

### Are There Changes in the Estimates From the Last Approval?

The overall reporting burden for respondents has increased from the previous ICR. Burden hours increased from 4,432 to 5,348 hours per year and costs increased from \$402,141 to \$482,569. This change is largely a result of an increase in the number of program participants.

### What is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: October 24, 2007.

**Gloria DeBolt,**

*Acting Director, Climate Change Division.*

[FR Doc. E7-21524 Filed 10-31-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8490-3]

### Reissuance of General NPDES Permits (GPs) for Aquaculture Facilities in Idaho Subject to Wasteload Allocations Under Selected Total Maximum Daily Loads (Permit Number IDG-13-0000), Cold Water Aquaculture Facilities in Idaho (Not Subject to Wasteload Allocations) (Permit Number IDG-13-1000), and Fish Processors Associated With Aquaculture Facilities in Idaho (Permit Number IDG-13-2000)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Final notice of issuance of three NPDES general permits.

**SUMMARY:** On September 27, 2004, a general permit regulating the activities of aquaculture facilities in Idaho and associated on-site fish processors expired. On June 19, 2006, the Director, Office of Water and Watersheds, EPA Region 10, proposed to reissue three general permits to cover facilities covered under the previous permit. EPA provided a public meeting on June 29, 2006 and a public hearing on September

26, 2006, both in Twin Falls, Idaho, in the midst of a 103-day public comment period. On June 7, 2007, the Director repropoed modified draft permits for GPs #IDG130000 and #IDG132000; the 45-day comment period ended on July 23, 2007.

On October 5, 2007, the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality certified under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act that, if the permittees comply with the terms and conditions imposed by the permits, there is reasonable assurance that the discharges will comply with the applicable requirements of the Clean Water Act and Idaho Water Quality Standards.

EPA received 38 comments on the GPs and has prepared a Response to Comments to explain changes made in the permits based on the comments and reasons for not making changes. EPA has determined that each facility that submitted a new Notice of Intent (NOI) after January 1, 2004, will be automatically covered by the GPs. These general permits also will cover some facilities that currently operate under individual permits, thereby terminating the authorization to discharge under the individual permits.

**DATES:** The GPs will become effective December 1, 2007. The permits will expire November 30, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the GPs and the Response to Comments may be requested from Audrey Washington, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, OWW-130, Seattle, WA 98101 or by e-mail to: [washington.audrey@epa.gov](mailto:washington.audrey@epa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Copies of the general permits, fact sheets, and response to comments are available on the EPA Region 10 Web site at <http://yosemite.epa.gov/R10/WATER.NSF/NPDES+Permits/Aquaculture.General+NPDES+Permits#Aquaculture>. They can also be requested by phone from Audrey Washington at (206) 553-0523.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Endangered Species Act

EPA has determined that issuance of the General Permits is not likely to adversely affect threatened or endangered salmonids, designated critical habitat, or essential fish habitat. Reissuance of the processor permit and WLA permit for cold water facilities is likely to adversely affect Utah valvata snail, Snake River physa snail, Bliss Rapids snail, and Banbury Springs lanx. Reissuance of the Wasteload Allocation Permit to four warm water facilities in Gooding and Twin Falls counties is likely to adversely affect the

Utah valvata snail, Snake River physa, and Bliss Rapids snail because of the increase in temperature of the receiving streams in the immediate vicinity of these facilities. EPA has determined that issuance of the General Permits will have no effect on any terrestrial threatened or endangered species or their designated critical habitat. Consultation with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is ongoing.

##### B. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from the review requirements of Executive Order 12866 pursuant to Section 6 of that order.

##### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements of this permit were previously approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* and assigned OMB control numbers 2040-0086 (NPDES permit application) and 2040-0004 (discharge monitoring reports).

##### D. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*, requires that EPA prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for rules subject to 5 U.S.C. 553(b) that have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Although general permits are considered to be adjudications and not rules and therefore are not legally subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as a matter of policy EPA is evaluating on an individual basis whether or not a specific general permit would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Upon considering EPA's current guidance, entitled *Final Guidance for EPA Rulewriters: Regulatory Flexibility Act as Amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement and Fairness Act*, and the fact that each of these general permits affects less than 100 facilities, EPA concludes that these general permits do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and that the RFA does not call for further quantitative analysis of impacts.

##### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Section 201 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA), Public Law 104-4, generally requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their "regulatory actions" (defined to be the same as "rules" subject to the RFA) on tribal, State, and local governments and

the private sector. However, general NPDES permits are not "rules" subject to the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553(b) and are therefore not subject to the UMRA.

#### F. Appeal of Permits

Any interested person may appeal the general permits in the Federal Court of Appeals in accordance with Section 509(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act. This appeal must be filed within 120 days after the permit effective date. Persons affected by the permits may not challenge the conditions of the permits in further EPA proceedings (See 40 CFR § 124.19). Instead they may either challenge the permit in court or apply for an individual NPDES permit.

Dated: October 25, 2007.

#### Christine Psyk,

Associate Director, Office of Water & Watersheds, Region 10, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. E7-21527 Filed 10-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget

October 22, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before December 3, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, (202) 395-5887, or via fax at 202-395-5167 or via internet at: *Nicholas\_A\_Fraser@omb.eop.gov* and to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-B441, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov*. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection, you may do so by visiting the OMB's ROCIS system at: <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-0626.

*Title:* Section 90.483, Permissible Methods and Requirements of Interconnecting Private and Public Systems of Communications.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 100 respondents; 100 responses.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 1 hour.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

*Total Annual Burden:* 100 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* There is no need for confidentiality.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission will submit this information collection to the OMB as a revision during this comment period to obtain the full three-year clearance from them. There is a change in the number of respondents/responses, burden hours and annual costs due to the elimination of two rule sections in this collection. Sections 90.168 and 90.425 have been removed from this information collection (IC). Section 90.168 is covered under OMB Control Number 3060-0076; and section 90.425 is covered under 3060-0599; therefore, only section 90.483 remains in this IC.

Section 90.483 contains permissible methods and requirements of interconnecting private and public systems of communications. This section allows Part 90 Commercial Mobile Radio Service (CMRS) providers to interconnect by any technically feasible means. Various subsections require that licensees obtain the consent of co-channel licensees (third party disclosure requirement) within a 75 mile radius of the interconnected base station transmitter and submit a statement (reporting requirement) to the Commission indicating that all co-channel licensees have consented to operate without the monitoring equipment.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-21025 Filed 10-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

October 26, 2007.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. For further information contact Cheryl B. Williams, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-0497 or via the Internet at *CherylB.Williams@fcc.gov*.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0106.

*Expiration Date:* 5/31/2010.

*Title:* Part 43—Reporting Requirements for U.S. Providers of International Telecommunications Services.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 134 respondents; 134 responses; 2,412 total annual hours; 18 hours per respondent.

*Needs and Uses:* U.S. providers of international telecommunications services must comply with the Federal Communications Commission's reporting requirements pursuant to 47 CFR 43.53, 43.61 and 43.82. The Commission's primary goal underlying the reporting requirements for international carriers has been and continues to be the protection of U.S.