

salary, they were employees of Sherwood Forest Family Golf and performed services supporting business and activities of the amusement park.

The petitioner further alleges that the petitioning workers “produced and mass produced items such as flyers, pamphlets, guides, rule books, manuals, instruction sets” etc. The petitioner stated that “he was in charge of production strategies/marketing of many promotional items”.

The company official clarified that the petitioning workers “in no way produced, created, designed nor mass produced” any of the above mentioned articles for the subject firm. The official stated that Family Entertainment has a management team which completes all these tasks and that the petitioning workers were only in charge of the way they sold Everything Goes passes and distributed flyers.

To support his allegations, the petitioner enclosed a copy of the Georgia Department of Labor Unemployment Claims Examiner’s Determination which states that the reason behind the petitioner’s separation from the subject firm was a lack of work, and a stub reflecting information concerning the final unemployment check. For the purposes of this investigation, these documents do not contain any evidence that the workers of the subject firm created an article and that there was a shift in production of an article by the subject firm abroad.

The petitioner also enclosed various flyers, brochures, coupons, pass cards and promotional advertisements and stated that workers of the subject firm created and produced these articles.

The company official verified that these pass cards, coupons and advertisements were designed by the subject firm’s previous manager and were prepared and sent to a professional local printing company. The official further confirmed that the rest of the promotional material was typed as a word document and printed on a computer printer by the administrative staff of either Family Entertainment or another domestic company, Atlanta Cutlery. The administrative employees of the subject firm continue to perform these functions to support and promote business activities of the amusement park.

The petitioner further alleges that the subject firm shifted production of the articles to India and “the fact that these articles are no longer produced here is the reason that we are no longer employed”. To support these allegations, the petitioner enclosed copies of handwritten “Weekly Sales

Report” and “Business Contact Form” stating that the workers performed telemarketing calls and that these tasks are now performed in India.

The company official stated that Family Entertainment dba Sherwood Forest did not shift any job functions to India and is not importing any articles from the foreign source. The official further stated that “the only relation Family Entertainment has with India is the fact that it is owned by a U.S. Citizen from India” and that the previous manager of the subject firm who is no longer affiliated with the company, resides in India with his family at the present time. The company official confirmed that the subject firm is in the business of entertainment services and whatever printed material might be designed or produced by the administrative staff of the subject firm as incidental to these services continues to be designed and produced by the subject firm or other domestic companies.

The company official further stated that the petitioning workers were separated from the subject firm after the management evaluated the promotional program and made a decision to discontinue the program due to low profitability.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner doubts the accuracy of the information provided by Family Entertainment.

The Department has no evidence that would suggest that the officials of the Family Entertainment had any reason to mislead the investigation or that they had any interest in the outcome of this determination that might have been adverse to the former employees of the subject firm.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of October 2007.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E7-20726 Filed 10-19-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,190]

#### Steelcase Incorporated Grand Rapids, Michigan; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 24, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Steelcase Incorporated, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of October 2007.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E7-20723 Filed 10-19-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-62,053]

#### Sunrise Medical, Incorporated Devilbiss Healthcare Including On-Site Leased Workers of Kelly Services Somerset, PA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on October 10, 2007, applicable to workers of Sunrise Medical, Incorporated, Devilbiss Healthcare, Somerset, Pennsylvania. The notice will be published soon in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of respiratory care products, such as compressor nebulizers, oxygen concentrators and aspirators.

The review of the investigation record shows that the Department inadvertently excluded from the certification on-site leased workers from