

records involved have routine uses permitting the disclosures needed to conduct this match.

#### *E. Privacy Safeguards and Security*

The Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a(o)(1)(G)), requires that each matching agreement specify procedures for ensuring the administrative, technical and physical security of the records matched and the results of such programs. All Federal agencies are subject to: The Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA), 44 U.S.C. 3541, *et seq.*; related Office of Management and Budget circulars and memorandum (*e.g.*, OMB Circular A-130 and OMB M-06-16); National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) directives; and the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR)). These laws, circulars, memoranda directives and regulations include requirements for safeguarding Federal information systems and personally identifiable information used in Federal agency business processes, as well as related reporting requirements. OPM and SSA recognize that all laws, circulars, memoranda, directives and regulations relating to the subject of this agreement and published subsequent to the effective date of this agreement must also be implemented if mandated.

FISMA requirements apply to all Federal contractors and organizations or sources that possess or use Federal information, or that operate, use, or have access to Federal information systems on behalf of an agency. OPM will be responsible for oversight and compliance of their contractors and agents. Both OPM and SSA reserve the right to conduct onsite inspection to monitor compliance with FISMA regulations.

#### **F. Inclusive Dates of the Match**

The matching program shall become effective upon the signing of the agreement by both parties to the agreement and approval of the agreement by the Data Integrity Boards of the respective agencies, but no sooner than 40 days after notice of this matching program is sent to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget or 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, whichever is later. The matching program will continue for 18 months from the effective date and may be extended for an additional 12 months thereafter, if certain conditions are met.

Office of Personnel Management.

**Linda M. Springer**,

*Director.*

[FR Doc. E7-21139 Filed 10-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-38-P**

---

### **PRESIDIO TRUST**

#### **Notice To Terminate the Environmental Impact Statement Process, Public Museum at the Presidio; and Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Conduct Scoping, Proposed Changes to the May 2002 Presidio Trust Management Plan, Main Post District**

**AGENCY:** The Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Notice to terminate an Environmental Impact Statement Process and notice of intent to supplement an Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended (Pub. L. 91-190, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and in response to public comment, the Presidio Trust (Trust) is notifying interested parties that it will: (1) Terminate the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process for the Presidio Museum in the Main Post district of the Presidio of San Francisco, California (Presidio), (2) supplement the final EIS for the May 2002 Presidio Trust Management Plan (PTMP) to consider proposed changes in the actions analyzed for the Main Post district in the original NEPA document; and (3) engage in a scoping process to seek public input on the supplemental EIS. The supplemental EIS will address the environmental impacts of various proposals that would modify previous Trust decisions regarding the Main Post district. The public scoping process will determine the range of actions, alternatives and impacts to be considered in the supplemental EIS.

**DATES:** Written comments or suggestions to assist in identifying any significant environmental issues and in determining the appropriate scope of the supplemental EIS should be submitted on or before December 15, 2007. A public meeting will be held on November 21, 2007 beginning at 6:30 p.m., at the Presidio Officers' Club (50 Moraga Avenue) to accept comments on the scope of the supplemental EIS.

**ADDRESSES:** Electronic comments concerning this notice should be sent to [Mainpost@presidiotrust.gov](mailto:Mainpost@presidiotrust.gov). Written comments may be faxed to Main Post at 415.561.5308. Written comments may

also be submitted to Main Post, Attn: Compliance Coordinator, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Comments previously received regarding the Presidio Museum EIS or the Presidio Lodge Environmental Assessment (EA) need not be repeated; these comments will inform the Trust's preparation of the supplemental EIS. Please be aware that all written comments and information submitted will be made available to the public, including, without limitation, any postal address, e-mail address, phone number, or other information contained in each submission.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** notice of August 14, 2007 (72 FR 45469), the Trust notified interested parties it was preparing an EIS for a proposed museum at the Main Post, and that the agency was seeking public input through scoping. On September 24, 2007, the Trust held a public meeting on the scope of the EIS at which 24 members of the public spoke. Before scoping ended on October 15, 2007, the Trust received 86 comment letters and electronic mails, including one petition with over 125 signatures (on file and available for public review in the Trust library). Several of the interested parties urged that the proposed museum, when viewed with other proposed actions, would have cumulatively significant impacts on the environment and should therefore be addressed in a single EIS. The Trust is responding to this public concern in its decision to supplement the final PTMP EIS in which it will assess the cumulative impacts of all reasonably foreseeable Trust actions at the Main Post. The Trust has elected to take a fresh look at this broader scope in order to better meet its NEPA compliance responsibilities and to engage more effectively the public in its decision making.

The Trust will supplement the final PTMP EIS to take into account new circumstances or information that is relevant to the Main Post. The subsequent analysis will provide a procedural framework for dealing with upcoming decisions at the Main Post. Proposed changes in the actions analyzed at the Main Post in the final PTMP EIS that will be reviewed in the supplemental EIS include the Presidio Museum, the Presidio Lodge (the EA for which is also being terminated through this notice), other potential building construction or demolition within the district, the El Presidio site, and parking and circulation improvements. The Trust intends to proceed with the

signature of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Main Parade EA as well as the International Center to End Violence (Building 100) FONSI as these proposed actions are consistent with the PTMP, are independently justified, do not involve significant impacts, and would not prejudice other decisions on the Main Post.

Alternatives to be considered for analysis in the supplemental EIS include the planning concept set forth in the PTMP for the Main Post (PTMP action), an updated planning concept for the Main Post based on new information arising after the PTMP (proposed action), and minimal planning (no action). Potential impacts to be evaluated in the supplemental EIS include those on parking and traffic, visual resources, those to the National Historic Landmark district, and cumulative impacts. Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act will be a component of the supplemental EIS utilizing the public input, alternatives development and assessment processes to address historic preservation requirements.

The availability of the draft supplemental EIS (expected to occur in mid 2008) for comment will be announced through an EPA-published notice in the Federal Register, through postings on the Trust's Web site at <http://www.presidio.gov> and regular electronic newsletter (Presidio E-news), as well as direct mailing to the project mailing list and other appropriate means.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, 415.561.5300.

Dated: October 23, 2007.

**Karen A. Cook,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. E7-21277 Filed 10-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-4R-P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

*Summary:* In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and Purpose of information collection:* Financial Disclosure Statement: OMB 3220-0127 Under Section 10 of the Railroad Retirement

Act and Section 2(d) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, the RRB may recover overpayments of annuities, pensions, death benefits, unemployment benefits, and sickness benefits that were made erroneously. An overpayment may be waived if the beneficiary was not at fault in causing the overpayment and recovery would cause financial hardship. The regulations for the recovery and waiver of erroneous payments are contained in 20 CFR parts 255 and 340.

The RRB utilizes Form DR-423, Financial Disclosure Statement, to obtain information about the overpaid beneficiary's income, debts, and expenses if that person indicates that (s)he cannot make restitution for the overpayment. The information is used to determine if the overpayment should be waived as wholly or partially uncollectible. If waiver is denied, the information is used to determine the size and frequency of installment payments. The beneficiary is made aware of the overpayment by letter and is offered a variety of methods for recovery. One response is requested of each respondent. Completion is voluntary. However, failure to provide the requested information may result in a denial of the waiver request. The RRB proposes to revise Form DR-423 to delete items requesting the railroad employee's Social Security Number and their spouses Social Security Number. Non-burden impacting formatting and editorial changes are also proposed.

The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows:

**ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL RESPONDENT BURDEN**

Form #(s)	Annual responses	Time (Min)	Burden (Hrs)
DR-423 .....	1,200	85	1,700

*Additional Information or Comments:* To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363 or send an e-mail request to [Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV).

Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or send an e-mail to [Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV](mailto:Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV). Written

comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Charles Mierzwa,**

*Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E7-21201 Filed 10-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7905-01-P**

**RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

**Proposed Data Collection Available for Public Comment and Recommendations**

*Summary:* In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public

comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of