#### II. Method of Collection

The information will be collected by the Census Bureau's Telephone Centers. Advance letters will be sent out about two weeks prior to data collection. These letters will include a letter to the parent as well as a letter to the respondent informing them of the upcoming survey. We will provide phone numbers and e-mail addresses for sample persons and parents of sample persons to contact with questions regarding the survey. We plan to offer a monetary incentive to youth who agree to participate in the survey. All interviewing will be done using an automated instrument designed for use in a centralized telephone-interviewing site.

## III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0607–0913. *Form Number:* None.

Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3.200.

Estimated Time per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,600.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0. Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C. Section 182.

# IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 19, 2007.

# Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E7–20991 Filed 10–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Census Bureau**

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Annual Survey of State and Local Government Finances

AGENCY: U.S. Census Bureau.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, written comments must be submitted on or before December 24, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Jennifer Whitaker, Chief, Public Finance Analysis Branch-A, Governments Division, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233–6800 [(301) 763–2823] (or via the Internet at Jennifer.N.Whitaker@census.gov).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

The Census Bureau plans to request clearance for the forms necessary to conduct the public finance program which consists of an annual collection of information and a quinquennial collection in the census years ending in "2" and "7". During the upcoming three years, Census intends to conduct the 2008, 2009 and 2010 Annual Survey of Government Finance.

The Annual Survey of State and Local Government Finance collects data on state government finances and estimates of local government revenue, expenditure, debt, and assets, nationally and within state areas. Data are collected for all agencies, departments, and institutions of the fifty state governments and for a sample of all local governments (counties, municipalities, townships, and special districts). Data for school districts are collected under a separate survey. In the

census year, equivalent data are collected from all local governments.

This survey is a mail canvass survey with an initial mailing and three follow-up mailings. Telephone follow-up is used to contact non-respondents and, as necessary, to correct apparent errors and incorrect responses. The forms and procedures are the same as those used in previous finance surveys.

Results of this survey are used by the Bureau of Economic Analysis to develop the public sector components of the National Income and Product Accounts. Other Federal agencies that make frequent use of these data include the U.S. Federal Reserve Board, the Congressional Research Service, the General Accounting Office, and the Department of Justice. Other users include state and local government executives and legislators, policy makers, economists, researchers, and the general public.

# II. Method of Collection

Canvass methodology primarily consists of a mail out/mail back questionnaire. Responses will be scanned, and then put into an electronic format. Other methods used to collect data and maximize response include collecting local government data from central state sources and compiling from submitted financial audits, comprehensive financial reports, and public Internet outputs. Also, the finance forms can be completed on the Internet.

## III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607–0585. Form Number: F-11, F-12, F-13, F-25, F-28, F-29, F-32, and F-42. Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: State or local government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 9,753.

Estimated Time per Response: 2,903. Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 29,911.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$535,918.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C. 161 and 182.

# **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and

clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record

Dated: October 19, 2007.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E7–20994 Filed 10–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

[A-580-856]

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Coated Free Sheet Paper from the Republic of Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** We determine that imports of coated free sheet paper ("CFS paper") are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Final Determination" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 25, 2007. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** 

Stephanie Moore (Moorim Paper Co., Ltd. Moorim SP Co., Ltd. and Moorim USA Inc. (collectively, "Moorim"); Dennis McClure (EN Paper Mfg. Co., Ltd. and Shinoho USA, Inc. (collectively "EN Paper"); and (Kyesung Paper Co., Ltd. and Namhan Paper Co. Ltd. (collectively "Kyesung")); or Joy Zhang (Hankuk Paper Mfg. Co., Ltd.) ("Hankuk"), and Hansol Paper Co., Ltd.) ("Hansol")), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration-Room B-099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692, (202) 482-5973, or (202) 482-1168, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On June 4, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the

preliminary determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of CFS paper from the Republic of Korea. See Coated Free Sheet Paper from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination, 72 FR 30766 (June 4, 2007) ("Preliminary Determination"). Since the Preliminary Determination, the following events have occurred. From July 9, 2007, through August 15, 2007, we verified the sales and cost questionnaire responses of Moorim, EN Paper, Hansol, Hankuk, and Kyesung (hereafter collectively referred to as "the Korean respondents"). On August 15, 27, 28, 30, and 31, and September 4, 2007, the Department issued its verification reports. We provided the interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary* Determination and the Department's verification findings.
On June 29, 2007, the petitioner<sup>1</sup>

On June 29, 2007, the petitioner<sup>1</sup> requested a hearing, and from July 2 through 9, 2007, the Korean respondents also requested a hearing to discuss issues addressed in their case and rebuttal briefs.

On August 28, 2007, the petitioner requested that the Department clarify the scope of the investigation of CFS paper from Korea and placed on the record of this review information to

support its request.

On September 10, 2007, the Department responded to the petitioner's targeting allegations that were filed on April 26, 2007. The petitioner alleged that Hansol targeted sales into a region and Moorim and Hankuk targeted specific customers. In the *Preliminary Determination*, the Department stated that although petitioner's allegations were timely, the Department did not have sufficient time to fully analyze them for purposes of the preliminary determination. The Department also stated that it would fully consider this issue for purposes of the final determination. See Preliminary Determination 72 FR 30766, 30767. Therefore, these allegations were addressed after the preliminary determination. See Memorandum to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding Antidumping Duty Investigation of Coated Free Sheet Paper from South Korea - Post-Preliminary Analysis on Targeting ("Post-Preliminary Determination"), dated

September 10, 2007, which is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room B–099 of the main Department building. We provided the interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Department's Post–Preliminary Determination. See also "Targeted Dumping" discussion infra.

On September 12, 2007, the petitioner filed its case brief on the scope clarification issue. On September 14, 2007, the Korean respondents filed a rebuttal brief on this issue. A scope hearing was held on September 26, 2007. The hearing comprised a public session, a closed session for the antidumping investigation from Korea, and a closed session for the countervailing duty investigation from the People's Republic of China.

On September 17, 2007, the petitioner and the Korean respondents submitted case briefs. On September 24, 2007, both the petitioner and the Korean respondents submitted rebuttal briefs. On September 25, 2007, a closed hearing was held at the Department.

# **Targeted Dumping**

We find that there is a pattern of export prices for comparable merchandise that differs significantly among purchasers and regions; moreover, such differences cannot be taken into account using the average—to—average comparison methodology. See section 777A(d)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Accordingly, we used the transaction—to—average methodology for these sales.

In the Post–Preliminary Determination, the Department employed the average-to-average comparison methodology used in the Preliminary Determination for nontargeted sales. See Post-Preliminary Determination; see also, Preliminary Determination, 72 FR 30766, 30768. As required by section 777A(d)(1)(B) of the Act, we determined that the pattern of price differences could not be taken into account using the average-to-average comparison methodology for targeted sales because that methodology, by averaging the high prices with the low prices, has the effect of masking the extent of sales at LTFV. Thus, consistent with 19 CFR 351.414(f)(2), we limited our application of the average-totransaction methodology to the targeted sales under 19 CFR 351.414(f)(1).

When calculating a respondent's specific weighted—average margin, we combined the margin calculated for the targeted sales using the average—to—transaction methodology with the margin calculated for the non—targeted sales using the average—to-average methodology. In combining the margins

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}\,{\rm The}$  petitioner in this investigation is New Page Corporation.