

Expiration Date of Approval: June 30, 2008.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The Forest Service contracts with approximately 400 vendors a year for aviation services utilized in resource protection and project management. In recent years, the total annual use of contract aircraft and pilots has exceeded 100,000 hours. In order to maintain an acceptable level of safety, preparedness, and cost-effectiveness in aviation operations, Forest Service contracts include rigorous qualifications for pilots and specific condition, equipment, and performance requirements for aircraft as aviation operations are conducted under extremely adverse conditions of weather, terrain, turbulence, smoke-reduced visibility, minimally improved landing areas, and congested airspace around wildfires.

To ensure Agency contracting officers that pilots and aircraft used for aviation operations meet specific Forest Service qualifications and requirements for aviation operations, prospective contract pilots fill out one of the following Forest Service forms:

- FS-5700-20—Airplane Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record
 - FS-5700-20a—Helicopter Pilot Qualifications and Approval Record
- Contract Officers' Technical Representatives use forms:
- FS-5700-21—Airplane Data Record and
 - FS-5700-21a—Helicopter Data Record when inspecting the aircraft for contract compliance.

Based upon the approval(s) documented on the form(s), each contractor pilot and aircraft receives an approval card. Forest Service personnel verify possession of properly approved cards before using contracted pilots and aircraft. Information collected on these forms includes:

- Name.
- Address.
- Certification numbers.
- Employment history.
- Medical Certification.
- Airplane/helicopter certifications and specifications.
- Accident/violation history.

Without the collected information, Forest Service contracting officers, as well as Forest Service pilot and aircraft inspections, cannot determine if contracted pilots and aircraft meet the detailed qualification, equipment, and condition requirements essential to safe, effective accomplishment of Forest Service specified flying missions. Without a reasonable basis to determine pilot qualifications and aircraft

capability, exposure of Forest Service employees to hazardous conditions would result. The data collected documents the approval of contract pilots and aircraft for specific Forest Service aviation missions.

Information will be collected and reviewed by contracting officers or their designated representatives, including aircraft inspectors, to determine whether the aircraft and/or pilot(s) meet all contract specifications in accordance with FS Handbook 5709.16, chapter 10, section 16. Forest Service regional aviation pilot and aircraft inspectors maintain the collected information in Forest Service regional headquarters offices. The Forest Service, at times, shares the information with the Department of the Interior Aviation Management Directorate, as each organization accepts contract inspections conducted by the other.

Estimate of Annual Burden: 60 minutes.

Type of Respondents: Vendors/contractors.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 2100.

Estimated Annual Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1050.

Comment is invited on: (1) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the stated purposes and the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical or scientific utility; (2) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval.

Dated: December 5, 2007.

Robin L. Thompson,

Associate Deputy Chief.

[FR Doc. E7-24031 Filed 12-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-896]

Magnesium Metal from the Peoples' Republic of China; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 12, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karine Gziryan or Mark Manning, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4081 and (202) 482-5253, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 30, 2007, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the Peoples' Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 29968 (May 30, 2007). The period of review is April 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007. The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than December 31, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. The Department determines that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act because this review involves examining a number of complex issues related to the factors of production and surrogate values. The Department requires additional time to issue and

analyze supplemental questionnaires regarding these issues. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completing the preliminary results of this administrative review until February 29, 2008, which is 305 days from the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The deadline for the final results of the review continues to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 4, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-24071 Filed 12-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-405-803]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On August 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order covering purified carboxymethylcellulose from Finland. *See Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland; Notice of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 44106 (August 7, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). The merchandise covered by this order is purified carboxymethylcellulose as described in the "Scope of the Order" section of this notice. The period of review (POR) is December 27, 2004, through June 30, 2006. In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited parties to provide comments. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the Preliminary Results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 12, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyler Weinhold, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1121, and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 7, 2007, the Department published the Preliminary Results of administrative review of the antidumping order covering purified carboxymethylcellulose from Finland. *See Preliminary Results*. The parties subject to this review are Noviant Oy, CP Kelco Oy, Noviant Inc., and CP Kelco U.S., Inc. (collectively, CP Kelco). The petitioner in this proceeding is The Aqualon Company, a division of Hercules Incorporated.

On August 1, 2007, we sent a supplemental questionnaire to CP Kelco, requesting certain information about factoring expenses. CP Kelco responded to this questionnaire on August 15, 2007. *See Letter from CP Kelco*, dated August 15, 2007 (CP Kelco's August 15, 2007, Questionnaire Response). On August 22, 2007, the Department released a verification report describing the May 14 to May 18, 2007, verification of CP Kelco Oy's and Noviant Oy's Export Price (EP) and Home Market (HM) sales of subject merchandise. *See Memorandum to the File Regarding "Verification of Sections A-C Questionnaire Responses submitted by CP Kelco Oy, Noviant Oy, CP Kelco U.S., Inc., and Noviant Inc., in the Antidumping Review of Purified Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) from Finland,"* dated August 22, 2007.

In the *Preliminary Results* we invited parties to provide comments. In response, the Department received a case brief on September 10, 2007, from CP Kelco. On September 10, 2007, the Department also received a letter from Petitioner alleging programming errors in the calculation of the Preliminary Results dumping margin. Also, on September 17, 2007, Petitioner submitted a rebuttal brief. At CP Kelco's request, the Department held a public hearing on September 26, 2007.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is all purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), sometimes also referred to as purified sodium CMC, polyanionic cellulose, or cellulose gum, which is a white to off-white, non-toxic, odorless, biodegradable powder, comprising

sodium CMC that has been refined and purified to a minimum assay of 90 percent. CMC does not include unpurified or crude CMC, CMC Fluidized Polymer Suspensions, and CMC that is cross-linked through heat treatment. CMC is CMC that has undergone one or more purification operations which, at a minimum, reduce the remaining salt and other by-product portion of the product to less than ten percent. The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States at subheading 3912.31.00. This tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in CP Kelco's case brief and in Petitioner's rebuttal brief are addressed in the Memorandum to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 5, 2007 (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is on file in room B-099 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

In addition, Petitioner submitted a letter in which it alleged certain programming errors. *See Letter from Edward M. Lebow regarding "Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland; Demonstration of Programming Errors in Lieu of Case Brief,"* dated September 10, 2007 (Petitioner's Allegation of Programming Errors).

Successor-In-Interest Determination

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily determined that CP Kelco Oy is the successor-in-interest to the former Noviant Oy for purposes of this proceeding and application of the antidumping law. We did not receive comments on this issue and have no reason to change our findings from the *Preliminary Results*. For a complete discussion of our successorship analysis, *see Preliminary Results* at 44107 to 44108. As a result of our review, we determine that CP Kelco Oy is the successor-in-interest to Noviant Oy.