Survivability), U.S. Army Research Laboratory.

29. Dr. Řichard W. Stewart, Chief Historian to the Chief of Military History, Center for Military History.

30. Dr. Brian R. Strickland, Chief Scientist (Directed Energy Applications), U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command.

- 31. Dr. Mark B. Tischler, Senior Research Scientist (Rotorcraft Flight Dynamics and Control), U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command.
- 32. Dr. James J. Valdes, Scientific Advisor for Biotechnology, U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center.
- 33. Dr. Charles E. Wade, Senior Research Scientist Combat Casualty Care, U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research.
- 34. Dr. Billy J. Walker, Senior Research Scientist (Computational Fluid Dynamics), U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command.
- 35. Mr. Roy A. Wallace, Director, Plans and Resources, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G–1.
- 36. Dr. Bruce J. West, Senior Research Scientist (Mathematical Sciences), U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Army Research Office.
- 37. Dr. Thomas W. Wright, Senior Research Scientist (Terminal Ballistics), U.S. Army Research Laboratory.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. E7–21004 Filed 10–24–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft and Final Second Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Reach 1 on the Herbert Hoover Dike Major Rehabilitation Project, Martin and Palm Beach Counties

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The purpose of the project is to reconstruct and rehabilitate Reach 1 of the Herbert Hoover Dike to prevent catastrophic failure of the system to retain the waters of Lake Okeechobee. On July 8, 2005, the Jacksonville District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) issued a Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS) for the Major Rehabilitation actions proposed for Herbert Hoover

Dike (HHD), Reach One. Herbert Hoover Dike is the levee that completely surrounds Lake Okeechobee. On September 23, 2005, a Record of Decision was signed adopting the preferred alternative as the Selected Plan for Reach One.

As plans and specifications were developed for Reach 1, it became apparent that the cut-off wall with seepage berm alternative would not work for all of Reach 1. The alternative for Reach 1 will be a combination of one or more of the following features dependent on the geology and adjacent land factors with the cut-off wall: Seepage Berm, Relief Trench, Soil Replacement Wedge, Relief Wells, Drainage Feature and Sand Columns. Reach 1 of the HHD extends for approximately 22.5 miles within Martin and Palm Beach Counties, from the St. Lucie Canal at Port Mayaca, south to the Hillsboro Canal at Belle Glade, FL. This study is a cooperative effort between the Corps and the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD).

ADDRESSES: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970, Jacksonville, FL 32232–0019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Nancy Allen at (904) 232–3206 or e-mail at *nancy.p.allen@usace.army.mil*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- a. The proposed action will be the selected plan described in the July 2005 Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) with the additional action of implementing the landslide rehabilitation features as needed based on geology and adjacent land factors. The proposed action will not affect the Regulation Schedule for Lake Okeechobee. Land may have to be acquired outside of the existing right-ofway (ROW) and this EIS will account for any impacts that result due to acquisition of additional real estate.
- b. Alternatives to be considered separately for each subdivision of Reach 1 are dependent upon the geology and adjacent land factors with the cut-off wall. Reach 1 is divided into Subreaches A, B, C and D. The alternatives to be implemented include one or more of the following features: Seepage Berm, Relief Trench, Soil Replacement Wedge, Relief Wells, Sand Column and Drainage Feature.
- c. A scoping letter will be used to invite comments on alternatives and issues from Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested private organizations and individuals. The scoping letter will be sent out in October 2007. A scoping meeting is not anticipated.

- d. A public meeting will be held after release of the Draft Second Supplemental EIS. The public meeting is anticipated to be held in July 2008 in Clewiston, FL. The exact location, date, and times will be announced in a public notice and local newspapers.
- e. A Major Rehabilitation Evaluation Report (MRR) was approved by Congress in the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) 2000 that addressed the need to repair the aging dike.

Brenda S. Bowen.

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 07–5278 Filed 10–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–AJ–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Sunshine Act: Notice of Meeting, Notice of Vote, Explanation of Action Closing Meeting and List of Persons To Attend

October 18, 2007.

The following notice of meeting is published pursuant to section 3(a) of the Government in the Sunshine Act (Pub. L. 94–409), 5 U.S.C. 552b:

AGENCY HOLDING MEETING: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

DATE AND TIME: October 25, 2007, 10 a.m.

PLACE: Room 2C, Commission Meeting Room, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Non-Public Investigations and Inquiries, Enforcement Related Matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Telephone (202) 502–8400.

Chairman Kelliher and Commissioners Kelly, Spitzer, Moeller, and Wellinghoff voted to hold a closed meeting on October 25, 2007. The certification of the General Counsel explaining the action closing the meeting is available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Reference Room at 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The Chairman and the Commissioners, their assistants, the Commission's Secretary, the General Counsel and members of her staff, and a stenographer are expected to attend the meeting. Other staff members from the Commission's program offices who will advise the Commissioners in the matters discussed will also be present.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–20951 Filed 10–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2007-0887; FRL-8487-4

Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Budgets in Submitted Eight-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan for the Phoenix-Mesa Nonattainment Area for Transportation Conformity Purposes; Arizona

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that the Agency has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted Eight-Hour Ozone Plan for the Maricopa Nonattainment Area (June 2007) ("2007 MAG Eight-Hour Ozone Plan") are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The 2007 MAG Eight-Hour Ozone Plan was submitted to EPA on June 15, 2007 by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality as a revision to the Arizona state implementation plan. As a result of our finding, the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) and the Federal Highway Administration must use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from the submitted eight-hour ozone attainment plan for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective November 9, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wienke Tax, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR–2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901; (520) 622–1622 or tax.wienke@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region IX sent a letter, dated October 4, 2007, to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality on October 10, 2007 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO $_{\rm X}$) in the submitted 2007 MAG Eight-Hour Ozone Plan for 2008 are adequate. The budgets correspond to the Phoenix-Mesa 8-hour

ozone nonattainment area, which encompasses roughly half of Maricopa County, including the cities of Phoenix and Mesa, and also the Apache Junction area of Pinal County, in central Arizona. The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm. The adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets (calculated for a Thursday in 2008) are provided in the following table:

ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS

[In metric tons per day]

Budget year	VOC motor vehicle emissions budget	NO _X motor vehicle emissions budget
2008	67.9	138.2

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). The process for determining the adequacy of such budgets is set forth at 40 CFR 93.118(f). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: October 16, 2007.

Nancy Lindsay,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. E7–21073 Filed 10–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8487-3]

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of 34 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) in Louisiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability for comment of the administrative record files for 34 TMDLs and the calculations for these TMDLs prepared by EPA Region 6 for waters listed in the Red, Sabine, and the Terrebonne Basins of Louisiana, under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). These TMDLs were completed in response to a court order in the lawsuit styled *Sierra Club*, et al. v. *Clifford*, et al., No. 96–0527, (E.D. La.).

DATES: Comments must be submitted in writing to EPA on or before November 26, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the 34 TMDLs should be sent to Diane Smith. Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. **Environmental Protection Agency** Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202-2733 or e-mail: smith.diane@epa.gov. For further information, contact Diane Smith at (214) 665-2145 or fax 214.665.7373. The administrative record files for the 34 TMDLs are available for public inspection at this address as well. Documents from the administrative record files may be viewed at http:// www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6wq/npdes/ tmdl/index.htm, or obtained by calling or writing Ms. Smith at the above address. Please contact Ms. Smith to schedule an inspection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Diane Smith at (214) 665–2145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1996, two Louisiana environmental groups, the Sierra Club and Louisiana Environmental Action Network (plaintiffs), filed a lawsuit in Federal Court against the EPA, styled Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford, et al., No. 96–0527, (E.D. La.). Among other claims, plaintiffs alleged that EPA failed to establish Louisiana TMDLs in a timely manner. EPA proposes 26 of these TMDLs pursuant to a consent decree entered in this lawsuit.

EPA Seeks Comment on 34 TMDLs

By this notice EPA is seeking comment on the following 34 TMDLs for waters located within Louisiana basins: