434, ERP No. F–USN– K13000–GU, Kilo Wharf Extension (MILCON P–52), To Provide Adequate Berthing Facilities for Multi-Purpose Dry Cargo/Ammunition Ship (the T– AKE), Apra Harbor Naval Complex, Mariana Island, GU

Summary: EPA has continuing concerns regarding sufficiency of mitigation for impacts to coral reefs. EPA recommends the Navy commit to the preferred mitigation watershed restoration project agreed upon by the Navy and resource agencies and avoid selecting the contingency mitigation plan, which does not sufficiently replace lost ecosystem functions. EIS No. 20070444, ERP No. F–USA–

*E15 NO. 2007/0444, ERP No. F–USA– E15000–GA,* Fort Benning U.S. Army Infantry Center, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 and Transformation Actions, Implementation, Chattahoochee and Muscogee Counties, GA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concern about air quality impacts and requested additional work toward the development of a comprehensive alternative transportation program to assist the Columbus area in meeting air quality standards in the future.

EIS No. 20070460, ERP No. F–FRC– F03010–WI, Guardian Expansion and Extension Project, Construction and Operation Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, Jefferson, Dodge, Fond du Lac, Calument, Brown, Walworth, Outagamie Counties, WI.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about mitigation for wetland, upland forest, and wildlife habitat impacts.

EIS No. 20070461, ERP No. F–IBR– K39106–00, Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead, Implementation, Colorado River, CO and CA.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20070462, ERP No. F-MMS-E02011-00, Eastern Planning Area Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Lease Sale 224, Gulf of Mexico Offshore Marine Environment and Coastal Parishes/Counties of LA, MS, AL, and North Western Florida.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about drilling fluid impacts, and requested additional field investigations on the effects of synthetic-based drilling fluids in the deep water environment.

EIS No. 20070479, ERP No. F-GSA-D11037-DC, Armed Forces Retirement Home (AFRH-W), Proposed Master Plan for Campus Located at 3700 North Capitol Street, NW., AFRH Trust Fund, Washington, DC.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about Impacts to historic properties and developmental impacts.

EIS No. 20070438, ERP No. FS–NOA– B91017–00, Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Amendment 11, Implementation to Control Capacity and Mortality in the General Category Scallop Fishery, Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank, NC.

*Summary:* EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

Dated: December 11, 2007.

#### Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7–24228 Filed 12–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6693-9]

#### Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/.

- Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 12/03/2007 through 12/07/2007 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.
- EIS No. 20070511, Final EIS, BLM, AK, Bay Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Located within the Bristol Bay and Goodnews Bay Areas, AK, Wait Period Ends: 01/14/2008, Contact: Chuck Denton 907–267– 1246.
- EIS No. 20070512, Draft EIS, FHW, TX, Tier 1 DEIS—I–69/Trans-Texas Corridor Study, Improvement to International, Interstate and Intrastate Movement of Good and People, Louisiana-Mexico/Northeast Texas to Mexico, Comment Period Ends: 03/ 19/2008, Contact: Donald Davis 512– 536–5900.
- EIS No. 20070513, Final EIS, FHW, TX, Grand Parkway/TX–99 Segment E Improvement Project, IH–10 to U.S. 290, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit