Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Fermi 2, NUREG-0769, dated August 1981 and NUREG–0769, Addendum No. 1 dated March 1982.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on December 4, 2007, the staff consulted with the Michigan State official, Thor Strong of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Radiological Protection Section, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated April 27, 2007, as supplemented by letter dated November 9, 2007. The licensee requested that the enclosures to both letters be withheld from public disclosure because they contain security-related sensitive information. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of December 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Adrian Muñiz,

Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch III-1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. E7-24087 Filed 12-11-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Submission for OMB Review

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC). **ACTION:** Request for approval.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the agency has prepared an information collection for OMB review and approval. Comments were solicited in the 60-day notice, posted on October 2, 2007, and no comments were received.

DATES: This 30-day notice is to inform the public, that this collection is being submitted to OMB for approval.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the subject form may be obtained from the Agency submitting officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OPIC Agency Submitting Officer: Essie Bryant, Record Manager, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20527; (202) 336-8563.

Summary Form Under Review

Type of Request: Revised form. Title: Application for Political Risk Insurance.

Form Number: OPIC-52.

Frequency of Use: Once per investor per project.

Type of Respondents: Business or other institution (except farms); individuals.

Standard Industrial Classification Codes: All.

Description of Affected Public: U.S. companies or citizens investing overseas.

Reporting Hours: 9 hours per project. Number of Responses: 100 per year. Federal Cost: \$24,300.00.

Authority for Information Collection: Sections 231, 234(a), 239(d), and 240A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

Abstract (Needs and Uses): The application is the principal document used by OPIC to determine the investor's and the project's eligibility for

political risk insurance, assess the environmental impact and developmental effects of the project, measure the economic effects for the U.S. and the host country economy, and collect information for insurance underwriting analysis.

Dated: December 7, 2007.

John Crowley III,

Senior Counsel, Administrative Affairs, Department of Legal Affairs. [FR Doc. 07-6030 Filed 12-11-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission. Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

Extension:

Rule 17a-4; OMB Control No. 3235-0279; SEC File No. 270-198.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

• Rule 17a-4 (17 CFR 240.17-4)-Records to be preserved by certain exchange members, brokers and dealers.

Rule 17a-4 requires exchange members, brokers and dealers to preserve for prescribed periods of time certain records required to be made by Rule 17a-3 (17 CFR 240.17a-3). In addition. Rule 17a-4 requires the preservation of records required to be made by other Commission rules and other kinds of records which firms make or receive in the ordinary course of business. These include, but are not limited to, bank statements, cancelled checks, bills receivable and payable, originals of communications, and descriptions of various transactions. Rule 17a–4 also permits broker-dealers to employ, under certain conditions, electronic storage media to maintain records required to be maintained under Rules 17a–3 and 17a–4.

There are approximately 5,791 active, registered broker-dealers. The staff estimates that the average amount of time necessary to preserve the books and records as required by Rule 17a-4

is 254 hours per broker-dealer per year. Thus the staff estimates that the total compliance burden for 5,791 respondents is 1,470,914 hours.

The staff believes that compliance personnel would be charged with ensuring compliance with Commission regulation, including Rule 17a–4. The staff estimates that the hourly salary of a compliance manager is \$245 per hour.¹ Based upon these numbers, the total cost of compliance for 5,791 respondents is approximately \$360.4 million (1,470,914 yearly hours × \$245).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Comments should be directed to: R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, Virginia 22312 or send an e-mail to: *PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.* Comments must be submitted within 60 days of this notice.

Dated: December 5, 2007. Florence E. Harmon, Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. E7–24034 Filed 12–11–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension:

Rule 701; OMB Control No. 3235–0522; SEC File No. 270–306. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 701(17 CFR 230.701) under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.) requires issuers conducting employee benefit plan offerings in excess of \$5 million in reliance on the rule to provide the employees covered by the plan with risk and financial statement disclosures. The purpose of Rule 701 is to ensure that a basic level of information is available to employees and others when substantial amounts of securities are issued in compensatory arrangements. Approximately 300 companies annually rely on the Rule 701 exemption. The Rule 701 disclosure takes an estimated 2 hours per response to prepare for a total annual burden of 600 hours. We estimate that 25% of the 2 hours per response (.5 hours) is prepared by the company for a total annual reporting burden of 150 hours (.5 hours per response \times 300 responses).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden imposed by the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, Virginia 22312; or send an e-mail to: *PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.*

Dated: December 4, 2007.

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. E7-24035 Filed 12-11-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–56904; File No. SR–CTA– 2007–02]

Consolidated Tape Association; Notice of Filing of the Eleventh Substantive Amendment to the Second Restatement of the Consolidated Tape Association Plan

December 5, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 11A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 608 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on November 5, 2007, the Consolidated Tape Association ("CTA") Plan Participants ("Participants")³ filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposal to amend the Second Restatement of the CTA Plan (the "CTA Plan"). The proposal represents the eleventh substantive amendment to the Plan ("Eleventh Substantive Amendment") and reflects changes unanimously adopted by the Participants. The proposed amendment would permit Participants to report to the Processor under the CTA Plan the actual number of shares for each transaction (exclusive of odd-lots), rather than to report the number of round lots for each transaction. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments from interested persons on the proposed Eleventh Substantive Amendment to the CTA Plan.

I. Rule 608(a)

A. Description and Purpose of the Amendment

The Plan currently requires Participants to include in their transaction reports to the CTA Plan's processor the stock symbol of the Eligible Security, the price at which the transaction was executed, and the volume, in round lots, involved in the transaction.

The Eleventh Substantive Amendment proposes to replace the requirement that Participants report each transaction's volume in round lots with a requirement that each Participant

¹ This figure is based on the SIFMA Report on Office Salaries In the Securities Industry 2006 (Compliance Manager).

¹15 U.S.C. 78k–1.

² 17 CFR 242.608.

³ Each Participant executed the proposed amendment. The Participants are the American Stock Exchange LLC; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc.; Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; International Securities Exchange, LLC; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. (n/ k/a the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority); National Stock Exchange, Inc.; New York Stock Exchange LLC.; NYSE Arca, Inc.; and Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.