

Finally, as noted above, Market data vendors which provide market data to 200,000 or more Devices in any month qualify for a 15% Administrative Fee credit for that month, to be deducted from the monthly Subscriber Fees that they collect and are obligated to pay PBOT under the Vendor/Subvendor Agreement. The Exchange proposes to eliminate the applicability of the 15% Administrative Fee credit to the Enterprise License Fee because Vendors electing to receive Market Data pursuant to the Enterprise License Fee, unlike Vendors electing to receive Market Data pursuant to the device fee, are not required to bear the ongoing administrative expense of reporting the number of Devices to PBOT.⁹ Vendors paying the device fee must prepare and deliver to PBOT a detailed monthly accounting and report of devices. By contrast, a vendor paying the Enterprise License Fee is not required to submit any accounting to PBOT.¹⁰ Instead, to be eligible for the Enterprise License Fee, a Vendor must certify to PBOT that it qualifies for the Enterprise License Fee, including that market distribution is predominantly to non-professional users, and must immediately notify PBOT if it can no longer certify its qualification. The administrative costs to a firm associated with monitoring its ongoing eligibility for the Enterprise License Fee should be substantially less than the administrative costs to a firm subject to the device fee.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹¹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹² in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest, in that it will permit the MDDN to operate with greater efficiency while still permitting investors access to market data under the remaining alternative fee structures

⁹ Phlx clarified that the elimination of the 15% Administrative Fee credit for the Enterprise License Fee will be effective immediately upon Commission approval. As stated above, the snapshot data fee would be effective on January 1, 2008, subject to Commission approval. Telephone conference between Carla Behnfeldt, Director, Phlx; Brian Trackman, Special Counsel, Division of Trading and Markets ("Division"), Commission; and Jan Woo, Special Counsel, Division, Commission, on November 20, 2007.

¹⁰ The Exchange notes that several large vendors are currently paying the Enterprise License Fee.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

from which qualified Market Data Vendors will be permitted to choose. For the same reasons the Exchange also believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,¹³ in that the proposed rule change provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among the Exchange's members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange believes that the proposed fee changes are also consistent with Rule 603 under the Act.¹⁴

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-Phlx-2007-75 on the subject line.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 242.603.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2007-75. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of Phlx. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2007-75 and should be submitted on or before December 19, 2007.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Nancy M. Morris,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-23123 Filed 11-27-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 5997]

Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Enbridge Southern Lights Pipeline Project

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

¹⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

A draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Proposed Enbridge Southern Lights Pipeline Project has been prepared on behalf of the Department of State by Enbridge Pipelines (Southern Lights) LLC ("EPSL"). On April 9, 2007, The Department of State received an application from EPSL for a Presidential permit, pursuant to Executive Order 13337 of April 30, 2004, as amended, to construct, connect, operate, and maintain facilities (including a 20-inch diameter crude oil and liquid hydrocarbon pipeline) at the U.S.-Canadian border at Neche, Pembina County, North Dakota, for the purpose of transporting liquid hydrocarbons and other petroleum products between the United States and Canada. EPSL has stated that it seeks this authorization in connection with its Southern Lights Pipeline Project ("LSr Project"), which is designed to transport Canadian crude oil from the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin ("WCSB") to existing refinery markets in the Midwest region of the United States.

The Secretary of State is designated and empowered to receive all applications for Presidential permits, as referred to in Executive Order 13337, as amended, for the construction, connection, operation, or maintenance, at the borders of the United States, of facilities for the exportation or importation of petroleum, petroleum products, coal, or other fuels to or from a foreign country.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 27, 2007, the Department of State published in the **Federal Register** a Notification of Receipt and intent to prepare an Environment Assessment (EA). [** public comments were received in connection with that notice.] In accordance with Section 102(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4332(C)) and implementing regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR parts 1500–1508) and the Department of State (22 CFR part 161), including in particular 22 CFR 161.7(c)(1), a draft environmental assessment (EA) was prepared by EPSL on behalf of the Department of State to determine if there are any potential significant impacts and to address alternatives to the proposed action.

The U.S. Army Corp. of Engineers was a Federal cooperating agency for the development of this EA. Cooperating agencies either have jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to the environmental impacts assessed in connection with the proposal and are

involved in the Department's analysis of those environmental impacts.

The draft EA addresses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the United States portion of the Southern Lights Pipeline Project. EPSL is a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the State of Delaware. EPSL is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Enbridge Energy Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and an indirectly-owned subsidiary of Enbridge Inc., a corporation organized under the laws of Canada. EPSL's primary U.S. business address is 1100 Louisiana St., Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 7702. According to the description in EPSL's application, the proposed new border crossing would consist of approximately forty (40) feet of pipeline on each side of the international boundary, which would be buried to a minimum depth of three (3) feet below ground level; the border crossing would be part of the LSr Project, which would consist in the U.S. of 136 miles of 20-inch diameter pipeline from the U.S.-Canadian border at Pembina County, North Dakota, to the existing Enbridge Clearbrook tank farm and terminal facilities in Clearwater County, Minnesota.

Comment Procedures: Any person wishing to comment on the draft EA may do so. To ensure consideration of comments prior to a Department of State decision on the application, it is important that we receive your comments by no later than December 28, 2007. Options for submitting comments on the Draft EA are as follows:

- *By mail to:* Jeff Izzo, U.S. Department of State, EEB/ESC Room 4843, Washington, DC 20520. Please note that Department of State mail can be delayed due to security screening.
- *Fax to:* (202) 647–4037, attention Jeff Izzo.
- *E-mail to:* izzojr@state.gov.

After comments are reviewed, significant new issues (if any) are investigated, and modifications (if any) are made to the draft EA, a final EA will be made available by the Department of State, along with a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), if such a determination is made. The final EA will contain the Department's response to timely comments received on the draft EA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information on the proposed project or a CD-ROM copy of the draft EA contact Jeff Izzo, EEB/ESC Room 4843, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC

20520, or by telephone (202) 647–1291, or by fax at (202) 647–4037.

Matthew T. McManus,

Acting Director, International Energy and Commodity Policy, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E7–23135 Filed 11–27–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Agency Information Collection Activity Seeking OMB Approval

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) revision of a current information collection. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on August 15, 2007, vol. 72, no. 157, page 45862–45863. Feedback from this survey is used in the prevention of runway collisions and in the Department of the severity and frequency of runway incursions.

DATES: Please submit comments by December 28, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carla Mauney at Carla.Mauney@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Title: Information for the Prevention of Aircraft Collisions on Runways at Towered Airports.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 2120–0692.

Forms(s): There are no FAA forms associated with this collection.

Affected Public: An estimated 10,000 Respondents.

Frequency: This information is collected on occasion.

Estimated Average Burden Per Response: Approximately 10 minutes per response.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 1,667 hours annually.

Abstract: Runway incursions are a risk to the public traveling in aircraft. Feedback from this survey is used in the prevention of runway collisions and in the Department of the severity and frequency of runway incursions.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory